arXiv:hep-th/0409276v1 27 Sep 2004

D-Branes and Noncommutative String Theory

A thesis submitted to the
Utkal University
for the
Ph.D. Degree in Physics

by
Kamal Lochan Panigrahi
Institute of Physics,
Bhubaneswar, 751 005, India.

Abstract

In this thesis we discuss some nonperturbative and noncommutative aspects of string theory. We present low-energy background field solutions corresponding to various D-branes (and their bound states) and intersecting branes in flat and pp-wave spacetime. A class of D-brane bound states are constructed from charged macroscopic strings and they are shown to satisfy the mass-charge relationship of 1/2 BPS bound states. Another class of D-branes and intersecting branes are constructed, by solving the type II field equations explicitly, in pp-wave background with constant and non-constant flux. The worldsheet construction of these D-branes and their spacetime supersymmetry properties have also been analyzed in some detail.

Further, we study an example of open strings ending on D-branes with mixed boundary condition. In this context, we analyze various open and mixed sector tree-level amplitudes of N=2 strings in the presence of constant NS-NS antisymmetric tensor (B) field.

Acknowledgements

I take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor, Alok Kumar, for his invaluable guidance throughout my research period at Institute of Physics. He has been the constant source of inspiration all along the way. I have learnt a lot not only about physics but also about life in general from him. I heartily thank him and his family. I am indebted to Sudipta Mukherji for various useful discussions and fruitful collaborations.

I would like to thank all the teachers of my pre-doctoral period at the institute for their excellent teaching. I have learnt quite a lot from all of them.

I thank my collaborators Anindya Biswas, Aalok Misra, Nobuyoshi Ohta and Sanjay for the fruitful and enjoyable collaborations. I would also like to thank all the members of 'Theoretical High Energy Physics Group' of Instutute of Physics for helping me in understanding various aspects of Physics in general. I thank Koushik Ray for making me comfortable with diverse topics in string theory. I would like to thank the Abdus Salam ICTP, Trieste, Italy for giving me financial support for participating in the 'Spring School on Superstring theroy' in 2002 and the 'School on Mathematics in String and Field theory' in 2003 which helped me a lot in understanding some interesting topics of string theory. I also take this opportunity to thank Augusto Sagnotti for his kind invitation for visiting Universita' di Roma, "Tor Vergata", ITALY. I sincerely thank the string theory group members at "Tor Vergata" for various useful discussions.

I thank all the academic and nonacademic staffs of the institute for their cooperation during my whole stay. Finally, my deep sense of gratitude to my parents and my sisters for giving me moral support throughout. I dedicate this thesis to my parents and to my Mitul.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

1.1 **String theory: A First Look** 1

1.2 **D-Branes in String Theory** 4

1.3 **Noncommutative Geometry and String Theory** 7

1.4 **AdS/CFT Correspondence and String Theory in PP-wave Background** 9

1.5 **Plan of the Thesis** 12

2 D-BRANE BOUND STATES **19**

2.1 Introduction 19

2.2 Review of Charged Macroscopic String Solutions 21

2.3 Construction of D-brane bound states 27

2.4 Generalized $(Dp - D(p+2))$ bound state 31

2.4.1 $\alpha = -\beta$ Solution and Generalization of $D0 - D2$ Bound States 31

2.4.2 $\alpha = \beta$ Solution and Generalized $D0 - D2$ Bound State 36

2.5 $\beta = 0, \alpha \neq 0$ Solutions and Generalization to (p, q)- Strings 39

2.5.1 Construction of SL(2, Z) Multiplets 39

2.5.2 Construction of Bound States 40

2.5.3 Mass-Charge Relationship 42

2.6 Summary 44

3 D-BRANES IN PP-WAVE BACKGROUND **1**

3.1 Introduction . . . 1

3.2 Review of D-brane solutions in pp-wave background . . . 3

3.3 D-Branes in PP-wave Background with Constant NS-NS Flux . . . 6

3.3.1 Supergravity Solutions . . . 6

3.3.2 Supersymmetry Analysis . . . 8

3.4 $p-p'$ **Branes in PP-Wave Background with Constant RR-Flux** . . . 10

3.4.1 Supergravity Solutions . . . 10

3.4.2 Supersymmetry Analysis . . . 12

3.5 Worldsheet Construction of $p-p'$ Branes . . . 13

3.5.1 NS-NS PP-wave . . . 13

3.5.2 R-R PP-wave . . . 16

3.6 Branes in 'Little String Theory' Background . . . 17

3.6.1 Supergravity Backgrounds . . . 17

3.6.2 Supersymmetry Analysis . . . 18

3.7 D-Branes in PP-wave Spacetime with Nonconstant NS-NS Flux . . . 19

3.7.1 Supergravity Solutions . . . 19

3.7.2 Supersymmetry Analysis . . . 21

3.8 Summary . . . 24

4 NONCOMMUTATIVE $N=2$ STRINGS **1**

4.1 Geometry of $N=2$ Strings: A brief review . . . 1

4.1.1 Vertex Operators and Interactions . . . 2

4.2 $N=2$ Strings in Constant NS-NS Background . . . 7

4.2.1 Open Sector: . . . 9

4.2.2 Mixed sector . . . 13

4.3 Summary . . . 14

5 OVERVIEW and CONCLUSION 19

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 String theory: A First Look

Unification of all the existing forces of nature still remains a big challenge for Particle physicists. String theory is the consistent quantum theory of gravity which includes graviton, the exchange particle for the gravitational interaction, in its spectrum. Truely ‘the theory of strings’ is a bold step towards the unification of gravity, quantum mechanics and particle physics. It fits nicely into the pre-existing picture of what physics beyond standard model would look like. Besides gravity, string theory necessarily incorporates a number of previously known unifying ideas like grand unification, Kaluza-Klein compactifications and supersymmetry etc.. Moreover, it unifies these ideas in an efficient way and resolves some of the difficulties which arose previously like the renormalizability problem of Kaluza-Klein theory etc.. In fact, some of the simplest string theories give rise to the gauge group and matter representations which appeared previously in grand unification. String theory is based on the simple idea that elementary objects which appear to be point-like to the present day experimentalists, are actually different vibrational modes of ‘strings’. The energy per unit length of the string, known as string tension, is parametrized by $(2\pi\alpha')^{-1}$, where α' has the dimension of $(length)^2$. The typical size of the string is $10^{-33}\ cm$ - a distance which can’t be resolved by the present day accelerators. So there is no direct way of testing string theory apart from its theoretical consistency. The propagation of strings in space-time is governed by the dynamics of the one dimensional objects. Strings moving in space-time sweep out a two dimensional surface known as the string worldsheet. The dynamics of such an object is governed by an action, popularly known as Nambu-Goto action:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}(\text{Area of the Worldsheet}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{-\det\partial_\alpha \mathrm{X}^\mu\partial_\beta \mathrm{X}_\mu}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1.1}$$

which is nothing but the generalization of relativistic action for a point particle. The string worldsheet is parametrized by $X^\mu(\sigma, \tau)$, but the action (1.1.1) is invariant under the choice of reparametrization (world-sheet coordinate invariant). It is useful to write down the above action in the following form as well, by adding a world-sheet metric ($g_{\alpha\beta}$):

$$S_P = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{g}g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha X^\mu\partial_\beta X_\mu, \tag{1.1.2}$$

where $g = det g_{\alpha\beta}$. In addition to the *diffeomorphism* invariance, the action (1.1.2) has another local symmetry known as *Weyl* invariance:

$$g'_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma) = e^{2w\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma). \tag{1.1.3}$$

The action (1.1.2) is commonly known as Polyakov action[1].

The spectrum of string theory consists of a set of massless states and an infinite tower of massive states. The massive string states have the mass of the order of 10^{19} Gev and is well beyond the reach of present day accelerators. There are five consistent string theories, known as *Type IIA*, *Type IIB*, *Type I*, *$SO(32)$ heterotic* and *$E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic*. In Type IIA and Type IIB, strings are closed and oriented. In Type I, strings are open or closed; Open Type I strings have electric charges at the end points of the strings. Heterotic $SO(32)$ and $E_8 \times E_8$ strings are closed and oriented.

The above five different string theories are related by certain symmetries of string theory known as 'duality symmetry'[2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14]. In particular, the orientifold construction, namely the fact that type I string theories can be regarded as the quotient of type IIB theory by the worldsheet parity transformation was first discovered in [15] (see also [16, 17]). Below, we discuss briefly various duality symmetries of string theory.

- **T-Duality:**

Target space duality or T-duality in short, is the best understood duality symmetry of string theory. They hold order by order in string perturbation theory to all order even though they have not been established for the full non-perturbative string theory. This duality transformation maps the weak coupling region of one theory to the weak coupling region of another theory or of the same theory. (for a review of this subject see[10]) For example:
(i) Bosonic string theory compactified on radius R and on radius $1/R$ are equivalent.
(ii) Type IIA string theory compactified on a circle of radius R is dual to Type IIB string theory compactified on a circle of radius $\frac{1}{R}$[18, 19, 20, 21].
(iii) $SO(32)$ heterotic string theory compactified on circle of radius R, with gauge group broken down to $SO(16) \times SO(16)$ by wilson line is dual to $E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic string theory compactified on circle of radius $\frac{1}{R}$, with gauge group broken down to $SO(16) \times SO(16)$ by wilson line[22, 23, 24].

- **S-Duality:**

S-duality is a transformation of the coupling constant of the theory: a theory with coupling constant g is S-dual to some other theory with coupling constant $1/g$. This is a generalization of Electric- Magnetic duality of Maxwell's theory of electrodynamics. Below we give few theoretical examples of S(elf)-duality in string theory.
(i) In ten dimensions type I theory with coupling constant g is dual to SO(32) heterotic string theory with coupling constant $1/g$.
(ii) Type IIB string theory in D=10 has been conjectured to have an $SL(2, Z)$ self duality group[6].

- **U-Duality:**

U-duality can be thought of as a nontrivial combination of S-duality and T-duality. Naively speaking, this duality relates small volume limit of some theory with large coupling limit of some other theory. This symmetry is nonperturbative by nature. The U-duality group arises from the toroidal compactification of type II and heterotic string theories.

1.2 D-Branes in String Theory

Besides the vibrational modes of strings, which is the basic quanta of string theory, multiplets of string duality includes: solitons, black holes and some extended objects, known as 'D-branes'[25, 26, 16, 27, 28, 19, 29]. (see [30, 31, 32] for a detailed review of D-branes. See [33] for a review of Open strings.) D-branes are nonperturbative and extended objects on which open strings can end (like the ones as shown in picture 1.1), almost in the same way as QCD strings can end on quarks. In contrast to the quarks of QCD, D-branes are, however, intrinsic excitations of fundamental theory: their existence is required for the consistency of string theory. They play an important role in understanding various duality conjectures in string theory. As described earlier they, along with the strings and other solitons, essentially fill up the multiplets of string dualities. Besides this, D-branes play an important role in understanding the microscopic structure of quantum gravity. The D-brane model of black holes has been proved to be very useful in understanding the black hole thermodynamics, such as Hawking temperature and entropy. It also has revealed a surprising relationship between supersymmetric gauge theory and geometry. In particular, the counting of microscopic BPS sates of black hole can be mapped into a familiar problem of studying the moduli space of supersymmetric gauge theories. In the context of AdS/CFT duality, D-branes corresponds to nonperturbative objects in the gauge theory side, or to defects on which the lower dimensional field theory lives. In order to know the field theory dynamics in the presence of such extended objects, it is often useful to have access to the supergravity solutions of D-branes as well.

The open string worldsheet is parametrized by two coordinates (σ, τ), with the spatial coordinate σ runs $0 \leq \sigma \leq \pi$. In conformal gauge the action is given by:

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2\sigma \partial_a X^\mu \partial_a X_\mu. \tag{1.2.1}$$

Varying with respect to X^μ and integrating by parts, one gets:

$$\delta S = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2\sigma \delta X^\mu \partial^2 X_\mu + \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int_{\partial M} d\sigma \delta X^\mu \partial_n X_\mu, \tag{1.2.2}$$

where ∂_n is the derivative normal to the boundary. Vanishing of the first term in (1.2.2) gives the Laplace equation. At the boundary, the only Poincare invariant condition is:

$$\partial_n X^\mu = 0. \tag{1.2.3}$$

This is called 'Neumann' boundary condition. The 'Dirichlet' boundary condition $\delta X^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow X^\mu =$ constant is also consistent with the equations of motion, which describe space-time defects. A static defect extending over p spatial directions is described by the boundary conditions:

$$\partial_\perp X^{\alpha=0,\ldots p} = X^{m=p+1,\ldots,9} = 0, \tag{1.2.4}$$

which constrain the open string to move on a $p+1$ dimensional hypersurface. Since open strings do not propagate in the bulk, their presence is intimated by the existence of these defects, which are known as Dp-branes. Below we summarize the Dp-branes of various string theories.

Type IIA	p = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8
Type IIB	p = -1, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9
Type I	p = 1, 5, 9

Table 1.1: Dp-branes of various string theories

The $D(p)$-brane is characterized by a tension $T_{(p)}$, charge density under Ramond-Ramond $(p+1)$-form, $\mu_{(p)}$, defined through the effective worldvolume action [34]:

$$S_{Worldvol} = T_{(p)} \int d^{p+1}\xi e^{\frac{\phi}{2}} \sqrt{|det\hat{G_{\alpha\beta}}|} + \mu_{(p)} \int d^{p+1}\xi C_{(p+1)}, \tag{1.2.5}$$

where

$$\hat{G_{\alpha\beta}} = G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\alpha X_\mu \partial_\beta X_\nu, \tag{1.2.6}$$

is the induced metric on the worldsheet and ϕ is the dilaton. The cases $p = -1, 7, 8$ are somewhat special. The $D(-1)$ brane sits at a particular space-time point and should be treated as a (Euclidean) instanton with the action:

$$S_{D(-1)} = T_{(-1)}e^{-\phi} + i\rho_{(-1)}C^{(0)}|_{position}. \tag{1.2.7}$$

On the other hand, the massless closed strings coupling to D-branes themselves have a bulk action:

$$S_{bulk} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x\sqrt{-G}\Big[e^{-\phi}\Big(R - d\phi^2 + \frac{1}{12}dB^2\Big) + \sum \frac{1}{2k!}F_{(k)}^2\Big]. \tag{1.2.8}$$

The bosonic part of the low energy action for type IIB string theory in ten dimension is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{IIB} &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^{10}x\sqrt{-G}\Big\{e^{-2\phi_b}\Big[R + 4(\nabla\phi_b)^2 - \frac{1}{12}(H)^2\Big] - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\chi)^2 \\ &- \frac{1}{12}(F^{(3)} + \chi H)^2 - \frac{1}{480}(F^5)^2\Big\} + \frac{1}{4\kappa^2}\int A^{(4)}F^{(3)}H, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2.9}$$

Where $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the string frame metric, $H = dB$ is the field strength of the Kalb-Ramond field, $F^{(3)} = dA^{(2)}$ and $F^{(5)} = dA^{(4)} - \frac{1}{2}(BF^{(3)} - A^{(2)}H)$ are the Ramond-Ramond (RR) field strengths, while $\chi = A^{(0)}$ is the RR scalar and ϕ is the dilaton.

D-brane can be described by a combination of *Neumann* and *Dirichlet* boundary conditions on the string worldsheet boundaries. A Dp-brane is specified by a $(p+1)$ dimensional hypersurface, on which open strings can end and Neumann boundary condition is imposed on them: $\partial_{normal} X^{\mu} = 0$. The fields associated with the remaining $(9-p)$ coordinates satisfy Dirichlet boundary condition: $X^{\mu} = constant$. T-duality changes the Neumann boundary condition to a Dirichlet-type boundary condition: $\partial_{tangent} X^{\mu} = 0$. Hence implementation of T-duality along the worldvolume direction of a Dp-brane, replaces a Neumann condition by a Dirichlet-like condition and one gets the 'delocalized' $D(p-1)$-brane. If one considers a Dp-brane which is oriented at an angle with respect to the orthogonal axis: tilted in (X^1, X^2) plane, it will be specified by a combination of both Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$\partial_n (X^1 + \tan\phi X^2) = 0, \tag{1.2.10}$$

$$\partial_t (X^1 - \cot\phi X^2) = 0. \tag{1.2.11}$$

Applying T-duality along X^2 direction, one gets the following *mixed* boundary conditions:

$$\partial_n X^1 + i \tan\phi \partial_t X^2 = 0, \tag{1.2.12}$$

$$\partial_n X^2 - i \tan\phi \partial_t X^1 = 0, \tag{1.2.13}$$

and one notices that T duality has induced a worldvolume gauge field $F_{12} = -\tan\phi$[35]. The above analysis can also be lifted to supergravity analysis and we will be discussing that aspect in the next chapter.

1.3 Noncommutative Geometry and String Theory

Noncommutativity is an old idea in Mathematics and Physics. It appears in string theory[36, 37, 38] when the D-brane is placed in a constant antisymmetric tensor background ($B_{\mu\nu}$). This makes the D-brane worldvolume coordinates noncommutative:

$$[x^{\mu}, x^{\nu}] = i\theta^{\mu\nu}, \tag{1.3.1}$$

and leads to an uncertainty relation between the space and time:

$$\nabla x^{\mu} \nabla x^{\nu} \geq \frac{1}{2} |\theta^{\mu\nu}|,$$

Perhaps the main reason for not taking the noncommutative theories seriously is that the uncertainty relation between position measurements will *a priori* lead to a nonlocal theory. Also noncommutativity of the space-time coordinates generally conflicts with Lorentz invariance. Although it is not implausible that a theory defined using such coordinates could be effectively local on length scales larger than that of θ, it is not obvious to believe that the breaking of Lorentz invariance would not be observed at these scales. Gauge theory on noncommutative spaces are relevant for the quantization of D-branes in background $B_{\mu\nu}$ field[36]. Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in noncommutative geometry arises by Fourier transforming the winding modes of D-branes living on a transverse torus in the presence of NS-NS two form background[37]. The D-string suspended between a pair of $D3$-branes in the presence of an antisymmetric $B_{\mu\nu}$ field represents a monopole in the non commutative Yang Mills theory on the worldvolume of $D3$-brane. Also a (p,q) string represents a dyon similar to its commutative counter part.

The structure of the noncommutative theory is similar to that of the ordinary gauge theory with the usual product of fields being replaced by the *'star product' (*)* defined by:

$$\phi * \chi = \phi(X)\ exp\Big\{-i\theta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{\mu}}\frac{\partial}{\partial Y^{\mu}}\Big\}\ \chi(Y), \tag{1.3.2}$$

where $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ is a constant antisymmetric tensor. The effect of such a modification is reflected in the momentum space vertices of the theory by a factor of the form:

$$exp[i\theta^{\mu\nu}p_{\mu}q_{\nu}] \equiv e^{ip\wedge q} \tag{1.3.3}$$

The dynamics of the open strings is determined in terms of closed string metric ($g_{\mu\nu}$), the background two form field ($B_{\mu\nu}$) and the closed string coupling constant (g_s). The propagator of the open string worldsheet coordinates between two boundary points is given by:

$$< X^{\mu}(\tau)X^{\nu}(\tau') >= -\alpha' G^{\mu\nu}\log(\tau-\tau') + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu} sgn(\tau-\tau'), \tag{1.3.4}$$

where the open string metric ($G^{\mu\nu}$) and the noncommutative parameter ($\theta^{\mu\nu}$) is given by:

$$G^{\mu\nu} \;=\; \Big(\frac{1}{g+2\pi\alpha' B}\Big)^{\mu\nu}_{S} = \Big(\frac{1}{g+2\pi\alpha' B}g\frac{1}{g-2\pi\alpha' B}\Big),$$

$$\theta^{\mu\nu} = 2\pi\alpha'\Big(\frac{1}{g+2\pi\alpha' B}\Big)^{\mu\nu}_{A} = -(2\pi\alpha')^2\Big(\frac{1}{g+2\pi\alpha' B}B\frac{1}{g-2\pi\alpha' B}\Big). \tag{1.3.5}$$

The effective open string coupling is given by:

$$G_o = g_s\Big(\frac{det(g+2\pi\alpha' B)}{det\ g}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{1.3.6}$$

1.4 AdS/CFT Correspondence and String Theory in PP-wave Background

It has been believed since long that 't Hooft limit[39] of large N gauge theories is related to a string theory. In[40], Maldacena proposed a particular string theory for the 't Hooft limit of $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang-Mills theory in $3+1$ dimensions. The 't Hooft limit is defined by taking $N \to \infty$ while keeping $g^2_{YM}N$ fixed. In this limit one gets string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ with the radius of five sphere and the curvature radius of anti-de Sitter are proportional to $(g^2_{YM}N)^{1/4}$ in string units. Maldacena in [40] was led to the conjecture that $U(N)$ super-Yang-Mills theory in (3+1)-dimensions is the same as (or dual to) type IIB superstring theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$. The super Yang-Mills coupling is given by the (complex) type IIB string coupling. The supersymmetry group of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ is known to be the same as the superconformal group in (3+1) spacetime dimensions, so the supersymmetry of both theories are the same. This new form of duality (commonly known as AdS/CFT duality) is the duality between the large N field theory and a particular string theory on certain background. This is a strong-weak coupling duality: the strong coupling regime of quantum field theory corresponds to the weak coupling regime of string theory and vice versa. Despite much effort, string theory in AdS background is still poorly understood. At low energies, the string theory is well approximated by supergravity. On the gauge theory side the low energy limit corresponds to large 't Hooft limit, $\lambda = g^2_{YM}N >> 1$. The spectrum of strings on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ corresponds to the spectrum of single-trace operators in the Yang-Mills theory. Moreover, by using the recipe, for the correspondence between ten dimensional type IIB supergravity and the (3+1)-dimensional $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills theories, various two point and three point functions have been calculated[41, 42, 43]. Despite much effort, the main obstacle in proving the conjectured AdS/CFT duality lies in the difficulty to quantize strings in AdS spaces. Only recently, Berenstein, Maldacena and Nastase (BMN)[44] took advantage of *Penrose's* idea that 'every space-time has plane-wave as a limit' and have argued that a particular sector of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory containing the operators with large R charge (J), is dual to Type IIB string theory in pp-wave background with RR flux. The beauty of the proposal is that it suggests a simple class of supergravity backgrounds where one can explicitly quantize strings.

The fact that string theory in pp-wave background is exactly solvable has opened up the possibility of understanding the duality beyond the supergravity limit. Penrose's idea was incorporated by Güven into supergravity backgrounds in ten and eleven dimensions. This was achieved by extending the limiting procedure to the other fields present in the supergravity background. In particular, it has been found out that the eleven dimensional Minkowski space-time and the maximally supersymmetric H_{pp} wave background are the Penrose limit of $AdS_7 \times S^4$ solutions of M-theory and $AdS_5 \times S^5$ solution of Type IIB supergravity respectively. The limiting procedure depends crucially on the local symmetries (diffeomorphism and local gauge invariance) of the supergravity background and the homogeneity of the supergravity action under a constant rescaling of the fields. The novelty of this background is that string theory simplifies dramatically due to the existence of the lightcone gauge and is also exactly solvable in some cases. In short, the plane wave background admits a set of *covariantly constant* and *null* killing vectors.

The plane wave is a geometry of general form:

$$ds^2 = -4dx^+dx^- + H(x^+, y)(dx^+)^2 + dy^i dy^i, \tag{1.4.1}$$

where the function H is independent of x^-, and $x^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(t \pm x)$. The wave structure is due to the x^+ dependence of the function H appearing in the metric. The particular case of interest, when H is quadratic in x^i and is supported by a five form Ramond-Ramond field strength, has been analyzed in a great detail in recent past. The metric and the constant 5-form field strengths of such a background is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -4dx^+dx^- - \mu^2(y^i)(dx^+)^2 + dy^i dy^i, \\ F &= dx^+\varphi, \quad \varphi = \mu(dy^1dy^2dy^3dy^4 + dy^5dy^6dy^7dy^8). \end{aligned} \tag{1.4.2}$$

The Green-Schwaz action after taking the lightcone gauge[45] for the superstring, by choosing $x^+ = \tau$ and $\Gamma_-\theta^\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2$ is given by:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}\int dt \int_0^{\pi\alpha'|p_-|} d\sigma\Big[\frac{1}{2}\dot{z}^2 - \frac{1}{2}z'^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 z^2 + i\bar{S}(\partial\!\!\!/ + \mu I)S\Big], \tag{1.4.3}$$

where $I = \Gamma^{1234}$ and S is the Majorana spinor on the worldsheet and a positive chirality $SO(8)$ spinor under rotations in the eight transverse directions. The lightcone hamiltonian is given by:

$$2p_- = -p_+ = H_{lc} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} N_n\sqrt{\mu^2 + \frac{n^2}{(\alpha'|p_-|/2)^2}}, \tag{1.4.4}$$

with n denoting the level of the Fourier mode and N_n denoting total occupation number of that mode. In the limit, $\mu\alpha'|p_-| << 1$, one recovers the flat space limit, which can also be seen in the metric (1.4.2), with $\mu = 0$. It has also been demonstrated that plane wave admits the giant gravitons, as in the case of $AdS_5 \times S^5$. These giants are the $D3$-branes classically sitting at x^- and wrapping the S^3 of the first four directions or S^3 of the second four directions.

The maximally supersymmetric plane wave of type IIB can be obtained from the Penrose limit of $AdS_5 \times S^5$. The energy and the momentum in the AdS space is given by the global coordinates in terms of E and J. In terms of dual CFT, these are actually the energy and R charge of a state of field theory on $S^3 \times R$, where S^3 has unit radius. One can then identify $E = \Delta$ as the conformal dimension of an operator in R^4. The precise identification is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2p^{-} &= -p_{+} = \Delta - J, \\
2p^{+} &= -p_{-} = \frac{\Delta + J}{R^2}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.4.5}
$$

In terms of Yang-Mills parameter the lightcone hamiltonian can be written and one can find out the contribution of each oscillator:

$$
(\Delta - J)_n = w_n = \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\pi g N n^2}{J^2}}, \tag{1.4.6}
$$

with $\frac{gN}{J^2}$ remaining fixed in the $gN \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

It looks quite promising at the moment to study string theory in pp-wave background with RR and NS-NS flux being turned on, in order to understand string theory-gauge theory duality by using AdS/CFT like correspondence. String theory in this background is easy to handle due to the presence of natural lightcone gauge and in many cases it can be quantized exactly. Study of D-branes: classical solutions, open string spectrum and supersymmetry in these backgrounds with both constant and nonconstant flux has also been a subject of intense discussion in the recent past.

1.5 Plan of the Thesis

We briefly outline the content of the subsequent chapters below.

In *chapter 2*, we construct new D-brane bound states using charged macroscopic type IIB string solutions. Starting from $D = 9$ charged macroscopic string solutions, we obtain solutions in $D = 10$, which are interpreted as D-brane bound states carrying (F, $D0$, $D2$) charges as well as nonzero momenta. The mass-charge relationship of these bound states are explicitly shown to satisfy the non-threshold bound of 1/2 BPS objects.

In *chapter 3*, we present classical solutions of Dp as well as $Dp - Dp'$ branes in pp-wave spacetime, with constant NS-NS and RR flux. The supersymmetric properties of the solutions are analyzed by solving the type II killing spinor equations explicitly. We also discuss the worldsheet construction of the $p - p'$ branes. We then generalize the above solutions to the cases where the pp-wave background is supported by nonconstant flux as well.

In *chapter 4*, we analyze various open and mixed sector tree-level amplitudes of $N = 2$ strings in a space-time with (2,2) signature, in the presence of constant B field. We have been able to reproduce the expected 'topological' nature of string amplitudes in the open sector. In the mixed sector, we compute a 3-point function and show that the corresponding field theory is written in terms of a generalized *-product.

In *chapter 5*, we present the overview and conclusion.

Bibliography

[1] A. Polyakov, “Quantum Geometry of Bosonic Strings”, Phys.Lett. **B103** (1981) 207; *“Quantum Geometry of Fermionic Strings”*, Phys.Lett. **B103** (1981) 211.

[2] E. Witten, “String Theory Dynamics In Various Dimensions”, Nucl. Phys. **B443** (1995) 85, [hep-th/9503124].

[3] A. Dabholkar, “Ten Dimensional Heterotic String as a Soliton”, Phys. Lett. **B357** (1995) 307, [hep-th/9506160].

[4] C. Hull, “String-String Duality in Ten Dimensions”, Phys. Lett. **B357** (1995) 545, [hep-th/9506194].

[5] J. Polchinski and E. Witten, “Evidence for Heterotic - Type I String Duality”, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 525, [hep-th/9510169].

[6] C. Hull and P. Townsend, “Unity of Superstring Dualities”, Nucl. Phys. **B438** (1995) 109, [hep-th/9410167].

[7] M. Duff, “Strong/Weak Coupling Duality from the Dual String”, Nucl. Phys. **B442** (1995) 47, [hep-th/9501030];

M. Duff and R. Khuri, “Four-Dimensional String/String Duality”, Nucl. Phys. **B411** (1994) 473, [hep-th/9305142].

[8] A. Sen, “STRING STRING DUALITY CONJECTURE IN SIX DIMENSIONS AND CHARGED SOLITONIC STRINGS”, Nucl. Phys. **B450** (1995) 103. [hep-th/9504027].

[9] J. Harvey and A. Strominger, “The Heterotic String is a Soliton”, Nucl. Phys. **B449** (1995) 535, [hep-th/9504047].

[10] A. Giveon, M. Porrati and E. Rabinovici, “Target Space Duality in String Theory”, Phys. Rep. **244** (1994) 77, [hep-th/9401139].

[11] A. Sen, “Strong-Weak Coupling Duality in Four Dimensional String Theory”, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A9** (1994) 3707,hep-th/9402002.

[12] J. H. Schwarz, “The Second Superstring Revolution”, hep-th/9607067.

[13] J. Polchinski, “String Duality–A Colloquium”, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68** (1996) 1245, hep-th/9607050.

[14] M. Dine, “String Theory Dualities”, hep-th/9609051.

[15] A. Sagnotti, “Open Strings and their Symmetry Group”, Cargese Summer Inst. (1987) 0521, hep-th/0208020.

[16] G. Pradisi, A. Sagnotti, “Open String Orbifolds”, Phys. Lett. **B216** (1989) 59.

[17] M. Bianchi, G. Pradisi, A. Sagnotti, “Toroidal Compactification and Symmetry breaking in Open String theories”, Nucl. Phys. **B376** (1992) 365.

[18] M. Dine, P. Y. Huet, N. Seiberg, “Large and Small radius in String theory”, Nucl. Phys. **B322** (1989)301.

[19] J. Dai, R.G. Leigh, J. Polchinski, “New Connections Between String theories”, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A4** (1989) 2073.

[20] R.G. Leigh, “Dirac-Born-Infeld action from Dirichlet Sigma model”, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A4** (1989) 2767.

[21] J. Polchinski, “Combinatorics of Boundaries in String Theory”, Phys.Rev. **D50** (1994) 6041, hep-th/9407031.

[22] K.S. Narain, “New Heterotic string theories in uncompactified dimensions ¡ 10”, Phys.Lett.**B169** (1986) 41.

[23] K. S. Narain, M. H. Sarmadi, E. Witten, “ A note on Toroidal Compactification of Heterotic string theory”, Nucl. Phys. **B279** (1987) 369.

[24] P. Ginsparg, “Comments on Toroidal Compactification of Heterotic Superstrings”, Phys. Rev. **D35** (1987) 648.

[25] J. A. Harvey, J. A. Minahan, “Open Strings on Orbifolds”, Phys. Lett. **B188** (1987) 44.

[26] N. Ishibashi, T. Onogi, “ Open String Model Building”, Nucl. Phys. **B318** (1989) 239.

[27] A. Sagnotti, “Closed strings and their Open string Descendants”, Phys. Rept. **184** (1989) 167.

[28] P. Horava, “Strings on Worldsheet Orbifolds”, Nucl. Phys. **B327** (1989) 461.

[29] J. Polchinski, “ Dirichlet-Branes and Ramond-Ramond Charges”, Phys.Rev.Lett. 75 (1995) 4724, hep-th/9510017.

[30] J. Polchinski, “TASI Lectures on D-Branes”, hep-th/9611050.

[31] J. Polchinski, S. Chaudhuri, and C. V. Johnson, “Notes on D-Branes”, hep-th/9602052.

[32] C. V. Johnson, “D-Brane Primer”, hep-th/0007170.

[33] C. Angelantonj, A. Sagnotti, “Open Strings”, Phys. Rept. **371** (2002) 1; Erratum-ibid. 376 (2003) 339, hep-th/0204089.

[34] C. Bachas, “(Half) a Lecture on D-branes”, hep-th/9701019.

[35] J. C. Breckenridge, G. Michaud, R. C. Myers, “More D-brane bound states”, Phys.Rev. **D55** (1997) 6438, hep-th/9611174.

[36] A. Connes, M. R. Douglas, A. Schwarz, “Noncommutative Geometry and Matrix Theory: Compactification on Tori”, JHEP **9802** (1998) 003, hep-th/9711162.

[37] M. R. Douglas, C. Hull, “D-branes and the Noncommutative Torus”, hep-th/9711165.

[38] N. Seiberg, E. Witten, “String Theory and Noncommutative Geometry”, JHEP **9909** (1999) 032, hep-th/9908142.

[39] G. ’t Hooft, “A Planar Diagram Theory For Strong Interactions”, Nucl.Phys. **B72** (1974) 461.

[40] J. M. Maldacena, “The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity”, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. **2** (1998) 231; Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1113, hep-th/9711200.

[41] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, A.M. Polyakov, “Gauge Theory Correlators from Non-Critical String Theory”, Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 105, hep-th/9802109.

[42] E. Witten, “Anti De Sitter Space And Holography”, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. **2** (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150.

[43] E. D’Hoker, D. Z. Freedman, W. Skiba, “Field Theory Tests for Correlators in the AdS/CFT Correspondence”, Phys.Rev. **D59** (1999) 045008, hep-th/9807098.

[44] D. Berenstein, J. Maldacena, H. Nastase, “ Strings in flat space and pp waves from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang Mills”, JHEP **0204** (2002) 013, hep-th/0202021.

[45] M. Green, J. H. Schwarz, E. Witten, “Superstring Theory (Vol-I), Introduction”, Cambridge Press.

Chapter 2

D-BRANE BOUND STATES

2.1 Introduction

Bound states of D-branes [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] have been an interesting area of investigation due to their applications in understanding the non- perturbative aspects of various string and gauge theories [10, 11, 12]. In particular, the supergravity configurations of supersymmetric D-branes and their bound states were used extensively in testing various conjectures which involve knowledge of string theory or gauge theory beyond their perturbative regime. They are also of importance in understanding the physics of black holes from a microscopic point of view. In view of their widespread applications, it is often very useful to generalize D-brane bound state constructions. As a step in this direction, in [13], we have constructed generalized $D0-D2$ bound state using charged macroscopic strings [14, 15]. These strings carry, in general, many parameters associated with the charges and currents. As a consequence, the D-brane bound states have many nontrivial charges. We argue that these configurations can also in general carry F-string charges and momenta along their worldvolume directions. We then further extend our result by presenting the generalized $(Dp-D(p+2))$ bound states. In this context we give an explicit example for the $D1-D3$ case. A method for obtaining the bound state of various D-branes is described explicitly in [16]. This is done by smearing the brane of type IIA (IIB) theory along certain transverse direction and mixing it with a longitudinal direction through a coordinate transformation to construct 'tilted' brane in $D = 10$. Finally, an application of T-duality on the configuration leads to a bound state solution in the dualized IIB (IIA) theory. As stated earlier, in this paper we concentrate mainly on $D0 - D2$ bound state of the IIA theory. They are constructed by starting with a D-string solution in the IIB theory in $D = 10$, which is also delocalized along one of the transverse directions. These delocalized solutions can also be obtained by decompactifying a fundamental string solution in $D = 9$ to $D = 10$. Further, one applies an S- duality transformation in $D = 10$ to construct $SL(2, Z)$ multiplets. One, however, knows the existence of more general string solutions in heterotic as well as type II strings in all dimensions $4 \leq D \leq 9$[14, 15], which carry vectorial charges and currents. We make use of such charged macroscopic string solutions to generate a generalized smeared (delocalized) D-string in $D = 10$. We then apply the procedure for obtaining the D-brane bound states, as described above, on such delocalized configurations. For the special case when vectorial charges are set to zero, our delocalized solutions reduce to the $D = 10$ smeared string solutions of [16]. We therefore have a generalization of the $D0-D2$ bound state by starting with the charge macroscopic string solutions in $D = 9$.

We also show that by smearing some of the transverse directions of our 'generalized' $D0-D2$ bound state discussed above, and applying T-duality along these additional directions, we can construct new $Dp - D(p + 2)$ bound states as well. We work out the case, $p = 1$, explicitly. In fact even more general bound states can be constructed by using $D < 9$ charged macroscopic string solutions. We however mainly restrict ourselves to the $D = 9$ solutions. We also explicitly verify the (non-threshold) BPS condition in all the examples discussed here.

2.2 Review of Charged Macroscopic String Solutions

Study of string theory in early 90's focussed mainly on the construction of classical solutions in string theory. In order to study the nonperturbative aspects of string theory, one needs to include the solitonic states present in the theory in addition to the standard Fock space states. In particular, some underlying symmetries of string theory become manifest only after including these solitonic states. This also gives new insight into the study of black hole evaporation in quantum gravity. In [17, 18], a specific example of such solution was constructed, which represents fields around a macroscopic heterotic strings[19]. It was found that various properties of these strings resemble with those of the string solutions found earlier, such as the force between two parallel strings vanish and so on. The classical solutions of the low energy effective field theory equations of motion were constructed which represent multi-string solutions. These strings carry both electric and magnetic charges. The study of charged macroscopic strings are useful in the context of black hole physics [20] and strong/weak duality applications. In the context of dualities, they played an important role in $SL(2,Z)$ duality in ten dimension and a string-string duality between $K3$ compactification of IIA and T^4 compactification of heterotic string theory. The support for this duality involved the construction of certain BPS states carrying (1-form) gauge field charges. Such states were obtained by using charged macroscopic string solution of heterotic strings with 1/2 supersymmetry and then by mapping them to type II theory.

In case of heterotic string theory, besides the string coordinate X^μ there are other degrees of freedom as well. In particular, it contains 16 internal degrees of freedom Y^I, which are responsible for giving the gauge field in the spectrum of the theory. Thus if one considers a string source, where the worldsheet momenta conjugate to the coordinate Y^I is nonvanishing, it would represent a macroscopic string carrying finite amount of charge per unit length. Charged macroscopic string solutions are generated from the neutral string solution by applying a solution generating technique[14] and are in general parametrized by a group

$(O(d-1,1;d-1,1))$ arising out of one time and $d-1$ spatial isometries. These parameters also appear in the charged macroscopic string solutions. In particular, the solution described in [14, 15] is parametrized by two nontrivial parameters of the transformation namely α and β. The general solution for arbitrary values of α and β for $D < 10$ is given in [15]. Explicit supersymmetry property of these solutions are given for $\beta = 0$, $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha = -\beta$ in [15]. For algebraic simplifications, in this paper, we will deal with these choices of α and β. Decompactifications, $SL(2,Z)$ transformations and T- duality operations for general α and β are possible to write down, but algebraically more complicated.

The bosonic backgrounds associated with charged macroscopic strings in spacetime dimension D have been obtained from similar solutions in heterotic strings[14] by turning of the sixteen gauge fields associated with the right moving bosonic sector. The above is possible because the right moving sector is proportional to the NS-NS sector of type II theory in ten dimensions. The solution is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= r^{D-4}\Delta^{-1}[-(r^{D-4}+C)dt^2 + C(\cosh\alpha - \cosh\beta)dtdx^{D-1} \\
&+ (r^{D-4} + C\cosh\alpha\cosh\beta)(dx^{D-1})^2] \\
&+ (dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{D-3}^2), \qquad (2.2.1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$B_{(D-1)t} = \frac{C}{2\Delta}(\cosh\alpha + \cosh\beta)\{r^{D-4} + \frac{1}{2}C(1+\cosh\alpha\cosh\beta)\}, \qquad (2.2.2)$$

$$e^{-\Phi} = \frac{\Delta^{1/2}}{r^{D-4}}, \qquad (2.2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_t^{(a)} &= -\frac{n^{(a)}}{2\sqrt{2}\Delta}C\sinh\alpha\{r^{D-4}\cosh\beta + \frac{1}{2}C(\cosh\alpha + \cosh\beta)\} \\
&\qquad \text{for} \qquad 1 \leq a \leq (10-D)\,, \\
&= -\frac{p^{(a-10+D)}}{2\sqrt{2}\Delta}C\sinh\beta\{r^{D-4}\cosh\alpha + \frac{1}{2}C(\cosh\alpha + \cosh\beta)\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{for} \qquad (10-D)+1 \leq a \leq (20-2D)\,, \tag{2.2.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(a)}_{D-1} &= -\frac{n^{(a)}}{2\sqrt{2}\Delta} C \sinh\alpha \{ r^{D-4} + \frac{1}{2} C \cosh\beta (\cosh\alpha + \cosh\beta) \} \\ &\qquad \text{for} \qquad 1 \leq a \leq (10-D)\,, \\ &= \frac{p^{(a-10+D)}}{2\sqrt{2}\Delta} C \sinh\beta \{ r^{D-4} + \frac{1}{2} C \cosh\alpha (\cosh\alpha + \cosh\beta) \} \\ &\qquad \text{for} \qquad (10-D)+1 \leq a \leq (20-2D)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.5}$$

$$M_D = I_{20-2D} + \begin{pmatrix} Pnn^T & Qnp^T \\ Qpn^T & Ppp^T \end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{2.2.6}$$

where,

$$\Delta = r^{2(D-4)} + C r^{D-4} (1 + \cosh\alpha \cosh\beta) + \frac{C^2}{4} (\cosh\alpha + \cosh\beta)^2\,, \tag{2.2.7}$$

$$P = \frac{C^2}{2\Delta} \sinh^2\alpha \sinh^2\beta\,, \tag{2.2.8}$$

$$Q = -C \Delta^{-1} \sinh\alpha \sinh\beta \{ r^{D-4} + \frac{1}{2} C (1 + \cosh\alpha \cosh\beta) \}\,. \tag{2.2.9}$$

with $n^{(a)}$, $p^{(a)}$ being the components of $(10-D)$-dimensional unit vectors. A_μ's in eqns. (2.2.4), (2.2.5) are the gauge fields appearing due to the Kaluza-Klein (KK) reductions of the ten dimensional metric and the 2-form antisymmetric tensor coming from the NS-NS sector, $B_{\mu\nu}$ is the NS-NS 2-form field and Φ is the dilaton in the D-dimensional space-time. In the above configuration, C is a constant related to tension of the string. The matrix M_D parameterizes the moduli fields. The exact form of this parameterization depends on the form of the $O(10-D, 10-D)$ metric used. The above solution has been written for a diagonal metric of the form:

$$L_D = \begin{pmatrix} -I_{10-D} & \\ & I_{10-D} \end{pmatrix}\,. \tag{2.2.10}$$

One sometimes also uses an off-diagonal metric convention (as in eqn.(2.2.17) below:

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} & I_{10-D} \\ I_{10-D} & \end{pmatrix}\,. \tag{2.2.11}$$

These two conventions are however related by:

$$L_D = \hat{P} L \hat{P}^T, \quad M_D = \hat{P} M \hat{P}^T, \tag{2.2.12}$$

where

$$\hat{P} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -I_{10-D} & I_{10-D} \\ I_{10-D} & I_{10-D} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2.13}$$

The gauge fields in two conventions are related as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^1_\mu \\ A^2_\mu \end{pmatrix} = \hat{P} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}^1_\mu \\ \hat{A}^2_\mu \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.2.14}$$

with $A^{1,2}_\mu$'s in the above equation being $(10-D)$-dimensional columns consisting of the gauge fields A_μ's defined in (2.2.4-2.2.5), and coming from the left and the right-moving sectors of string theory.

We now discuss the decompactification of the D-dimensional solutions (2.2.1)-(2.2.9) to $D = 10$. As stated earlier, we now restrict ourselves to specific values of the parameters: $\alpha = -\beta$, $\alpha = \beta$ and $\beta = 0, \alpha \neq 0$ for algebraic simplifications. These special cases, in particular the latest possibility, encompasses all the nontrivialities of our construction. We also restrict ourselves to $D = 9$ for constructing $D0 - D2$ bound state. First we start with the seed solution (with $\beta = 0$, α arbitrary) given as:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \frac{1}{\cosh^2\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{-E} - \sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}}(-dt^2 + (dx^{D-1})^2) \\
&+ \frac{\sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}(e^{-E}-1)}{(\cosh^2\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{-E} - \sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2})^2}(dt + dx^{D-1})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{D-2} dx^i dx^i, \\
B_{(D-1)t} &= \frac{\cosh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}(e^{-E}-1)}{\cosh^2\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{-E} - \sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \\
A^{(1)}_{D-1} &= A^{(1)}_t = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \times \frac{\sinh\alpha(e^{-E}-1)}{\cosh^2\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{-E} - \sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \\
\Phi &= -\ln(\cosh^2\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{-E} - \sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.15}$$

with e^{-E} being the Green function in the 5-dimensional transverse space:

$$e^{-E} = (1 + \frac{C}{r^5}), \tag{2.2.16}$$

and constant C determines the string tension.

Now, to construct delocalized solutions in $D = 10$, we decompactify the above solution back to ten dimensions. The decompactification exercise is done following a set of notations given in [22]. When restricted to the NS-NS sector of type II theories, they can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{G}_{ab} = G^{(10)}_{[a+(D-1),b+(D-1)]}, \quad \hat{B}_{ab} = B^{(10)}_{[a+(D-1),b+(D-1)]}, \\
&\hat{A}^{(a)}_{\bar{\mu}} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{G}^{ab}G^{(10)}_{[b+(D-1),\bar{\mu}]}, \\
&\hat{A}^{(a+(10-D))}_{\bar{\mu}} = \frac{1}{2}B^{(10)}_{[a+(D-1),\bar{\mu}]} - \hat{B}_{ab}A^{(b)}_{\bar{\mu}}, \\
&G_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} = G^{(10)}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} - G^{(10)}_{[(a+(D-1)),\bar{\mu}]}G^{(10)}_{[(b+(D-1)),\bar{\nu}]}\hat{G}^{ab}, \\
&B_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} = B^{(10)}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} - 4\hat{B}_{ab}A^{(a)}_{\bar{\mu}}A^{(b)}_{\bar{\nu}} - 2(A^{(a)}_{\bar{\mu}}A^{(a+(10-D))}_{\bar{\nu}} - A^{(a)}_{\bar{\nu}}A^{(a+(10-D))}_{\bar{\mu}}), \\
&\Phi = \Phi^{(10)} - \frac{1}{2}\ln\det\hat{G}, \qquad 1 \le a, b \le 10 - D, \quad 0 \le \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu} \le (D-1).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.17}$$

We now start with the nine-dimensional ($D = 9$) solution in (2.2.15) and following the Kaluza-Klein (KK) compactification mechanism summarized above, write down the solution directly in ten dimensions[15]. For $\beta = 0$, only nonzero background fields are then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \frac{1}{\cosh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}e^{-E} - \sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}}(-dt^2 + (dx^8)^2) \\
&+ \frac{\sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}(e^{-E} - 1)}{\cosh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}e^{-E} - \sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}}(dt + dx^8)^2 \\
&+ \frac{\sinh\alpha(e^{-E} - 1)}{\cosh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}e^{-E} - \sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}}dx^9(dt + dx^8) + \sum_{i=1}^{7} dx^i dx^i + (dx^9)^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.18}$$

$$B_{8t} = \frac{\cosh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}(e^{-E} - 1)}{\cosh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}e^{-E} - \sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

$$B_{9t} = -\frac{\sinh\alpha}{2}\frac{(e^{-E}-1)}{\cosh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}e^{-E}-\sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}} = B_{98}. \qquad (2.2.19)$$

The dilaton in ten dimensions remains same as the one in (2.2.15):

$$\Phi^{(10)} = -\ln(\cosh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}e^{-E}-\sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}). \qquad (2.2.20)$$

For $\alpha = -\beta$, on the other hand, we have $D = 9$ solutions given by a metric:

$$ds^2 = -\frac{1}{1+\frac{C\cosh^2\alpha}{r^5}}dt^2 + \frac{1}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}}(dx^8)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{7}dx^i dx^i. \qquad (2.2.21)$$

The only non-zero component of the antisymmetric tensor is of the form

$$B_{t8} = -\frac{C\cosh\alpha}{2}\left[\frac{1}{(r^5+C)} + \frac{1}{(r^5+C\cosh^2\alpha)}\right]. \qquad (2.2.22)$$

We also have a nontrivial modulus parameterizing the $O(1,1)$ matrix M_D in eqn.(2.2.6):

$$\hat{G}_{99} \equiv \hat{g} = \frac{1+\frac{C\cosh^2\alpha}{r^5}}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}}. \qquad (2.2.23)$$

The two gauge fields appearing in equations (2.2.17) for $D = 9$ are of the form:

$$\hat{A}^1_t = \frac{C\sinh\alpha\cosh\alpha}{2(r^5+C\cosh^2\alpha)}, \quad \hat{A}^1_8 = 0, \qquad (2.2.24)$$

$$\hat{A}^2_t = 0, \quad \hat{A}^2_8 = \frac{-C\sinh\alpha}{2(r^5+C)}. \qquad (2.2.25)$$

The decompactified solution for $\alpha = -\beta$ case in $D = 10$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -\frac{(1-\frac{C}{r^5}\sinh^2\alpha)}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}}(dt)^2 + \frac{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}}(dx^9)^2 \\ &+ \frac{\frac{2C}{r^5}\cosh\alpha\sinh\alpha}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}}dx^9dt + \frac{1}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}}(dx^8)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{7}(dx^i)^2, \end{aligned} \qquad (2.2.26)$$

antisymmetric NS-NS $B_{\mu\nu}$:

$$B_{98} = -C\frac{\sinh\alpha}{r^5+C}, \quad B_{t8} = -C\frac{\cosh\alpha}{r^5+C}, \qquad (2.2.27)$$

and by the dilaton:

$$\Phi^{(10)} = -\ln(1 + \frac{C}{r^5}). \tag{2.2.28}$$

For $\alpha = \beta$, the $D = 9$ background metric and antisymmetric tensors are identical to the one in (2.2.21). The modulus field is now given by,

$$\hat{g} = \frac{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}{1 + \frac{C\cosh^2\alpha}{r^5}}. \tag{2.2.29}$$

Finally the components of the gauge fields are now:

$$\hat{A}^1_t = 0,\ \ \hat{A}^1_8 = \frac{C\sinh\alpha}{2(r^5 + C)}, \tag{2.2.30}$$

$$\hat{A}^2_t = \frac{-C\sinh\alpha\cosh\alpha}{2(r^5 + Ccosh^2\alpha)},\ \ \ \hat{A}^2_8 = 0. \tag{2.2.31}$$

The 10 dimensional metric along with the antisymmetric tensor fields and dilaton are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= -\frac{1}{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}dt^2 + \frac{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}(dx^9)^2 + \frac{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\sinh^2\alpha}{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}(dx^8)^2 \\
&+ 2\frac{\frac{C}{r^5}\sinh\alpha}{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}(dx^8)(dx^9) + \sum_{i=1}^{7}(dx^i)^2, \\
B_{9t} &= -\frac{\frac{C}{r^5}}{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}\sinh\alpha\cosh\alpha,\ \ B_{8t} = \frac{\frac{C}{r^5}}{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}\cosh\alpha \\
\phi^{(10)} &= -\ln(1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.32}$$

Before applying $SL(2, Z)$ transformation to the solutions in eqns. (2.2.18)-(2.2.20), (2.2.26)-(2.2.28) and (2.2.32), we now describe the general construction of $Dp - D(p+2)$ bound states starting from D- strings of type IIB [16].

2.3 Construction of D-brane bound states

In this section we first review the construction of $D0 - D2$ non-threshold bound states in type IIA string theories from the (neutral) D-strings in type IIB. Our starting point in this case is the D-string solution, smeared (delocalized) along a transverse direction $x \equiv x^9$. This solution is given as:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \sqrt{H}\left(\frac{-dt^2+dy^2}{H} + dx^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{7}(dx^i)^2\right), \\ A^{(2)} &= \pm\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right) dt\wedge dy, \\ e^{\Phi_b^{(10)}} &= H. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.1}$$

$$H = 1 + \frac{C}{r^5}. \tag{2.3.2}$$

is the solution of the Greens' function equation:

$$\Delta H = C A_{N-1}\pi_{i=1}^{N}\delta(x^i), \tag{2.3.3}$$

where N indicates the transverse directions which are not smeared and A_N is the area of S^N orthogonal to the brane. To compare with the solutions in section-2, one identifies $H = e^{-E}$. Then a rotation is performed between x and y directions:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & -\sin\phi \\ \sin\phi & \cos\phi \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{y} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.3.4}$$

to mix the longitudinal and transverse coordinates of the above solution. The solution in eqn.(2.3.1) then transforms to:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \sqrt{H}\Big[\frac{-dt^2}{H} + (\frac{\cos^2\phi}{H} + \sin^2\phi)d\tilde{y}^2 + (\frac{\sin^2\phi}{H} + \cos^2\phi)d\tilde{x}^2 \\ &+ 2\cos\phi\sin\phi(\frac{1}{H}-1)d\tilde{y}d\tilde{x} + \sum_{i=2}^{8}(dx^i)^2\Big], \\ A^{(2)} &= \pm\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right) dt\wedge(\cos\phi d\tilde{y} + \sin\phi d\tilde{x}), \\ e^{\Phi_b^{(10)}} &= H. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.5}$$

Finally, a T-duality transformation [23, 16] on coordinate $\tilde{x}$ gives the following classical solution in the type IIA theory:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \sqrt{H}\left(-\frac{dt^2}{H} + \frac{d\tilde{x}^2 + d\tilde{y}^2}{1+(H-1)\cos\phi} + \sum_{i=2}^{8}(dx^i)^2\right), \\
A^{(1)} &= \pm\left(\frac{1}{H} - 1\right)\sin\phi dt, \\
A^{(3)} &= \pm\frac{(H-1)\cos\phi}{1+(H-1)\cos^2\phi} dt \wedge d\tilde{x} \wedge d\tilde{y}, \\
B^{(a)} &= \frac{(H-1)\cos\phi\sin\phi}{1+(H-1)\cos^2\phi} d\tilde{x} \wedge d\tilde{y}, \\
e^{\Phi_a^{(10)}} &= \frac{H^{\frac{3}{2}}}{1+(H-1)\cos^2\phi}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3.6}$$

Solution in eqn. (2.3.6) can be interpreted as a $D0 - D2$ bound state. The $D0$ and $D2$ charge densities carried by the above bound state solution are given as:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_0 &= 5C\sin\phi A_6, \\
Q_2 &= 5C\cos\phi A_6,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3.7}$$

where A_6 is the area of S^6 orthogonal to the brane. The ADM mass [24, 16] is defined by the expression:

$$m = \int \sum_{i=1}^{9-p} n^i \left[\sum_{j=1}^{9-p}(\partial_j h_{ij} - \partial_i h_{jj}) - \sum_{a=1}^{p}\partial_i h_{aa}\right] r^{8-p} d\Omega, \tag{2.3.8}$$

where n^i is a radial unit vector in the transverse space and $h_{\mu\nu}$ is the deformation of the Einstein-frame metric from flat space in the asymptotic region. We also should mention here that in order to write mass and charges, we have tuned the gravitational constant to a suitable value. The ADM mass density in the present context is found to be:

$$m_{0,2} = 5CA_6. \tag{2.3.9}$$

Mass and charge densities given in eqns. (2.3.7) and (2.3.9) above satisfy the BPS condition:

$$(m_{0,2})^2 = (Q_0^2 + Q_2^2). \tag{2.3.10}$$

To generalize the results to other D-brane bound states, we smear one more transverse direction, $x^i \sim x^7$ in eqn. (2.3.6). Finally applying T-duality along this direction, one is able to construct a $D1 - D3$ bound state. In the dualized (IIB) theory, one can write down all the field components trivially. One finds an exact matching with the $D1 - D3$ solution of [16]. In particular, matching of the 4-form field can be seen by using the identity:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(4)} &\equiv \frac{(H-1)\cos\phi}{1+(H-1)\cos^2\phi} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(H-1)}{H}\frac{\sin\phi(H-1)\cos\phi\sin\phi}{1+(H-1)\cos^2\phi} \\ &= \frac{(H-1)\cos\phi}{2H}\left[1+\frac{H}{1+(H-1)\cos^2\phi}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.11}$$

We give the final $D1 - D3$ solution [16] for completeness as well as for later use:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \sqrt{H}\Big\{\frac{-dt^2+(dy^2)^2}{H} + \frac{d\tilde{y}^2 + d\tilde{x}^2}{1+(H-1)\cos^2\phi} + dr^2 \\ &+ r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta(d\phi_1^2 + \sin^2\phi_1(d\phi_2^2 + \sin^2\phi_2(d\phi_3^2 + \sin^2\phi_3 d\phi_4^2))))\Big\} \\ A^{(4)} &= \mp\frac{\cos\phi}{2}\frac{H-1}{H}\left(1+\frac{H}{1+(H-1)\cos^2\phi}\right)\times dt\wedge d\tilde{y}\wedge dy^2\wedge d\tilde{x} \\ &\pm 4C\cos\phi\,\sin^4\theta\sin^3\phi_1\sin^2\phi_2\cos\phi_3 d\phi\wedge d\phi_1\wedge d\phi_2\wedge d\phi_4, \\ A^{(2)} &= \pm\frac{H-1}{H}\sin\phi\, dt\wedge dy^2, \\ B^{(b)} &= \frac{(H-1)\cos\phi\sin\phi}{1+(H-1)\cos^2\phi}d\tilde{x}\wedge d\tilde{y}, \\ e^{\Phi_b^{(10)}} &= \frac{H}{1+(H-1)\cos^2\phi}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.12}$$

where $H = 1 + \frac{C}{r^4}$.

One can now repeat this process to generate all the bound states of $Dp - D(p+2)$ type in a similar manner. We now apply the general procedure described above to the charged macroscopic string solutions of section-2.2.

2.4 Generalized $(Dp - D(p+2))$ bound state

In this section we construct non-threshold bound states which are the generalization of the $D0 - D2$ bound state presented in the previous section. Here we discuss the $\alpha = -\beta$ and $\alpha = \beta$ solutions of section-2 and postpone the discussion of $\beta = 0, \alpha \neq 0$ solutions to section-2.5.

2.4.1 $\alpha = -\beta$ Solution and Generalization of $D0 - D2$ Bound States

The delocalized elementary string solution is given in eqns.(2.2.26)-(2.2.28). A delocalized D-string in $D = 10$ can be generated from this solution by an application of S-duality transformation [25] which transforms an elementary string into a D-string. The metric, antisymmetric 2-form ($B_{\mu\nu}$) and the dilaton for the delocalized D-string solution are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -\frac{1 - \frac{C}{r^5}\sinh^2\alpha}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}}(dt)^2 + \frac{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}}(dx^9)^2 \\ &+ \frac{2\frac{C}{r^5}\sinh\alpha\cosh\alpha}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}}dx^9 dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}}(dx^8)^2 \\ &+ \sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}\sum_{i=1}^{7}(dx^i)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4.1}$$

$$B^{(2)}_{98} = B_{98},\ B^{(2)}_{t8} = B_{t8},\ e^{\Phi_b^{(10)}} = 1 + \frac{C}{r^5}, \tag{2.4.2}$$

with B_{98} and B_{t8} as given in eqn. (2.2.27) and the superscript on B denotes the R - R nature of the 2-form antisymmetric tensors. The next step of our construction is to apply rotation in $(x^9 - x^8)$- plane by an angle ϕ which gives the following configuration:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= -\frac{1 - \frac{C}{r^5}\mathrm{sinh}^2\alpha}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}}dt^2 + \frac{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha\mathrm{cos}^2\phi}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}}(d\tilde{x}^9)^2 \\
&+ \frac{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha\mathrm{sin}^2\phi}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}}(d\tilde{x}^8)^2 + \frac{2\frac{C}{r^5}\mathrm{cosh}\alpha\mathrm{sinh}\alpha\mathrm{cos}\phi}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}}d\tilde{x}^9 dt \\
&- \frac{2\frac{C}{r^5}\mathrm{cosh}\alpha\mathrm{sinh}\alpha\mathrm{sin}\phi}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}}d\tilde{x}^8 dt - \frac{2\frac{C}{r^5}\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha\mathrm{sin}\phi\mathrm{cos}\phi}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}}(d\tilde{x}^9)d\tilde{x}^8 \\
&+ \sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}\sum_{i=1}^{7}(dx^i)^2, \qquad (2.4.3)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B^{(2)}_{\tilde{8}t} &= \frac{C}{C + r^5}\mathrm{cosh}\alpha\mathrm{cos}\phi, \\
B^{(2)}_{\tilde{9}t} &= \frac{C}{C + r^5}\mathrm{cosh}\alpha\mathrm{sin}\phi, \\
B^{(2)}_{\tilde{9}\tilde{8}} &= \frac{-C}{C + r^5}\mathrm{sinh}\alpha. \qquad (2.4.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we apply T-duality along the $\tilde{x}^9$-direction. By following the prescription as given in [16, 23], we end up with the structure for the metric, NS- NS $B_{\mu\nu}$, as well as 1- form and 3- form fields of type IIA theory:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\mathcal{S}^2 &= -\frac{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}(1 - \mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha\,\sin^2\phi)}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}(1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha\cos^2\phi)}dt^2 \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}}{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha\mathrm{cos}^2\phi}(d\tilde{x}^9)^2 + \frac{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}(1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha\mathrm{cos}^2\phi)}(d\tilde{x}^8)^2 \\
&- \frac{\frac{C}{r^5}\mathrm{sinh}\alpha\mathrm{cosh}\alpha\mathrm{sin}\phi}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}(1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha\mathrm{cos}^2\phi)}dtd\tilde{x}^8 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}}\sum_{i=1}^{7}(dx^i)^2, \qquad (2.4.5)
\end{aligned}$$

$$e^{\Phi_b^{(10)}} = \frac{(1 + \frac{C}{r^5})^{3/2}}{1 + \frac{C}{r^5}\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha\mathrm{cos}^2\phi},$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_t &= \frac{C}{C+r^5}\cosh\alpha\sin\phi, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{x}^8} = \frac{-C}{C+r^5}\sinh\alpha \\
\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{9}t} &= \frac{\frac{-C}{r^5}\sinh\alpha\cosh\alpha\cos\phi}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha\cos^2\phi}, \qquad \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{9}\tilde{8}} = \frac{\frac{C}{r^5}\sin\phi\cos\phi\cosh^2\alpha}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha\cos^2\phi}, \\
\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{9}t\tilde{8}} &= \frac{-\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh\alpha\cos\phi}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha\cos^2\phi.}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4.6}
$$

This solution is a generalization of the $D0-D2$ bound state, where in addition we have turned on NS-NS 2-form as well. To show that this indeed represents a non-threshold 1/2 BPS state, in the next sub-section, we explicitly verify the mass-charge relation of these bound states.

Mass-Charge Relationship

To show the BPS nature of our solution, we perform a dimensional reduction of our solution along $\tilde{x}^8$ and $\tilde{x}^9$ directions. By dimensional reduction, one avoids any ambiguity that may arise due to the presence of purely spatial components of the p-form fields in equation (2.4.5), (2.4.6) above. As a result, all the nonzero charges in our case arise from the temporal part of 1-form field components only, in this eight dimensional theory [26]. They are $A^1_t \sim \mathcal{A}_t$, $A^2_t \sim \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{9}t}$, $A^3_t \sim \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{9}t\tilde{8}}$ from the components of the p-form fields, as well as off-diagonal component $g_{t\tilde{8}}/g_{\tilde{8}\tilde{8}}$ of the metric. The charges associated with these field strengths and metric components can be read from the solutions (2.4.5), (2.4.6). They are :

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= 5C\cosh\alpha\ \sin\phi, \\
Q_2 &= -5C\sinh\alpha\ \cosh\alpha\ \cos\phi, \\
Q_3 &= -5C\cosh\alpha\ \ \cos\phi,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$P \;=\; 5C\mathrm{sinh}\alpha\ \mathrm{cosh}\alpha\ \mathrm{sin}\phi, \tag{2.4.7}$$

where Q_i's are the charges corresponding to the field strengths of A^i_t that we just defined and P can be interpreted as the momentum along $\tilde{x}^8$ direction in the ten-dimensional theory. The mass-density of our bound state can be computed using (2.3.8) and is given by

$$m_{(0,2)} = 5C\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha. \tag{2.4.8}$$

Comparing (2.4.7) and (2.4.8), we get the standard BPS condition as:

$$(m_{0,2})^2 = {Q^2}_1 + {Q^2}_2 + {Q^2}_3 + P^2. \tag{2.4.9}$$

This, in turn, implies the supersymmetric nature of the bound state.

Further Generalization to $Dp - D(p+2)$

We now obtain a generalization of the $D1 - D3$ bound state solution presented in eqn. (2.3.12) by applying T-duality along x^7 direction on the generalized $D0 - D2$ solution (2.4.5), (2.4.6) presented earlier in this section. The final result is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\mathcal{S}^2 \;&=\; -\frac{1+\frac{C}{r^4}(1-\cosh^2\alpha\sin^2\phi)}{\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{r^4}}(1+\frac{C}{r^4}\cosh^2\alpha\cos^2\phi)}dt^2 + \frac{\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{r^4}}}{1+\frac{C}{r^4}\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha\mathrm{cos}^2\phi}(d\tilde{x}^9)^2 \\
&+\; \frac{1+\frac{C}{r^4}\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{r^4}}(1+\frac{C}{r^4}\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha\mathrm{cos}^2\phi)}(d\tilde{x}^8)^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{r^4}}}(dx^7)^2 \\
&-\; \frac{\frac{C}{r^4}\mathrm{sinh}\alpha\mathrm{cosh}\alpha\mathrm{sin}\phi}{\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{r^4}}(1+\frac{C}{r^4}\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha\mathrm{cos}^2\phi)}dtd\tilde{x}^8 + \sqrt{1+\frac{C}{r^4}}\sum_{i=1}^{6}(dx^i)^2, \\
\mathcal{A}^{(2)}_{7t} \;&=\; \frac{C\sin\phi\cosh\alpha}{(r^4+C)}, \qquad \mathcal{A}^{(2)}_{7\tilde{8}} = -\frac{C\sinh\alpha}{(r^4+C)}, \\
\mathcal{A}^{(4)}_{\tilde{9}t\tilde{8}7} \;&=\; -\frac{(\frac{C}{r^4}\cosh\alpha\cos\phi)}{2(1+\frac{C}{r^4})}\left[1+\frac{1+\frac{C}{r^4}}{1+\frac{C}{r^4}\cosh^2\alpha\cos^2\phi}\right], \\
\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{9}t} \;&=\; \frac{\frac{-C}{r^4}\mathrm{sinh}\alpha\mathrm{cosh}\alpha\mathrm{cos}\phi}{1+\frac{C}{r^4}\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha\mathrm{cos}^2\phi}, \qquad \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{9}\tilde{8}} = \frac{\frac{C}{r^4}\mathrm{sin}\phi\mathrm{cos}\phi\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha}{1+\frac{C}{r^4}\mathrm{cosh}^2\alpha\mathrm{cos}^2\phi},
\end{aligned}$$

$$e^{\Phi_b^{(10)}} = \frac{1+\frac{C}{r^4}}{1+\frac{C}{r^4}\cosh^2\alpha\cos^2\phi}. \tag{2.4.10}$$

Once again, for the special case $\alpha = 0$, our solution reduces to the one in [16]. We have therefore presented a generalization of the $D1-D3$ bound state to include new charges and momenta. The BPS nature of the new solution can be again examined by looking at the leading behavior of the gauge fields when the above solution is reduced along all its isometry directions: $x^7, \tilde{x}^8, \tilde{x}^9$. They are:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= 4C\cosh\alpha\sin\phi, \\
Q_2 &= -4C\cosh\alpha\cos\phi, \\
Q_3 &= -4C\sinh\alpha\cosh\alpha\cos\phi, \\
P &= -4C\sinh\alpha\cosh\alpha\sin\phi, \qquad (2.4.11)
\end{aligned}$$

where Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 and P are the charge associated with $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}_{7t}$, $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}_{\tilde{9}t\tilde{8}7}$, $\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{9}t}$ and $G_{t\tilde{8}}$, respectively.

In order to compute the ADM mass-density, we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{77} &= \frac{C}{r^4}\left(\frac{-3}{4}+\frac{1}{4}\cosh^2\alpha\cos^2\phi\right), \\
h_{\tilde{8}\tilde{8}} &= \frac{C}{r^4}\left(\cosh^2\alpha-\frac{3}{4}\cosh^2\alpha\cos^2\phi-\frac{3}{4}\right), \\
h_{\tilde{9}\tilde{9}} &= \frac{C}{r^4}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{3}{4}\cosh^2\alpha\cos^2\phi\right), \\
h_{ij} &= \frac{C}{r^4}\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}\cosh^2\alpha\cos^2\phi\right)\delta_{ij}, \qquad (2.4.12)
\end{aligned}$$

with h_{ij}'s being the deformations of the Einstein metric above flat background. One then gets, using (2.3.8), the mass-density of the $D1-D3$ system as:

$$m_{1,3}=4C\cosh^2\alpha. \tag{2.4.13}$$

We therefore once again have:

$$m_{1,3}^2=Q_1^2+Q_2^2+Q_3^2+P^2, \tag{2.4.14}$$

showing the BPS nature of the new bound state. Further generalization to higher $Dp-D(p+2)$ bound states can similarly be worked out. We therefore skip the details.

2.4.2 $\alpha=\beta$ Solution and Generalized $D0-D2$ Bound State

The delocalized D-string solution, in this case can be constructed by using the S-duality transformation on the generalized F-string solution given in eqn. (2.2.32). The classical solution for the delocalized D-string is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha)}}(dt)^2+\frac{1+\frac{C}{r^5}}{\sqrt{(1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha)}}(dx^9)^2\\
&+ \frac{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\sinh^2\alpha}{\sqrt{(1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha)}}(dx^8)^2+2\frac{\frac{C}{r^5}\sinh\alpha}{\sqrt{(1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha)}}(dx^8)(dx^9)\\
&+ \sqrt{(1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha)}\sum_{i=1}^{7}(dx^i)^2,\\
e^{\Phi^{(10)}} &= 1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha,\\
B^{(2)}_{9t} &= -\frac{\frac{C}{r^5}\sinh\alpha\cosh\alpha}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha},\quad B^{(2)}_{t8}=-\frac{\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh\alpha}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4.15}$$

Now, as already explained in the previous case, we apply a rotation in the (x^9-x^8) plane by an angle ϕ, which gives the following configuration:

$$ds^2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha)}}(dt)^2+\frac{1+\frac{C}{r^5}(\cos\phi+\sinh\alpha\sin\phi)^2}{\sqrt{(1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha)}}(d\tilde{x}^9)^2$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \frac{2\frac{C}{r^5}(\cos\phi+\sin\phi\sinh\alpha)(\sinh\alpha\cos\phi-\sin\phi)}{\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}}(d\tilde{x}^8)(d\tilde{x}^9) \\
&+ \frac{1+\frac{C}{r^5}(\sin\phi-\sinh\alpha\cos\phi)^2}{\sqrt{(1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha)}}(d\tilde{x}^8)^2+\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}\sum_{i=1}^{7}(dx^i)^2, \\
e^{\Phi^{(10)}} &= 1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha, \\
B^{(2)}_{\tilde{9}t} &= -\frac{\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh\alpha}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}(\cos\phi\sinh\alpha-\sin\phi) \\
B^{(2)}_{\tilde{8}t} &= \frac{\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh\alpha}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}(\sin\phi\sinh\alpha+\cos\phi)
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4.16}
$$

Next, we apply T-duality along $\tilde{x}^9$ direction as the final step of our construction. The metric, dilaton and various field strengths of the resulting configuration is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\mathcal{S}^2 &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha)}}(dt)^2+\frac{\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}(\cos\phi+\sinh\alpha\sin\phi)^2}(d\tilde{x}^9)^2 \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}(\cos\phi+\sinh\alpha\sin\phi)^2}(d\tilde{x}^8)^2+\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}\sum_{i=1}^{7}(dx^i)^2 \\
e^{\Phi^{(10)}} &= \frac{(1+\frac{C}{r^5})^{\frac{3}{2}}}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}(\cos\phi+\sinh\alpha\sin\phi)^2} \\
\mathcal{A}_t &= -\frac{\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh\alpha}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}(\cos\phi\sinh\alpha-\sin\phi) \\
\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{9}\tilde{8}t} &= \frac{\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh\alpha}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}\cosh^2\alpha}(\sin\phi\sinh\alpha+\cos\phi) \\
\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{9}\tilde{8}} &= -\frac{\frac{C}{r^5}(\cos\phi+\sin\phi\sinh\alpha)(\sinh\alpha\cos\phi-\sin\phi)}{1+\frac{C}{r^5}(\cos\phi+\sinh\alpha\sin\phi)^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4.17}
$$

This solution describes the generalized $D0-D2$ bound state which contains additional NS-NS fields in addition to the 1-form and 3-form RR fields. To show that the above solution really represents the non-threshold bound of $D0-D2$ branes, which preserve 1/2 supersymmetry, in the next sub-section we will verify the mass-charge relationship explicitly.

Mass-Charge Relationship

To show the BPS nature of the solution, described in the earlier sub-section, we will apply dimensional reduction along the two directions $x^{\tilde{8}}$ and $x^{\tilde{9}}$. As a result all non zero charges comes from the temporal component of 1-form field components only in the eight dimensional theory. They are $A^1_t \sim \mathcal{A}_t$, $A^2_t \sim \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{9}\tilde{8}t}$ from the components of the p-form fields. The charges associated with these field strengths can be read of from the corresponding expressions given in eqn. (2.4.17). They are:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= -5C\cosh\alpha(\cos\phi\sinh\alpha - \sin\phi), \\ \\ Q_2 &= 5C\cosh\alpha(\cos\phi + \sin\phi\sinh\alpha) \end{aligned} \tag{2.4.18}$$

where Q_i's are the charges corresponding to the field strengths of A^i_t ($i = 1, 2$) that we have defined. The mass-density of our bound state can be computed by using (2.3.8) and is given by:

$$m_{(0,2)} = 5C\cosh^2\alpha. \tag{2.4.19}$$

Comparing (2.4.18) and (2.4.19), we get the 1/2 BPS condition as:

$$(m_{0,2})^2 = Q_1^2 + Q_2^2. \tag{2.4.20}$$

This, in turn, implies the supersymmetric nature of the bound state. As described earlier, one can also find out all other $Dp - D(p+2)$ bound states by applying T-duality along the transverse directions of the $(D0 - D2)$ solution described in eqn. (2.4.17).

2.5 $\beta = 0, \alpha \neq 0$ Solutions and Generalization to (p, q)-Strings

In this section, we discuss even more nontrivial examples, using $\beta = 0, \alpha \neq 0$ cases, discussed in section-2.2. We now also perform further generalization by applying T-duality on delocalized (p, q)-strings rather than $(0, 1)$ or D-strings.

2.5.1 Construction of SL(2, Z) Multiplets

The delocalized elementary string solution in ten-dimensions for $\beta = 0, \alpha \neq 0$ situation is given in eqns.(2.2.18)-(2.2.20). This solution represents a string along x^8, which is delocalized along x^9. One can use it to construct generalized bound states in the manner described in the last section. We, however, make further generalization by using the $SL(2, Z)$ symmetry of type IIB string theories in $D = 10$. We can easily construct a (p, q) multiplet of delocalized string from (2.2.18)-(2.2.20). The procedure of constructing such configuration is discussed in [25]. Instead of giving the detail, we write down the final form of the configuration.

The metric is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{A}{\Delta}\Big(-[1 - \sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}(e^{-E} - 1)]dt^2 + [1 + \sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}(e^{-E} - 1)](dx^8)^2 \\ &+ \Delta(dx^9)^2 + \sinh\alpha(e^{-E} - 1)dx^9 dt + 2\sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}(e^{-E} - 1)dt dx^8 \\ &+ \sinh\alpha(e^{-E} - 1)dx^8 dx^9 + \Delta\sum_{i=1}^{7} dx^i dx^i\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5.1}$$

where we have defined

$$\Delta = \cosh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}e^{-E} - \sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad A = \frac{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2\Delta}}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2}}. \tag{2.5.2}$$

Furthermore, the NS-NS and R-R two forms are given by

$$B^{(1)}_{8t} = \frac{p}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2}}B_{8t}, \quad B^{(1)}_{9t} = \frac{p}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2}}B_{9t} \ = \ B^{(1)}_{98},$$

$$B^{(2)}_{8t} = \frac{q}{\sqrt{p^2+q^2}} B_{8t}, \quad B^{(2)}_{9t} = \frac{q}{\sqrt{p^2+q^2}} B_{9t} \;=\; B^{(2)}_{98}. \qquad (2.5.3)$$

Here B_{8t}, B_{9t}, B_{98} are given by (2.2.19). The superscripts 1 and 2 indicate that these two forms are NS-NS and R-R in nature respectively. Also, after $SL(2,Z)$ transformation, we have the dilaton and axion as:

$$\Phi^{(10)}_b = -2\,\ln\Big(\frac{(p^2+q^2)\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{p^2+q^2\Delta}\Big), \quad \chi = \frac{pq(\Delta-1)}{p^2+q^2\Delta}. \qquad (2.5.4)$$

2.5.2 Construction of Bound States

Now, we perform, as before, a rotation on the above (p,q) string solution in the $x^9 - x^8$ plane. This is again given by

$$\begin{aligned} dx^9 &= \cos\phi\; d\tilde{x}^9 - \sin\phi\; d\tilde{x}^8, \\ dx^8 &= \cos\phi\; d\tilde{x}^8 + \sin\phi\; d\tilde{x}^9. \end{aligned} \qquad (2.5.5)$$

Under this rotation, (2.5.1) - (2.5.3) become:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{A}{\Delta}\Big(-(1-\delta_\alpha)dt^2 + [\sin^2\phi(1+\delta_\alpha) + \gamma_\alpha\sin\phi\cos\phi + \Delta\cos^2\phi](d\tilde{x}^9)^2 \\ &+ [\cos^2\phi(1+\delta_\alpha) - \gamma_\alpha\sin\phi\cos\phi + \Delta\sin^2\phi](d\tilde{x}^8)^2 \\ &+ [\gamma_\alpha\cos2\phi + 2(\delta_\alpha - \Delta + 1)\cos\phi\sin\phi]d\tilde{x}^9 d\tilde{x}^8 + [2\delta_\alpha\sin\phi + \gamma_\alpha\cos\phi]d\tilde{x}^9 dt \\ &+ [2\delta_\alpha\cos\phi - \gamma_\alpha\sin\phi]d\tilde{x}^8 dt + \Delta\sum_{i=1}^{7}(dx^i)^2\Big), \end{aligned} \qquad (2.5.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B^{(1)}_{\tilde{8}t} &= \frac{p}{\Delta\sqrt{p^2+q^2}}[\cos\phi(e^{-E} - 1 + \delta_\alpha) + \frac{\sin\phi\gamma_\alpha}{2}], \\ B^{(1)}_{\tilde{9}t} &= \frac{p}{\Delta\sqrt{p^2+q^2}}[\sin\phi(e^{-E} - 1 + \delta_\alpha) - \frac{\cos\phi\gamma_\alpha}{2}], \\ B^{(1)}_{\tilde{9}\tilde{8}} &= -\frac{p\gamma_\alpha}{2\Delta\sqrt{p^2+q^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B^{(2)}_{\tilde{8}t} &= \frac{q}{\Delta\sqrt{p^2+q^2}}[\cos\phi(e^{-E}-1+\delta_\alpha)+\frac{\sin\phi\gamma_\alpha}{2}], \\
B^{(2)}_{\tilde{9}t} &= \frac{q}{\Delta\sqrt{p^2+q^2}}[\sin\phi(e^{-E}-1+\delta_\alpha)-\frac{\cos\phi\gamma_\alpha}{2}], \\
B^{(2)}_{\tilde{9}\tilde{8}} &= -\frac{q\gamma_\alpha}{2\Delta\sqrt{p^2+q^2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5.7}$$

Here, we have defined

$$\delta_\alpha = \sinh^2\frac{\alpha}{2}(e^{-E}-1), \quad \gamma_\alpha = \sinh\alpha(e^{-E}-1), \tag{2.5.8}$$

Furthermore, the dilaton and axion remain same as (2.5.4). Our final step of the construction is to apply T-duality along $\tilde{x}^9$ direction. The resulting solution would then correspond to a bound state in type IIA theory. The T-duality map between IIA and IIB theories is given explicitly in [24, 16]. Applying this map, we end up with resulting configuration:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\mathcal{S}^2 = {} & \frac{1}{\Delta\sigma\sqrt{p^2+q^2}\sqrt{p^2+q^2\Delta}}[p^2\{\sin\phi(e^{-E}+\delta_\alpha) \\
& (\sin\phi(e^{-E}-2+\delta_\alpha)-\cos\phi\gamma_\alpha)-\Delta\cos^2\phi(1-\delta_\alpha)\} \\
& -q^2\Delta\{(\sin\phi+\frac{\gamma_\alpha}{2}\cos\phi)^2+\Delta\cos^2\phi(1-\delta_\alpha)\}](dt)^2 \\
& +\frac{1}{2\Delta\sigma\sqrt{p^2+q^2}\sqrt{p^2+q^2\Delta}}[\Delta\{-\gamma_\alpha^2 q^2+4\delta_\alpha(p^2+\Delta q^2)\}\cos\phi \\
& -2\gamma_\alpha\{\delta_\alpha p^2+e^{-E}p^2+\Delta q^2\}\sin\phi]dtd\tilde{x}^8 \\
& +\frac{\Delta\sqrt{p^2+q^2}}{\sigma\sqrt{p^2+q^2\Delta}}d\tilde{x}^9 d\tilde{x}^9 \\
& -\frac{p}{\sigma\sqrt{p^2+q^2\Delta}}[2\sin\phi(e^{-E}-1+\delta_\alpha)-\gamma_\alpha\cos\phi]d\tilde{x}^9 dt \\
& +\frac{1}{\Delta\sigma\sqrt{p^2+q^2\Delta}\sqrt{p^2+q^2}}[(\Delta+\delta_\alpha\Delta-\frac{\gamma_\alpha^2}{4})(p^2+q^2\Delta) \\
& +p^2\{\frac{-\gamma_\alpha}{2}\cos\phi+(e^{-E}-1+\delta_\alpha)\sin\phi\}^2]d\tilde{x}^8 d\tilde{x}^8 \\
& +\frac{p\gamma_\alpha}{\sigma\sqrt{p^2+q^2\Delta}}d\tilde{x}^8 d\tilde{x}^9 \\
& +\frac{\sqrt{p^2+q^2\Delta}}{\sqrt{p^2+q^2}}\sum_{i=1}^{7}dx^i dx^i.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5.9}$$

The IIA dilaton is

$$e^{\Phi_a^{(10)}} = \Big(\frac{p^2+q^2\Delta}{p^2+q^2}\Big)^{\frac{3}{2}}\frac{1}{\sigma}. \tag{2.5.10}$$

The two form components are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{t\tilde{8}} &= -\frac{p}{2\Delta\sigma\sqrt{p^2+q^2}}[-\gamma_\alpha(e^{-E}+\delta_\alpha)\mathrm{sin}\phi + 2\Delta(-e^{-E}+1-\delta_\alpha)\mathrm{cos}\phi],\\
\mathcal{B}_{t\tilde{9}} &= \frac{1}{\sigma}[\delta_\alpha\mathrm{sin}\phi + \frac{\gamma_\alpha}{2}\mathrm{cos}\phi],\\
\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{8}\tilde{9}} &= \frac{1}{\sigma}[\frac{\gamma_\alpha}{2}\mathrm{cos}2\phi + (\delta_\alpha - \Delta + 1)\mathrm{cos}\phi\mathrm{sin}\phi].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5.11}$$

The nonzero components of 1-form and 3-form fields in IIA theory are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_t &= \frac{q\sqrt{p^2+q^2}}{p^2+q^2\Delta}[\mathrm{sin}\phi(e^{-E}-1+\delta_\alpha) - \gamma_\alpha\frac{\mathrm{cos}\phi}{2}],\\
\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{8}} &= -\frac{q\gamma_\alpha\sqrt{p^2+q^2}}{2(p^2+\Delta q^2)}\\
\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{9}} &= -\frac{pq(\Delta-1)}{p^2+q^2\Delta}\\
\mathcal{A}_{t\tilde{8}\tilde{9}} &= \frac{q}{2\sigma\Delta\sqrt{p^2+q^2}}[-\gamma_\alpha(e^{-E}+\delta_\alpha)\mathrm{sin}\phi + 2\Delta(-e^{-E}+1-\delta_\alpha)\mathrm{cos}\phi],
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5.12}$$

where

$$\sigma = \mathrm{sin}^2\phi(1+\delta_\alpha) + \gamma_\alpha\mathrm{sin}\phi\mathrm{cos}\phi + \Delta\mathrm{cos}^2\phi, \tag{2.5.13}$$

and

$$e^{-E} = 1 + \frac{C}{r^5}. \tag{2.5.14}$$

We, once again, have multiple nonzero components of the NS-NS 2-form as well as R-R 1-form and 3-form fields, in addition to having nonzero momenta coming from the off-diagonal components of the metric. We have explicitly checked that the above configuration reduces to known D-brane bound states in appropriate limits.

2.5.3 Mass-Charge Relationship

As in section-(2.4), we now verify that mass and charge associated with the above solution satisfy the expected mass-charge relation of 1/2 (non-threshold) BPS bound states. As in section-(2.4),we once again reduce the solution along both its spatial isometry directions. In the resulting theory in $D = 8$, nonzero charges are associated with gauge fields from the IIA field reductions. We have the non zero gauge fields which are of electric type, given as: $A^1_t = \mathcal{A}_t$, $A^2_t = \mathcal{A}_{t\tilde{8}\tilde{9}}$, $A^3_t = -\mathcal{B}_{t\tilde{8}}$, $A^4_t = \mathcal{B}_{t\tilde{9}}$, $A^5_t = g_{t\tilde{8}}/g_{\tilde{8}\tilde{8}}$, $A^6_t = g_{t\tilde{9}}/g_{\tilde{9}\tilde{9}}$ [26]. The charges can be read off from the leading order behavior of the above expressions, and are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= \frac{5Cq}{\sqrt{p^2+q^2}} \times \cosh\frac{\alpha}{2}(\sin\phi\cosh\frac{\alpha}{2} - \cos\phi\sinh\frac{\alpha}{2}),\\
Q_2 &= -\frac{5Cq}{\sqrt{p^2+q^2}} \times \cosh\frac{\alpha}{2}(\cos\phi\cosh\frac{\alpha}{2} + \sin\phi\sinh\frac{\alpha}{2}),\\
Q_3 &= -\frac{5Cp}{\sqrt{p^2+q^2}} \times \cosh\frac{\alpha}{2}(\cos\phi\cosh\frac{\alpha}{2} + \sin\phi\sinh\frac{\alpha}{2}),\\
Q_4 &= 5C \times \sinh\frac{\alpha}{2}(\sin\phi\sinh\frac{\alpha}{2} + \cos\phi\cosh\frac{\alpha}{2}),\\
P_1 &= 5C \times \sinh\frac{\alpha}{2}(\cos\phi\sinh\frac{\alpha}{2} - \sin\phi\cosh\frac{\alpha}{2}),\\
P_2 &= \frac{5Cp}{\sqrt{p^2+q^2}} \times \cosh\frac{\alpha}{2}(\sin\phi\cosh\frac{\alpha}{2} - \cos\phi\sinh\frac{\alpha}{2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5.15}$$

where Q_i corresponds to the charge associated with A^i_t, $(i = 1,..,4)$ and the P_i, $(i = 1, 2)$ correspond to the charges with respect to the gauge fields A^5_t and A^6_t. They also correspond to the momenta along $\tilde{x}^8$ and $\tilde{x}^9$ in the original uncompactified theory. The ADM mass density of the bound state, that we have constructed is

$$m^{(p,q)}_{(0,2)} = 5C\cosh\alpha. \tag{2.5.16}$$

Therefore we have

$$\left(m^{(p,q)}_{(0,2)}\right)^2 = {Q_1}^2 + {Q_2}^2 + {Q_3}^2 + {Q_4}^2 + {P_1}^2 + {P_2}^2. \tag{2.5.17}$$

This relation implies that the bound state constructed above do satisfy the BPS bound for the system. As in section-2.4, one can also give a generalization of $Dp - D(p+2)$ bound states in a similar manner, as discussed above, by smearing directions x^7 etc. in the solution (2.5.9 - 2.5.12) and then by applying T-duality along these directions. We, however, skip these details.

It is interesting to note that we have been able to generate all six gauge charges in $D = 8$, using our procedure. As is known, these gauge charges form a $(3, 2)$ representation of $SL(3, Z) \times SL(2, Z)$ U-duality symmetry in $D = 8$. We can therefore rewrite the RHS of equation (2.5.17) in a U-duality invariant form by exciting general moduli as in [25, 26]. This is not surprising, as solution generating technique used by us is known to be equivalent to the one using U-duality transformations[27, 28, 29]. In particular, in examples where we consider particle-like states in $D = 8$ for writing down the mass-charge relations, the relevant U-duality group is $SL(3, Z) \times SL(2, Z)$. In type IIB examples, this $SL(2, Z)$ in $D = 8$ originates from the group of constant coordinate transformations along the two internal directions, whereas $SL(3, Z)$ is a combination of the $D = 10$ S-duality group together with the T-duality along the x^8 and x^9. $(3, 2)$ multiplet of states mentioned above are then generated by applying these transformations to a seed solution in $D = 8$, originating from the (delocalized) F-string solution in $D = 10$. All our transformations can also be mapped to appropriate ones lying inside the U-duality group.

2.6 Summary

We have explicitly constructed the nontrivial bound states of D-branes starting with charged macroscopic strings. In particular, we were able to construct configurations in ten dimensions which carry $(F, D0, D2)$ charges as well as non-zero momenta. We also found that these bound states are supersymmetric. We have also checked that all our solutions reduce to known bound states in appropriate limits. We further generalized our results to $(Dp - D(p + 2))$ bound states in IIA/B theories.

The bound states of D-branes, when compactified to lower dimensions, often allow us to understand various properties of black hole including Bekenstein-Hawking entropy in a microscopic way [30, 31, 32]. It would be interesting to investigate as to what new insight we gain from our configurations along this direction. A first step may then be to reduce the solutions that we presented in sections-(2.4) and (2.5) to $D = 8$ and $D = 7$ respectively to interpret them as charged extremal black holes in lower dimensions. Various excitations of the higher dimensional bound states along the compactified direction may then correspond to the required degrees of freedom responsible for the entropy associated with the black hole. It would also, perhaps, be interesting to understand the conformal field theory descriptions of these bound states. They are typically described by boundary states of the underlying open string theory. Construction of these boundary states often turned out to be very useful in the past, see for example [33, 34].

Bibliography

[1] E. Witten, "Bound States Of Strings And p-Branes", Nucl.Phys. **B460** (1996) 335, hep-th/9510135.

[2] M. Li, "Boundary States of D-Branes and Dy-Strings", Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 351, hep-th/9510161.

[3] M. R. Douglas, "Branes within Branes", "Cargese 1997, Strings, branes and dualities" 267, hep-th/9512077.

[4] M. B. Green, N.D. Lambert, G. Papadopoulos, P.K. Townsend, "Dyonic p-branes from self-dual (p+1)-branes", Phys.Lett. **B384** (1996) 86, hep-th/9605146.

[5] J. G. Russo, A.A. Tseytlin, "Waves, boosted branes and BPS states in M-theory", Nucl.Phys. **B490** (1997) 121, hep-th/9611047.

[6] M. S. Costa, G. Papadopoulos, "Superstring dualities and p-brane bound states", Nucl.Phys. **B510** (1998) 217, hep-th/9612204.

[7] H. Arfaei, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Mixed Boundary Conditions and Brane-String Bound States", Nucl.Phys. **B526** (1998) 278, hep-th/9709054.

[8] M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "More on Mixed Boundary Conditions and D-branes Bound States", Phys.Lett. **B425** (1998) 48, hep-th/9712199.

[9] A. Kumar, R. R. Nayak, K. L. Panigrahi, “Bound States of String Networks and D-branes”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002) 121601, hep-th/0108174.

[10] J. M. Maldacena, J. G. Russo, “Large N Limit of Non-Commutative Gauge Theories”, JHEP **9909** (1999) 025, hep-th/9908134.

[11] A. Hashimoto, K. Hashimoto, “Monopoles and Dyons in Non-Commutative Geometry”, JHEP **9901** (1999) 005, hep-th/9909202.

[12] M. Alishahiha, Y. Oz, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, “Supergravity and Large N Noncommutative Field Theories”, JHEP **9911** (1999) 007, hep-th/9909215.

[13] A. Kumar, S. Mukherji, K. L. Panigrahi, “D-brane Bound States from Charged Macroscopic Strings”, JHEP **0205** (2002) 050, hep-th/0112219.

[14] A. Sen, “Macroscopic Charged Heterotic String”, Nucl.Phys.**B388**(1992) 457, hep-th/9206016.

[15] A. Kumar, “Charged Macroscopic type II Strings and their Networks”, JHEP **9912** (1999) 001, hep-th/9911090.

[16] J.C. Breckenridge, G. Michaud, R.C. Myers, “ More D-brane bound states”, Phys.Rev.**D55** (1997) 6438, hep-th/9611174.

[17] A. Dabholkar, J. A. Harvey, “Nonrenormalization Of The Superstring Tension”, Phys. Rev. Lett.**63** (1989) 478.

[18] A.Dabholkar, G. W. Gibbons, J. A. Harvey, F. Ruiz Ruiz, “Superstrings And Solitons”, Nucl. Phys. **B 340** (1990) 33.

[19] E. Witten, “Cosmic Superstrings”, Phys. Lett. **B153** (1985) 243.

[20] see for example, J. Maldacena, “Black Holes in String Theory, hep-th/9607235 and *references therein.*

[21] A. Sen, “String String Duality Conjecture in Six Dimensions and Charged Solitonic Strings”, Nucl.Phys. **B450** (1995) 103, [hep-th/9504027].

[22] A. Sen, “Strong-Weak Coupling Duality in Four Dimensional String Theory”, Int. J. Mod. Phys **A9** (1994) 3707, hep-th/9402002.

[23] E. Bergshoeff, C. M. Hull, T. Ortin, “Duality in the Type–II Superstring Effective Action”, Nucl.Phys.**B451** (1995) 547,
hep-th/9504081.

[24] J. X. Lu, “ADM masses for black strings and p-branes”, Phys.Lett. **B313** (1993) 29, hep-th/9304159.

[25] J.H. Schwarz, “An SL(2,Z) Multiplet of Type IIB Superstrings”, Phys. Lett. **B360** (1995) 13, hep-th/9508143.

[26] J. X. Lu, S. Roy, “U-duality p-Branes in Diverse Dimensions”, Nucl.Phys. **B538** (1999) 149, hep-th/9805180.

[27] C. Hull and P. K. Townsend, “Unity of Superstring Dualities”, Nucl. Phys. **B438** (1995) 109, [hep-th/9410167].

[28] J. M. Izquierdo, N. D. Lambert, G. Papadopoulos, P. K. Townsend, “Dyonic Membranes”, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 560, [hep-th/9508177].

[29] M. S. Costa, M. Cvetic, “Non-threshold D-brane bound states and black holes with non-zero entropy”, Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997) 4834, [hep-th/9703204].

[30] A. Sen, “Extremal Black holes and Elementary String States”, Mod. Phys. Lett.**A10** (1995) 495, hep-th/9504147.

[31] A. Dabholkar, J. P. Gauntlett, J. A. Harvey, D. Waldram, "Strings as Solitons & Black Holes as Strings" Nucl.Phys.**B474** (1996) 85, hep-th/9511053.

[32] C. G. Callan, Jr., J. M. Maldacena, " D-brane Approach to Black Hole Quantum Mechanics" Nucl.Phys.**B472** (1996) 591, hep-th/9602043.

[33] P. Di Vecchia and A Liccardo, "D branes in string theory, II", hep-th/9912275 *and references therein.*

[34] P. Di Vecchia, M. Frau, A. Lerda and A. Liccardo "(F,Dp) bound states from the boundary state", Nucl. Phys. B565 (2000) 397, hep-th/9906214

Chapter 3

D-BRANES IN PP-WAVE BACKGROUND

3.1 Introduction

PP-waves [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16] are known to be an interesting class of supersymmetric solutions of type IIB supergravity, with wide applications to gauge theory. It is known that pp-wave spacetime yields exact classical backgrounds for string theory since all curvature invariants and therefore all α' corrections vanish[17, 18]. Hence pp-wave spacetimes correspond to exact conformal field theory backgrounds. These backgrounds are shown to be exactly solvable in light cone gauge[19, 20, 21]. They are also known to be maximally supersymmetric solutions of supergravities in various dimensions[22, 23, 24], and are known to give rise to solvable string theories from worldsheet point of view as well. Many of these backgrounds are obtained from $AdS_p \times S^q$ type geometries in *Penrose* limit and are also maximally supersymmetric [1, 5]. The particular case of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ is of special interest, with applications to $N = 4$, $D = 4$ gauge theories in the limit of large conformal dimensions and R-charges[7]. D-branes known as nonperturbative objects in string theory also survive in this limits and also have an appropriate representation in such gauge theories, in terms of operators corresponding to 'giant gravitons' and 'defects'[14, 15]. Keeping in view of the importance of D-branes in understanding the nonperturbative as well as the duality aspects of string theory, it is desirable to study them in general backgrounds with flux being turned on. Motivated by these facts, in [25, 26], we continue the search for explicit D-brane supergravity solutions in string theories in pp-wave background. Our study has been concentrated mainly on the NS-NS and R-R pp-waves arising out of $AdS_3 \times S^3$ geometry [10]. Explicit supergravity solution of D-branes, along with open string spectrum, has been studied in [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]. Branes oriented at relative $SU(2)$ angle in pp-wave background along with the κ-symmetry and supersymmetry has also been discussed in literature[39]. We give the supergravity realization of D-branes in the pp-wave background of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times R^4$ with constant and nonconstant three form flux. We also find it interesting to note that, unlike the case of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ PP-wave, in our case we are able to obtain several 'localized' D-brane solutions. Dp-branes in R-R PP-wave background are obtained by applying a set of S and T-duality transformations on the brane solutions in NS-NS background. Such background PP-wave configurations were already discussed in the context of $D7$-branes in [28]. The worldsheet construction of these branes then follows from the results in [28], and will also be discussed below. We, however, point out that there is a crucial difference between the solutions presented in this paper and those in [28]. In the present case, only light-cone directions of the pp-wave are along the branes, the remaining four are always transverse to them. On the other hand, for the solutions in [28] the directions transverse to the branes are flat. One of the important result of our construction is that the Dp-brane ($p = 1, ..., 5$) solutions, are fully localized, whereas the $Dp - Dp'$ are localized in the common transverse space. In addition to the above solutions, we also present the D-brane solutions in the other pp-waves such as the ones in little string theories etc.

PP-wave backgrounds with nonconstant flux have also been studied in [40, 41, 42, 43, 44]. The corresponding worldsheet theory is described by nonlinear sigma model. These theories provide examples of interacting theories in light cone gauge. The sigma model with R-R five form flux is supersymmetric and one can have linearly realized 'supernumerary' supersymmetries in these backgrounds[45]. The corresponding sigma model with 3-form NS-NS and RR fluxes is non-supersymmetric[41] unless there exists some target space isometry and corresponding Killing vector fields, which ensure the worldsheet supersymmetry[43]. Also the supernumerary supersymmetries are absent in this case due to the structure of gamma matrices. The bosonic part is same in both cases and the two models share many properties, e.g. both are exact string theory backgrounds and integrability structure is same. We generalize our construction of D-branes with nonconstant flux as well.

3.2 Review of D-brane solutions in pp-wave background

The pp-wave arising out of the Penrose limit of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ has the following metric and surviving constant field strength:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -4dx^+dx^- - \mu^2 x_i^2 (dx^+)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{8} (dx^i)^2 \\ F_{+1234} &= F_{+3456} = Constant \times \mu, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.1}$$

where $x^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(x^0 \pm x^9)$ are the lightcone coordinates. The metric part of the solution has isometry group $SO(1,1) \times SO(8)$ which is further broken to $SO(1,1) \times SO(4) \times SO(4)$ due to the presence of null RR five form field. This space is nonisotropy and homogeneous. On the other hand, the pp-wave arising out of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times R^4$ kind of geometry has the following structure which is supported by constant RR 3-form flux:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= 2dx^+dx^- - \mu^2 x_i^2 (dx^+)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} (dx^i)^2 + \sum_{a=5}^{8} (dx^a)^2 \\ F_{+12} &= F_{+34} = 2\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.2}$$

D-branes, the extended objects in string theory, are important in understanding various duality conjectures in string and gauge theories. In AdS/CFT duality, they correspond to nonperturbative objects in gauge theory side or defects on which a lower dimensional conformal field theory lives. To study the field theory dynamics in the presence of such objects using duality, it is often useful to have access to the supergravity solutions for D-branes in AdS space. Unfortunately not enough is known about these objects in curved space. But it is easy to treat them in pp-wave background, which is closer to the flat space. For instance, while the CFT construction of D-brane is rather difficult in AdS space[46, 47, 48], it is much easier to treat this problem in pp-wave background[49, 50]. So the hope is that even the supergravity solution will be more tractable in pp-wave background. Performing this exercise might tell us how to tackle the same in AdS space. There are two ways to construct the explicit supergravity solutions of these objects in pp-wave background. First one is by *direct search*: Writing down the appropriate ansatz for D-branes in pp-wave background and then solve low energy supergravity equations of motion and the Bianchi identities etc.. The second approach is by taking 'Penrose' limit of the near horizon geometry of intersecting D-brane solutions. The problem with the second approach is to find out the 'localized' D-brane solutions in pp-wave background. Very few examples of these in AdS and pp-wave spacetime are known[51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 28, 30]. For example: The D-brane solutions found out in [28] represents localized $D5$ and $NS5$ branes in pp-wave background. These solutions were obtained by applying 'Penrose' limit to the near horizon geometry of intersecting brane solutions of the type $NS1 - NS1' - NS5 - NS5'$ discussed in[55]. The pp-wave background arising out of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ type of geometry supported by nonzero five form RR-field strength are nonisotropy and homogeneous. Due to the nonisotropy nature of the space, D-branes with different orientations preserve different amount of supersymmetry. The homogeneity of space implies that D-branes can pass through any point in space at a given moment of time.

Using D-brane approach, recently various embeddings of D-branes in pp-wave background is explored. There are three kinds of branes in Hpp-wave background: *longitudinal D-branes*, for which the pp-wave propagates along the brane, *transversal D-branes*, for which one of the direction is transverse to the pp-wave, but the time like direction is always along the brane and *instantonic D-branes*, for which both the directions along which the pp-wave propagates and the time like direction are transverse to the brane. In the maximal pp-wave background, there are two families of D-brane solutions exist. The first family is the *delocalized*, supersymmetric D-brane solutions and the second family is the *localized*, nonsupersymmetric solutions. These solutions were verified in [31]. The peculiarity of the nonsupersymmetric solutions is that the gravity becomes repulsive close to the core of the D-branes. If we embed a Dp-brane in this background in such a way that the pp-wave propagates along its worldsheet, the worldvolume coordinates splits into three sets: the lightcone coordinates (x^+, x^-), m coordinates along the first $SO(4)$ subspace $(x^1, ...x^4)$ and n coordinates along second $SO(4)$ subspace $(x^5, ...x^8)$. For a Dp brane $(m + n = p - 1)$, and this embedding is called in the literature as $(+, -, m, n)$. We summarize the supersymmetry of various D-branes in these kind of embeddings[29, 31] in table (3.2).

brane	embedding	SUSY
D1	(+, -, 0, 0)	1/4
D3	(+, -, 2, 0)	1/4
	(+, -, 1, 1)	-
D5	(+, -, 3, 1)	1/4
	(+, -, 2, 2)	-
D7	(+, -, 4, 2)	1/4
	(+, -, 3, 3)	-

Table 3.1: Amount of supersymmetry preserved by various D-brane supergravity solutions.

In the next section we will discuss the Dp as well as $Dp - Dp'$ branes in the pp-wave background arising out of $AdS_3 \times S^3$ type of geometry and supported by NS-NS and RR three form flux. As it will be clear that all the Dp-branes, for $(p = 1, .., 5)$ are fully localized where as the $Dp - Dp'$ are localized along common transverse directions. We will also discuss the supersymmetry properties of these solutions and the worldsheet construction for the $p - p'$ system.

3.3 D-Branes in PP-wave Background with Constant NS-NS Flux

3.3.1 Supergravity Solutions

We start by writing down the D-string solutions in the pp-wave background originating from the Penrose limit of NS-NS $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times R^4$ [10]. The supergravity solution of a system of N D-strings in such a background is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= f_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2dx^+dx^- - \mu^2\sum_{i=1}^{4}x_i^2(dx^+)^2) + f_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{a=1}^{8}(dx^a)^2 \\ e^{2\phi} &= f_1, \quad H_{+12} = H_{+34} = 2\mu, \\ F_{+-a} &= \partial_a f_1^{-1}, \quad f_1 = 1 + \frac{Ng_sl_s^6}{r^6}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.1}$$

with f_1 satisfying the Green function equation in the 8-dimensional transverse space. We have explicitly verified that the solution presented in (3.3.1) satisfy the type IIB field equations (see e.g.[56, 57]). One notices that in this case, constant NS-NS 3-forms along the PP-wave direction are required precisely to cancel the μ-dependent part of R_{++} equation of motion.

Starting from the D-string solution in eqn. (3.3.1), one can write down all the Dp-brane solutions ($p = 1, .., 5$) in NS-NS PP-wave background by applying successive T-dualities along $x^5, .., x^8$. As is known, this procedure also involves smearing of the brane along these directions. For example, a $D3$-brane solution has a form:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= f_3^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2dx^+dx^- - \mu^2\sum_{i=1}^{4}x_i^2(dx^+)^2 + (dx_5)^2 + (dx_6)^2) \\ &+ f_3^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{a=1..4,7,8}(dx_a)^2 \\ H_{+12} &= H_{+34} = 2\mu, \end{aligned}$$

$$F_{+-56a} = \partial_a {f_3}^{-1}, \quad e^{2\phi} = 1, \tag{3.3.2}$$

with f_3 being the harmonic function in the transverse space of the $D3$-brane.

Now we present the supergravity solution of intersecting $(Dp - Dp')$-brane system in PP-wave background. These solutions are described as 'branes lying within branes'. In particular for $D1 - D5$ case, the solution is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= (f_1 f_5)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2dx^+dx^- - \mu^2\sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i^2 (dx^+)^2) + \Big(\frac{f_1}{f_5}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{a=5}^{8}(dx^a)^2 \\
&+ (f_1 f_5)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{4}(dx_i)^2, \\
e^{2\phi} &= \frac{f_1}{f_5,} \\
H_{+12} &= H_{+34} = 2\mu, \\
F_{+-i} &= \partial_i {f_1}^{-1}, \quad F_{mnp} = \epsilon_{mnpl}\partial_l f_5,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.3}$$

with f_1 and f_5 satisfying the Green function equations for $D1$ and $D5$-branes respectively. Eqn. (3.3.3) provides one of the main result of our paper and shows that, as in the flat space, intersecting brane solutions are possible in the PP-wave background as well. We have once again checked that the solution presented above do satisfy type IIB field equations of motion.

One can now apply T-duality transformations to generate more intersecting brane solutions starting from the one given in eqn. (3.3.3) [58, 59]. Note that the directions $x^5, .., x^8$ are transverse to the D-string in eqn. (3.3.3), whereas they lie along the longitudinal directions of $D5$. As a result, one can easily obtain solutions of the type $D2 - D4$ as well as $D3 - D3'$ in this pp-wave background. These solutions will give a PP-wave generalization of the intersecting solutions given in [60]. We however skip the details of this analysis.

3.3.2 Supersymmetry Analysis

In this section we will present the supersymmetry of the solutions described earlier in the previous subsection. The supersymmetry variation of dilatino and gravitino fields of type IIB supergravity in ten dimension, in string frame, is given by[61, 62]:

$$\delta\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\phi \mp \frac{1}{12}\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}H_{\mu\nu\rho})\epsilon_{\pm} + \frac{1}{2}e^{\phi}(\pm\Gamma^{M}F^{(1)}_{M} + \frac{1}{12}\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}F^{(3)}_{\mu\nu\rho})\epsilon_{\mp}, \tag{3.3.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\Psi^{\pm}_{\mu} &= \Big[\partial_{\mu} + \frac{1}{4}(w_{\mu\hat{a}\hat{b}} \mp \frac{1}{2}H_{\mu\hat{a}\hat{b}})\Gamma^{\hat{a}\hat{b}}\Big]\epsilon_{\pm} \\ &+ \frac{1}{8}e^{\phi}\Big[\mp\Gamma^{\mu}F^{(1)}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{3!}\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}F^{(3)}_{\mu\nu\rho} \mp \frac{1}{2.5!}\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho\alpha\beta}F^{(5)}_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha\beta}\Big]\Gamma_{\mu}\epsilon_{\mp},\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.5}$$

where we have used (μ, ν, ρ) to describe the ten dimensional space-time indices, and hat's represent the corresponding tangent space indices. Γ^{μ}'s are the ten dimensional Dirac matrices. Solving the above two equations for the solution describing a D-string as given in eqn. (3.3.1), we get several conditions. First, the dilatino variation gives:

$$\Gamma^{\hat{a}}\epsilon_{\pm} - \Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{-}\hat{a}}\epsilon_{\mp} = 0, \tag{3.3.6}$$

$$(\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{1}\hat{2}} + \Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{3}\hat{4}})\epsilon_{\mp} = 0. \tag{3.3.7}$$

In fact, both the conditions (3.3.6) and (3.3.7), are required for satisfying dilatino variation condition. Gravitino variation gives the following conditions on the spinors:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\psi^{\pm}_{+} &\equiv \partial_{+}\epsilon_{\pm} \mp \frac{\mu}{2}f_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma^{\hat{1}\hat{2}} + \Gamma^{\hat{3}\hat{4}})\Gamma^{\hat{-}}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \quad \delta\psi^{\pm}_{-} \equiv \partial_{-}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \\ \delta\psi^{\pm}_{a} &\equiv \partial_{a}\epsilon_{\pm} = -\frac{1}{8}\frac{f_{1,a}}{f_1}\epsilon_{\pm}, \quad \delta\psi^{\pm}_{i} \equiv \partial_{i}\epsilon_{\pm} = -\frac{1}{8}\frac{f_{1,i}}{f_1}\epsilon_{\pm}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.8}$$

In writing the above set of equations, we have also imposed a necessary condition:

$$\Gamma^{\hat{+}}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \tag{3.3.9}$$

in addition to (3.3.6). Further, by using

$$(1-\Gamma^{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{3}\hat{4}})\epsilon_{\pm}=0, \tag{3.3.10}$$

all the supersymmetry conditions are solved by spinors: $\epsilon_{\pm}=exp(-\frac{1}{8}lnf_1)\epsilon^0_{\pm}$, with $\epsilon^0_{\pm}$ being a constant spinor. D-string solution in eqn. (3.3.1) therefore preserves 1/8 supersymmetry. All other Dp-branes ($p=1,..,5$), obtained by applying T-dualities as discussed above, will also preserve same amount of supersymmetry.

Next, we will analyze the supersymmetry properties of the intersecting branes. We will concentrate on the ($D1-D5$)-case explicitly. The dilatino variation gives the following conditions on the spinors:

$$\Gamma^{\hat{i}}\epsilon_{\pm}-\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{-}\hat{i}}\epsilon_{\mp}=0, \tag{3.3.11}$$

$$\Gamma^{\hat{i}}\epsilon_{\pm}+\frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{\hat{i}\hat{j}\hat{k}\hat{l}}\Gamma^{\hat{j}\hat{k}\hat{l}}\epsilon_{\mp}=0, \tag{3.3.12}$$

$$(\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{1}\hat{2}}+\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{3}\hat{4}})\epsilon_{\mp}=0. \tag{3.3.13}$$

One needs to impose all the three conditions, specified above for the dilatino variation to vanish. On the other hand, the gravitino variation gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\psi^{\pm}_{+} &\equiv \partial_{+}\epsilon_{\pm}\mp\frac{\mu}{2}(f_1f_5)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma^{\hat{1}\hat{2}}+\Gamma^{\hat{3}\hat{4}})\Gamma^{\hat{-}}\epsilon_{\pm}=0, \quad \delta\psi^{\pm}_{-}\equiv\partial_{-}\epsilon_{\pm}=0,\\
\delta\psi^{\pm}_{i} &\equiv \partial_{i}\epsilon_{\pm}=-\frac{1}{8}\left[\frac{f_{1,a}}{f_1}\epsilon_{\pm}+\frac{f_{5,a}}{f_5}\right]\epsilon_{\pm}, \quad \delta\psi^{\pm}_{a}\equiv\partial_{a}\epsilon_{\pm}=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.14}$$

In writing down the above gravitino variations we have once again made use of the projection $\Gamma^{\hat{+}}\epsilon_{\pm}=0$. The above set of equations can be solved by imposing:

$$(1-\Gamma^{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{3}\hat{4}})\epsilon_{\pm}=0, \tag{3.3.15}$$

in addition to (3.3.11) and the solution is given as: $\epsilon_{\pm}=exp(-\frac{1}{8}ln(f_1f_5))\epsilon^0_{\pm}$. One therefore has 1/8 supersymmetry for the ($D1-D5$) solution presented in eqn. (3.3.3).

3.4 $p - p'$ Branes in PP-Wave Background with Constant RR-Flux

3.4.1 Supergravity Solutions

In this section, we will present the Dp as well as $(Dp - Dp')$-branes in R-R PP-wave of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times R^4$. These backgrounds can be obtained from the solutions given in the last section by applying S and T-duality transformations in several steps. For example, from the $D3$-brane solution in NS-NS PP-wave background (3.3.2), one gets a $D3$-brane in RR PP-wave background under S-duality transformation. Now applying T-duality along the directions (x^5, x^6), we can generate a D-string solution. On the other hand, by applying T-duality along two transverse directions, (x^7, x^8), of the $D3$-brane, one gets a $D5$-brane lying along $(x^+, x^-, x^5, .., x^8)$ directions. Supergravity solution of a system of N D-strings is then given explicitly by:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= f_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2dx^+dx^- - \mu^2\sum_{i=1}^{4}x_i^2(dx^+)^2) + f_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{a=1}^{8}(dx^a)^2 \\
e^{2\phi} &= f_1, \quad F_{+1256} = F_{+3456} = 2\mu, \\
F_{+-a} &= \partial_a f_1^{-1}, \quad f_1 = 1 + \frac{N g_s l_s^6}{r^6},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.1}$$

with f_1 satisfying the Green function in 8-dimensional transverse space. One notices that the solution has a constant 5- form field strength.

The supergravity solution of $D5$-brane is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= f_5^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2dx^+dx^- - \mu^2\sum_{i=1}^{4}x_i^2(dx^+)^2 + \sum_{a=5}^{8}(dx^a)^2) + f_5^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{4}(dx^i)^2 \\
e^{2\phi} &= f_5^{-1}, \quad F_{+1256} = F_{+3456} = F_{+1278} = F_{+3478} = 2\mu, \\
F_{mnp} &= \epsilon_{mnpq}\partial_q f_5, \quad f_5 = 1 + \frac{N g_s l_s^2}{r^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.2}$$

with f_5 satisfying the Green function in the transverse directions $(x^1, ..., x^4)$. Now we will present the $(Dp - Dp')$-brane solutions in RR PP-wave background. In particular, to write down the supergravity solution of a $(D1 - D5)$ system, we made an ansatz which combines the D-string of eqn. (3.4.1) and $D5$-brane given in eqn. (3.4.2). The final configuration is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= (f_1 f_5)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2dx^+dx^- - \mu^2\sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i^2(dx^+)^2) + \Big(\frac{f_1}{f_5}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{a=5}^{8}(dx^a)^2 \\
&+ (f_1 f_5)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{4}(dx_i)^2, \\
e^{2\phi} &= \frac{f_1}{f_5}, \\
F_{+1256} &= F_{+3456} = F_{+1278} = F_{+3478} = 2\mu, \\
F_{+-i} &= \partial_i {f_1}^{-1}, \qquad F_{mnp} = \epsilon_{mnpl}\partial_l f_5,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.3}$$

with f_1 and f_5 being the Green function in the common transverse space. One can check that the solution presented above do satisfy the type IIB field equations. Once again, more $p - p'$ branes can be obtained from the $D1 - D5$ solution in (3.4.3) by applying T-dualities.

One may also attempt to find a $(D1 - D5)$ solution by taking a decoupling limit, followed by the Penrose scaling, of the solution presented in[55] in a similar way as the $D5$-brane solution in[15]. The starting solution along which one would take the Penrose limit is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \frac{1}{(H_1' H_5')^{1/2}}[\frac{r^2}{R_1^2}(-dt^2 + dx^2)] + \Big(\frac{H_1'}{H_5'}\Big)^{1/2}\frac{R_1^2}{r^2}dr^2 \\
&+ \Big(\frac{H_1'}{H_5'}\Big)^{1/2}(d\psi^2 + \sin^2\psi d\Omega_2^2) + (H_1' H_5')^{1/2}(dy^2 + y^2 d\Omega_3^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.4}$$

where

$$H_1 = 1 + \frac{R_1^2}{x^2}, \quad H_5 = 1 + \frac{R_5^2}{x^2}, \quad H_1' = 1 + \frac{R_1'^2}{y^2}, \quad H_5' = 1 + \frac{R_5'^2}{y^2}. \tag{3.4.5}$$

One however notices that different terms in the metric above come with different powers of H'_1, leading to difficulty in choosing a 'null geodesic' to define an appropriate Penrose limit and find brane solutions.

3.4.2 Supersymmetry Analysis

Now, we will present the supersymmetry of the Dp as well as $Dp - Dp'$ branes in R-R pp-wave background given in section-(2.2). First we will discuss the supersymmetry of the D-string in eqn. (3.4.1). The dilatino variation (3.3.4), gives:

$$\Gamma^{\hat{a}}\epsilon_{\pm} - \Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{-}\hat{a}}\epsilon_{\mp} = 0. \tag{3.4.6}$$

Gravitino variation gives the following conditions on the spinors:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\psi^{\pm}_{+} &\equiv \partial_{+}\epsilon_{\pm} \mp \frac{\mu}{8} f_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left((\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{5}\hat{6}} + \Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{3}\hat{4}\hat{5}\hat{6}}) + (\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{7}\hat{8}} + \Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{3}\hat{4}\hat{7}\hat{8}}) \right) \Gamma^{\hat{-}}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \\
\delta\psi^{\pm}_{-} &\equiv \partial_{-}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \\
\delta\psi^{\pm}_{a} &\equiv \partial_{a}\epsilon_{\pm} = -\frac{1}{8}\frac{f_{1,i}}{f_1}\epsilon_{\pm}, \quad \delta\psi^{\pm}_{i} \equiv \partial_{i}\epsilon_{\pm} = -\frac{1}{8}\frac{f_{1,a}}{f_1}\epsilon_{\pm},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.7}$$

where we have once again imposed a necessary condition: $\Gamma^{\hat{+}}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0$, in addition to (3.4.6). Further, by using the condition:

$$(1 - \Gamma^{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{3}\hat{4}}) = 0, \tag{3.4.8}$$

all the supersymmetry conditions are satisfied, thus preserving 1/8 unbroken supersymmetry.

Next, we present the supersymmetry property of the $D1 - D5$ solution written in eqn. (3.4.3). The dilatino variation (3.3.4) gives the following conditions on spinors:

$$\Gamma^{\hat{i}}\epsilon_{\pm} - \Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{-}\hat{i}}\epsilon_{\mp} = 0, \tag{3.4.9}$$

$$\Gamma^{\hat{i}}\epsilon_{\pm} + \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{\hat{i}\hat{j}\hat{k}\hat{l}}\Gamma^{\hat{j}\hat{k}\hat{l}}\epsilon_{\mp} = 0. \tag{3.4.10}$$

On the other hand, the gravitino variation (3.3.5), gives the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\psi_{+}^{\pm} &\equiv \partial_{+}\epsilon_{\pm} \mp \frac{\mu}{8}(f_1 f_5)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left((\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{5}\hat{6}} + \Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{3}\hat{4}\hat{5}\hat{6}}) + (\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{7}\hat{8}} + \Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{3}\hat{4}\hat{7}\hat{8}})\right)\Gamma^{\hat{-}}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \\
\delta\psi_{-}^{\pm} &\equiv \partial_{-}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \quad \delta\psi_{a}^{\pm} \equiv \partial_{a}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \\
\delta\psi_{i}^{\pm} &\equiv \partial_{i}\epsilon_{\pm} = -\frac{1}{8}\left[\frac{f_{1,i}}{f_1} + \frac{f_{5,i}}{f_5}\right]\epsilon_{\pm},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.4.11}
$$

where we have once again used a necessary condition: $\Gamma^{\hat{+}}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0$ along with the ones in (3.4.9) and (3.4.10). The above set of equations can be solved by imposing further:

$$
(1 - \Gamma^{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{3}\hat{4}})\epsilon_{\pm} = 0. \tag{3.4.12}
$$

One therefore has 1/8 supersymmetry for the $D1 - D5$ system described in eqn. (3.4.3) as well.

3.5 Worldsheet Construction of $p - p'$ Branes

3.5.1 NS-NS PP-wave

In this section, we will discuss the $(D1 - D5)$-brane system, constructed earlier in the paper, from the point of view of first quantized string theory in Green-Schwarz formalism, in light-cone gauge. In the present case, in flat directions $x_\alpha (\alpha = 5, ..., 8)$, we have the Dirichlet boundary condition at one end and Neumann boundary condition at the other end of the open string. Along x_i $(i = 1, ..., 4)$ directions, one has the usual Dirichlet boundary condition. The relevant classical action to be studied in our case (after imposing the light-cone gauge conditions on fermions and bosons [10]) is as follows [34]:

$$
L = L_b + L_f, \tag{3.5.1}
$$

where

$$
L_b = \partial_{+}u\partial_{-}v - m^2 x_i^2 + \partial_{+}x_i\partial_{-}x_i + \partial_{+}x_\alpha\partial_{-}x_\alpha
$$

$$+ \quad \mu \sum_{(i,j)=(1,2),(3,4)} x^i(\partial_+ u \partial_- x^j - \partial_- u \partial_+ x^j), \tag{3.5.2}$$

$$L_f = iS_R(\partial_+ - mM)S_R + iS_L(\partial_- + mM)S_L, \tag{3.5.3}$$

with

$$m \equiv \alpha' p^u \mu = 2\alpha' p_v \mu, \tag{3.5.4}$$

$$M = -\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{12} + \gamma^{34}). \tag{3.5.5}$$

Eight component real spinors (S_L, S_R) have been obtained from 16-component Majorana-Weyl spinors in the left and the right sector after solving the light-cone gauge conditions.

The equations of motion and boundary conditions for bosons x^i, x^α in our case are as follows:

$$\partial_+\partial_- x_{i_1} + m^2 x_{i_1} - m\epsilon^{i_1 j_1}(\partial_- x^{j_1} - \partial_+ x^{j_1}) = 0, \qquad \partial_+\partial_- x_\alpha = 0, \tag{3.5.6}$$

$$\partial_\sigma x^\alpha\Big|_{\sigma=0} = \partial_\tau x^\alpha\Big|_{\sigma=\pi} = 0, \quad x^i\Big|_{\sigma=0,\pi} = constant. \tag{3.5.7}$$

The solutions to the bosonic equations of motion, with the boundary conditions specified above, is given by (defining $X^{\hat{1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^1 + ix^2)$ and $X^{\hat{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^3 + ix^4)$) [34] :

$$X^\alpha(\sigma,\tau) = i \sum_{r\in(z+\frac{1}{2})} \frac{1}{r}\alpha_r^\alpha e^{-ir\tau}\cos r\sigma, \quad (\alpha = 5,..,8), \tag{3.5.8}$$

$$X^{\hat{i}}(\sigma,\tau) = e^{-2im\sigma}\left[x_0 + (x_1 e^{2im\sigma} - x_0)\frac{\sigma}{\pi} + i\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{1}{n}\alpha_n^i e^{-in\tau}\sin n\sigma\right]. \tag{3.5.9}$$

To consider the equations of motion of fermions, we note that the matrix M evidently breaks the $SO(8)$ symmetry further, and thereby splits the fermions in the $8 \to 4+4$ way: $S_L \to (\tilde{S}_L, \hat{S}_L), S_R \to (\tilde{S}_R, \hat{S}_R)$:

$$\gamma^{1234}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{S}_{L,R}\\ \hat{S}_{L,R}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}-\tilde{S}_{L,R}\\ \hat{S}_{L,R}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.5.10}$$

In this connection, one also introduces 4×4 matrices Λ and Σ:

$$\gamma^{12} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{S}_{L,R} \\ \hat{S}_{L,R} \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \tilde{S}_{L,R} \\ \Sigma \hat{S}_{L,R} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.5.11}$$

with $\Lambda^2 = \Sigma^2 = -1$. Λ and Σ in the above equation are 4×4 antisymmetric matrices with eigenvalues $\pm i$. Using these notations, one has:

$$M \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{S}_{L,R} \\ \hat{S}_{L,R} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \tilde{S}_{L,R} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.5.12}$$

The equations of motion written in terms of $(\tilde{S}_L, \hat{S}_L)$ and $(\tilde{S}_R, \hat{S}_R)$ are then of the form:

$$\partial_+ (e^{2m\tau} \tilde{S}_R) = 0, \quad \partial_- (e^{-2m\tau} \tilde{S}_L) = 0, \tag{3.5.13}$$

$$\partial_+ \hat{S}_R = 0, \quad \partial_- \hat{S}_L = 0. \tag{3.5.14}$$

Now we will write down the boundary conditions for the fermions in the mixed sector. As the equations of motion and the boundary condition for the components $\hat{S}_{L,R}$ are identical to the ones in flat space, we only concentrate on finding explicit solution for $\tilde{S}_{L,R}$ below. Following[10, 28, 34], one can write down the boundary conditions for the fermions as:

$$\tilde{S_L}|_{\sigma=0} = -\tilde{S_R}|_{\sigma=0}, \tag{3.5.15}$$

$$\tilde{S_L}|_{\sigma=\pi} = \tilde{S_R}|_{\sigma=\pi}, \tag{3.5.16}$$

$$\hat{S_L}|_{\sigma=0,\pi} = \hat{S_R}|_{\sigma=0,\pi}. \tag{3.5.17}$$

The solution for $\tilde{S}_{L,R}$ equations of motion (3.5.13), with the above boundary condition, can be read from [34], and has the following form:

$$\tilde{S}_L = -e^{-2m\sigma\Lambda} \sum_{r \in (z+\frac{1}{2})} s_r e^{-ir(\tau+\sigma)}, \tag{3.5.18}$$

$$\tilde{S}_R = e^{-2m\sigma\Lambda} \sum_{r \in (z+\frac{1}{2})} s_r e^{-ir(\tau-\sigma)}. \tag{3.5.19}$$

The canonical quantization conditions as well as the worldsheet hamiltonian for the $D1 - D5$ system discussed above can also be written in a straightforward manner following the procedure in [34]. We skip these details.

3.5.2 R-R PP-wave

Now, we present the worldsheet analysis of the $(D1-D5)$-system discussed earlier in section-(2.2). This can be done by realizing that the PP-wave background for these solutions is given by a T-dual configuration of the ones presented in [10, 28]. More explicitly, in the worldsheet action in the present case:

$$L = L_B + L_F, \tag{3.5.20}$$

where

$$L_B = \partial_+ u \partial_- v - m^2 x_i^2 + \partial_+ x_i \partial_- x_i + \partial_+ x_\alpha \partial_- x_\alpha, \tag{3.5.21}$$

$$L_F = iS_R \partial_+ S_R + iS_L \partial_+ S_L - 2imS_L M S_R, \tag{3.5.22}$$

with

$$m \equiv \alpha' p^u \mu = 2\alpha' p_v \mu, \tag{3.5.23}$$

$$M = -\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{12} + \gamma^{34})\gamma^{56}, \tag{3.5.24}$$

the terms involving fermions are easily seen to be related to the ones in [10, 28] through T-dualities along x^5 and x^6. This in fact leads to the relation:

$$S'_R = \gamma^{56} S_R, \tag{3.5.25}$$

and reproduces the original action in [10]. The mode expansion for fermions as well as canonical quantization conditions can therefore be also written down in a straightforward manner. We end this section by pointing out that, since the D-brane solutions found in this paper are preserving less than 1/2 supersymmetry, some of the restrictions on the brane directions, imposed using zero mode considerations[27] do not directly apply above.

3.6 Branes in 'Little String Theory' Background

3.6.1 Supergravity Backgrounds

In this section, we discuss the branes in the Penrose limit of 'little string theory'(LST). PP-waves of non-local theories have been discussed recently in the literature[63, 64, 65]. Among them, 'little string theory' arises on the world volume of $NS5$-brane when a decoupling limit, $g_s \rightarrow 0$ with fixed α', is taken[66, 67]. To construct our solution, we start with the NS5-brane solution given by the metric and dilaton:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -dt^2 + dy_5^2 + H(r)(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_3^2), \\ e^{2\Phi} &= g_s^2 H(r), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6.1}$$

with $H(r) = 1 + \frac{Nl_s^2}{r^2}$. The near horizon limit of the above solution is the linear dilaton geometry, which in the string frame is given by,

$$ds^2 = Nl_s^2\big(- d\tilde{t}^2 + \cos^2\theta d\psi^2 + d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2}\big) + dy_5^2, \tag{3.6.2}$$

with $t = \sqrt{N} l_s \tilde{t}$. PP-wave background of LST is then found by applying Penrose limit to (3.6.2). We however consider the case after applying S-duality on eqn. (3.6.2). Applying S-duality transformation and then taking Penrose limit (as described in[63]), the background solution is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -4dx^+dx^- - \mu^2 \vec{z}^2 {dx^+}^2 + (d\vec{z})^2 + dx^2 + dy_5^2 \\ e^{2\phi} &= Const, \\ F_{+12} &= C\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6.3}$$

where F_{+12} is the 3-form field strength in the $\vec{z}$-plane. Now we proceed to analyze the existence and stability of branes in this background. The supergravity solution for a system of D5-branes in this background is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= f^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big(-4dx^+dx^- - \mu^2\vec{z}^2(dx^+)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2}(dz_i)^2 + \sum_{p=1}^{2}(dy_p)^2\Big) \\
&+ f^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{a=1}^{4}(dx_a)^2, \\
e^{2\Phi} &= f^{-1}, \qquad F_{+12} = 2\mu, \\
F_{mnp} &= \epsilon_{mnpr}\partial_r f, \quad f = 1 + \frac{Ng_s l_s^2}{r^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6.4}$$

One notices that the background has only one constant 3-form field strength (F_{+12}). We have once again verified that the solution presented above satisfies type IIB field equations.

One can then write down (by applying S-duality on (3.6.4)) the NS5-brane in a PP-wave background of the 'little string theory'[63] as:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= -4dx^+dx^- - \mu^2\vec{z}^2(dx^+)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2}(dz_i)^2 + \sum_{p=1}^{2}(dy_p)^2 + f\sum_{a=1}^{4}(dx_a)^2 \\
e^{2\Phi} &= f, \qquad H_{+12} = 2\mu, \\
H_{mnp} &= \epsilon_{mnpr}\partial_r f,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6.5}$$

with H's being the NS- sector 3-form field strengths.

3.6.2 Supersymmetry Analysis

We will now consider the dilatino and gravitino variation of the solution presented in eqn. (3.6.4) to study the supersymmetry properties. The dilatino variation gives equations:

$$\Gamma^{\hat{a}}\epsilon_{\pm} + \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}}\Gamma^{\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}}\epsilon_{\mp} = 0, \tag{3.6.6}$$

$$\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{1}\hat{2}}\epsilon_{\mp} = 0. \tag{3.6.7}$$

The gravitino variation leads to the equations:

$$\delta\Psi^{\pm}_{+} \equiv \partial_{+}\epsilon_{\pm} + \frac{\mu^2 z_{\hat{i}}}{2}\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{i}}\epsilon_{\pm} + \frac{1}{16}\mu^2 \vec{z}^2 \frac{f,\hat{a}}{f^{\frac{3}{2}}}\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{a}}\epsilon_{\pm} - \frac{\mu}{4}\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{1}\hat{2}}\Gamma^{-}\epsilon_{\mp} = 0, \tag{3.6.8}$$

$$\delta\Psi^{\pm}_{-} \equiv \partial_{-}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \tag{3.6.9}$$

$$\delta\Psi^{\pm}_{i} \equiv \partial_{i}\epsilon_{\pm} - \frac{\mu}{4}\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{1}\hat{2}}\delta_{i\hat{i}}\Gamma^{\hat{i}}\epsilon_{\mp} = 0. \tag{3.6.10}$$

$$\delta\Psi^{\pm}_{p} \equiv \partial_{p}\epsilon_{\pm} - \frac{\mu}{4}\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{1}\hat{2}}\delta_{p\hat{p}}\Gamma^{\hat{p}}\epsilon_{\mp} = 0. \tag{3.6.11}$$

$$\delta\Psi^{\pm}_{a} \equiv \partial_{a}\epsilon_{\pm} + \frac{1}{8}\frac{f,a}{f}\epsilon_{\pm} - \frac{\mu}{4}f^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{1}\hat{2}}\delta_{a\hat{a}}\Gamma^{\hat{a}}\epsilon_{\mp} = 0, \tag{3.6.12}$$

In writing these set of equations we have used the condition (3.6.6). Imposing the condition $\Gamma^{\hat{+}}\epsilon = 0$, we further reduce them to:

$$\partial_{+}\epsilon_{\pm} - \frac{\mu}{4}\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{1}\hat{2}}\Gamma^{\hat{-}}\epsilon_{\mp} = 0, \tag{3.6.13}$$

$$\partial_{-}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \quad \partial_{i}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \quad \partial_{p}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \quad \partial_{a}\epsilon_{\pm} = -\frac{1}{8}\frac{f,a}{f}\epsilon_{\pm}. \tag{3.6.14}$$

Since eqns. (3.6.13) and (3.6.14) are integrable ones, hence in this case we get 1/4 supersymmetry. It will also be nice to give a worldsheet construction for such *D*-branes.

3.7 *D*-Branes in PP-wave Spacetime with Nonconstant NS-NS Flux

3.7.1 Supergravity Solutions

In this section we present classical solutions of Dp as well as $Dp - Dp'$ -branes with nonconstant $NS - NS$ three form flux transverse to brane worldvolume. We start by writing down the supergravity solutions of D-string in pp-wave background with nonconstant NS-NS three form flux. The metric, dilaton and the field strengths are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= f_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(2dx^+dx^- + K(x_i)(dx^+)^2\right) \\
&+ f_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{m=1}^{8}(dx^m)^2, \quad (i=1,...,4), \\
H &= \partial_1 b_2(x_i)\, dx^+\wedge dx^1\wedge dx^2 + \partial_3 b_4(x_i)\, dx^+\wedge dx^3\wedge dx^4, \\
e^{2\phi} &= f_1, \qquad F_{+-n} = \partial_n f_1^{-1},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.7.1}
$$

with $b(x_i)$ and $K(x_i)$ satisfying the equations $\Delta b(x_i) = 0$ and $\Delta K(x_i) = -(\partial_i b_j)^2$ respectively and $f_1 = 1 + \frac{Q_1}{r^6}$ is the harmonic function in the transverse space. We have checked that the above solution satisfies type IIB field equations. For constant three form flux this solution reduces to that of ref [25]. All other Dp-brane ($p = 2, ..., 5$) solutions can be found out by applying T-duality along $x^5, ..., x^8$ directions. For example: the classical solution for a system of $D3$-brane in such a background is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= f_3^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(2dx^+dx^- + K(x_i)(dx^+)^2 + (dx^7)^2 + (dx^8)^2\right) \\
&+ f_3^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{m=1}^{6}(dx^m)^2, \quad (i=1,...,4), \\
H &= \partial_1 b_2(x_i)\, dx^+\wedge dx^1\wedge dx^2 + \partial_3 b_4(x_i)\, dx^+\wedge dx^3\wedge dx^4, \\
e^{2\phi} &= 1, \qquad F_{+-78n} = \partial_n f_3^{-1},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.7.2}
$$

with $b(x_i)$ and $K(x_i)$ satisfying the equations $\Delta b(x_i) = 0$ and $\Delta K(x_i) = -(\partial_i b_j)^2$ respectively and $f_3 = 1 + \frac{Q_3}{r^4}$ is the harmonic function satisfying the Green function equation in the transverse space.

Now we present classical solution of $D1-D5$ system as an example of $p-p'$ bound state in these background. The supergravity solution for a such system is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= (f_1 f_5)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2dx^+dx^- + K(x_i)(dx^+)^2) + \Big(\frac{f_1}{f_5}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{m=5}^{8}(dx^m)^2 \\
&+ (f_1 f_5)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{4}(dx^i)^2, \\
e^{2\phi} &= \frac{f_1}{f_5}, \\
H &= \partial_1 b_2(x_i)\, dx^+ \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \partial_3 b_4(x_i)\, dx^+ \wedge dx^3 \wedge dx^4, \\
F_{+-i} &= \partial_i {f_1}^{-1}, \qquad F_{ijk} = \epsilon_{ijkl}\partial_l f_5,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7.3}$$

with $b(y_j)$ and $K(x_i)$ satisfying the equations $\Delta b(x_i) = 0$ and $\Delta K(x_i) = -(\partial_i b_j)^2$ respectively and $f_1 = 1 + \frac{Q_1}{r^2}$ and $f_5 = 1 + \frac{Q_5}{r^2}$ are the harmonic functions of $D1$ and $D5$-branes in common transverse space. One can check that the above ansatz do satisfy type IIB field equations.

3.7.2 Supersymmetry Analysis

In this section we present the supersymmetry of the solutions described earlier in section (2). Solving the above two equations for D-string solution as given in (3.7.1), we get several conditions on the spinors. First, the dilatino variation given in eqn. (3.3.4)gives:

$$\frac{f_{1,\hat{n}}}{f_1}\Gamma^{\hat{n}}\epsilon_\pm \mp f_1^{\frac{1}{4}}(\partial_{\hat{i}} b_{\hat{j}})\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{i}\hat{j}}\epsilon_\pm - \frac{f_{1,\hat{n}}}{f_1}\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{-}\hat{n}}\epsilon_\mp = 0, \tag{3.7.4}$$

Gravitino variation (3.3.5) gives the following conditions on the spinors:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\psi_+^\pm &\equiv \Big(\partial_+ + \frac{1}{4}\partial_{\hat{n}}(K {f_1}^{-\frac{1}{4}})\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{n}} \mp \frac{1}{4}(\partial_{\hat{i}} b_{\hat{j}})(\Gamma^{\hat{i}\hat{j}})\Big)\epsilon_\pm \\
&- \frac{1}{8}\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{-}\hat{n}}\frac{K f_{1,\hat{n}}}{f_1^{5/4}}\Gamma^{\hat{+}}\epsilon_\mp = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi_-^{\pm} &\equiv \partial_- \epsilon_\pm = 0 && (3.7.6) \\ \delta\psi_n^{\pm} &\equiv \left(\partial_n - \frac{1}{8}\frac{f_{1,n}}{f_1}\right)\epsilon_\pm, \qquad (n = 5, ..., 8) && (3.7.7) \\ \delta\psi_i^{\pm} &\equiv \left(\partial_i \mp \frac{\delta_{i\hat{i}}}{4} f_1^{\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_{\hat{i}} b_{\hat{j}})\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{j}} - \frac{1}{8}\frac{f_{1,i}}{f_1}\right)\epsilon_\pm, \qquad (i = 1, ..., 4). && (3.7.8) \end{aligned}$$

In writing the above equations we have used the brane supersymmetry condition:

$$\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{-}}\epsilon_\pm = \epsilon_\mp. \tag{3.7.9}$$

Taking derivative of the eqn. (3.7.8) with respect to $\partial_{\hat{k}}$ and subtracting the derivative of $\partial_{\hat{k}}$ equation with respect to $\partial_{\hat{i}}$, we get

$$(\partial_{\hat{k}}\partial_{\hat{i}} b_{\hat{j}})\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{j}}\epsilon_\pm = 0, \tag{3.7.10}$$

which can be satisfied for nonconstant $\partial_{\hat{i}} b_{\hat{j}}$ only if $\Gamma^{\hat{+}}\epsilon_\pm = 0$. Using $\Gamma^{\hat{+}}\epsilon_\pm = 0$. and brane supersymmetry condition (3.7.9), the dilatino variation (3.7.4) is satisfied. Using $\Gamma^{\hat{+}}\epsilon_\pm = 0$, the supersymmetry conditions (3.7.7) and (3.7.8) are solved by spinors: $\epsilon_\pm = exp(-\frac{1}{8} ln f_1)\epsilon_\pm^0$, with $\epsilon_\pm^0$ being a function of x^+ only. Since $\epsilon_\pm^0$ is independent of x^i and $(\partial_i b_j)$ is a function of x^i only, the gravitino variation gives the following conditions to have nontrivial solutions:

$$(\partial_{\hat{i}} b_{\hat{j}})(\Gamma^{\hat{i}\hat{j}})\epsilon_\pm^0 = 0 \tag{3.7.11}$$

and

$$\partial_+ \epsilon_\pm^0 = 0. \tag{3.7.12}$$

The condition $\Gamma^{\hat{+}}\epsilon_\pm = 0$ breaks sixteen supersymmetries. The number of remaining supersymmetries depend on the existence of constant $\epsilon_\pm^0$ solutions of the equation (3.7.11). For the particular case when $H_{+12} = H_{+34}$, the equation (3.7.11) gives the condition:

$$(1 - \Gamma^{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{3}\hat{4}})\epsilon_\pm^0 = 0. \tag{3.7.13}$$

Therefore in this case, the D-string solution (3.7.1), preserves 1/8 supersymmetry. Similarly, one can show that the $D3$-brane solution (3.7.2) also preserves 1/8 supersymmetry.

Next, we will analyze the supersymmetry properties of $(D1-D5)$ system that is described in eqn. (3.7.3) of the previous section.

The dilatino variation gives the following conditions on the spinors:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\lambda_{\pm} \equiv&\ \frac{f_{1,\hat{i}}}{f_1}\left(\Gamma^{\hat{i}}\epsilon_{\pm} - \Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{-}\hat{i}}\epsilon_{\mp}\right) \mp (f_1 f_5)^{\frac{1}{4}}(\partial_{\hat{i}} b_{\hat{j}})\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{i}\hat{j}}\epsilon_{\pm} \\
-&\ \frac{f_{5,\hat{i}}}{f_5}\left(\Gamma^{\hat{i}}\epsilon_{\pm} + \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{\hat{i}\hat{j}\hat{k}\hat{l}}\Gamma^{\hat{j}\hat{k}\hat{l}}\epsilon_{\mp}\right) = 0 \qquad (3.7.14)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the gravitino variation gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\psi^{\pm}_{+} \equiv&\ \partial_{+}\epsilon_{\pm} + \frac{1}{4}\partial_{\hat{n}}(K(f_1 f_5)^{-\frac{1}{4}})\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{n}} \mp \frac{1}{4}(\partial_{\hat{i}} b_{\hat{j}})\Gamma^{\hat{i}\hat{j}}\epsilon_{\pm} \\
-&\ \frac{1}{8}(f_1 f_5)^{-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{-}\hat{n}}\frac{K f_{1,\hat{n}}}{f_1} + \Gamma^{\hat{m}_1\ldots\hat{m}_3}\epsilon_{\hat{m}_1,\ldots,\hat{m}_3,\hat{n}}\frac{K f_{5,\hat{n}}}{f_5}\right)\Gamma^{\hat{+}}\epsilon_{\mp} = 0 \qquad (3.7.15) \\
\delta\psi^{\pm}_{-} \equiv&\ \partial_{-}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \qquad \delta\psi^{\pm}_{m} \equiv \partial_{m}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \qquad (3.7.16) \\
\delta\psi^{\pm}_{i} \equiv&\ \partial_{i}\epsilon_{\pm} - \frac{\delta_{i\hat{i}}}{4}(f_1 f_5)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial_{\hat{i}} b_{\hat{j}})\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{j}} + \frac{1}{8}\left[\frac{f_{1,i}}{f_1} + \frac{f_{5,i}}{f_5}\right]\epsilon_{\pm} = 0. \qquad (3.7.17)
\end{aligned}$$

In writing down the above gravitino variations we have once again made use of the brane conditions:

$$\Gamma^{\hat{i}}\epsilon_{\pm} - \Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{-}\hat{i}}\epsilon_{\mp} = 0, \qquad (3.7.18)$$

and

$$\Gamma^{\hat{i}}\epsilon_{\pm} + \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{\hat{i}\hat{j}\hat{k}\hat{l}}\Gamma^{\hat{j}\hat{k}\hat{l}}\epsilon_{\mp} = 0. \qquad (3.7.19)$$

Taking derivative of the eqn. (3.7.17) with respect to $\partial_{\hat{k}}$ and subtracting the derivative of $\partial_{\hat{k}}$ equation with respect to $\partial_{\hat{i}}$, we get

$$(\partial_{\hat{k}}\partial_{\hat{i}} b_{\hat{j}})\Gamma^{\hat{+}\hat{j}}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0, \qquad (3.7.20)$$

which can be satisfied for nonconstant $\partial_{\hat{i}} b_{\hat{j}}$ only if $\Gamma^{\hat{+}}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0$.

Using $\Gamma^{\hat{+}}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0$ and brane supersymmetry conditions (3.7.18) and(3.7.19) , the dilatino condition (3.7.14) is satisfied. Using $\Gamma^{\hat{+}}\epsilon_{\pm} = 0$, the supersymmetry condition (3.7.17) is solved by spinors: $\epsilon_\pm = exp(-\frac{1}{8}ln(f_1 f_5))\epsilon^0_\pm$, with $\epsilon^0_\pm$ being a function of x^+ only. Since $\epsilon^0_\pm$ is independent of x^i and $(\partial_i b_j)$ is a function of x^i only, the gravitino variation gives the following conditions to have nontrivial solutions:

$$(\partial_{\hat{i}} b_{\hat{j}})(\Gamma^{\hat{i}\hat{j}})\epsilon^0_\pm = 0 \tag{3.7.21}$$

and

$$\partial_+ \epsilon^0_\pm = 0. \tag{3.7.22}$$

Once again, the number of supersymmetries depend on the existence of solutions of equation (3.7.21). For the particular case when $H_{+12} = H_{+34}$, the $D1 - D5$ bound state solution (3.7.3) also preserves 1/8 supersymmetry.

3.8 Summary

In this chapter, we have presented several supersymmetric Dp and $Dp - Dp'$-brane configurations in pp-wave background with constant and nonconstant three form flux. and analyzed their supersymmetry properties. We have presented $(D1-D5)$-brane construction from the point of view of massive Green-Schwarz formalism in the light cone gauge in NS-NS and R-R pp-wave of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times R^4$ with constant three form flux. It will be interesting to study the gauge theory duals of the branes presented in this paper by using operators such as 'defects'. One could possibly also look at the black hole physics using the $(D1-D5)$ system presented here in an attempt to understand their properties. All the solutions presented here with the constant NS-NS and RR flux are shown to preserve 1/8 supersymmetry, whereas the D-branes in the background of little string theory preserve 1/4 supersymmetry. The supernumerary supersymmetry is absent for the background with nonconstant flux. D-brane solutions with nonconstant RR flux can be found out by applying S-duality transformation on the solutions presented here, which will be generalization of those given in [59]. The D-brane solutions with nonconstant flux have the interpretation of D-branes in nonsupersymmetric sigma model of [41]. It is also desirable to analyze them from the worldvolume point of view following the procedure of [42].

Bibliography

[1] R. Penrose, "Any space-time has a plane wave as a limit",in Differential geometry and relativity, pp.271-275, Reidel, Dordrecht, (1976).

[2] G. T. Horowitz and A. A. Tseytlin, "A New Class of Exact Solutions in String Theory", Phys. Rev **D 51** (1995) 2896, hep-th/9409021.

[3] R. Gueven, "Plane Wave Limits and T-Duality", Phys.Lett. **B482** (2000) 255, hep-th/0005061.

[4] R.R.Metsaev, "Light cone gauge formulation of IIB supergravity in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background and AdS/CFT correspondence", Phys.Lett. **B468** (1999) 65, hep-th/9908114.

R.R.Metsaev, "Type IIB Green-Schwarz superstring in plane wave Ramond-Ramond background", Nucl. Phys. **B625**, (2002) 70, hep-th/0112044.

R.R. Metsaev, A.A. Tseytlin, "Exactly solvable model of superstring in Ramond-Ramond plane wave background", Phys.Rev. **D65** (2002) 126004, hep-th/0202109.

[5] M.Blau, J.Figuero-O'Farrill, C. Hull and G. Papadopoulos, " A new maximally supersymmetric background of IIB superstring theory," JHEP **0201**, 047 (2000), hep-th/0110242

M.Blau, J.Figuero-O'Farrill and G. Papadopoulos, " Penrose limits and maximal supersymmetry," Class. Quant. Grav. **19, L87**(2000), hep-th/0201081.

M.Blau, J.Figuero-O'Farrill and G. Papadopoulos, "Penrose limits, supergravity and brane dynamics," Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) 4753, hep-th/0202111.

[6] A. A. Tseytlin, "On limits of superstring in $AdS(5) \times S^5$", hep-th/0201112.

[7] D. Berenstein, J. Maldacena, H. Nastase, "Strings in flat space and pp waves from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang Mills", JHEP**0204** (2002) 013, hep-th/0202021.

[8] N. Itzhaki, I. R. Klebanov and S. Mukhi, "PP wave limit and enhanced supersymmetry in gauge theories," JHEP **0203**, 048 (2002), hep-th/0202153.

[9] J. Gomis and H. Ooguri, "Penrose limit of N = 1 gauge theories", Nucl. Phys. **B635** (2002) 106, hep-th/0202157.

[10] J.G. Russo, A.A. Tseytlin, "On solvable models of type IIB superstring in NS-NS and R-R plane wave backgrounds," JHEP **0204** (2002) 021, hep-th/0202179.

[11] L. A. Pando Zayas and J. Sonnenschein, "On Penrose limits and gauge theories," hep-th/0202186.

[12] M. Alishahiha and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "The PP-wave limits of orbifolded $AdS_5 \times S^5$," hep-th/0203018.

[13] C. S. Chu, P. M. Ho, "Noncommutative D-brane and Open String in pp-wave Background with B-field," Nucl.Phys. **B636** (2002) 141, hep-th/0203186.

[14] P. Lee and J. W. Park, "Open strings in PP-wave background from defect conformal field theory", hep-th/0203257.

[15] V. Balasubramanian, M. X. Huang, T. S. Levi and A. Naqvi, "Open strings from N = 4 super Yang-Mills," hep-th/0204196.

[16] H. Takayanagi and T. Takayanagi, "Open strings in exactly solvable model of curved space-time and PP-wave limit," hep-th/0204234.

[17] D. Amati, C. Klimcik, "Nonperturbative Computation Of The Weyl Anomaly For A Class Of Nontrivial Backgrounds", Phys. Lett. **B219** (1989) 443.

[18] G. T. Horowitz, A. R. Steif, "Space-Time Singularities In String Theory", Phys. Rev. Lett.**64** (1990) 260.

[19] R.R.Metsaev, "Light cone gauge formulation of IIB supergravity in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background and AdS/CFT correspondence", Phys.Lett. **B468** (1999) 65, hep-th/9908114.

[20] R.R.Metsaev, "Type IIB Green-Schwarz superstring in plane wave Ramond-Ramond background", Nucl. Phys. **B625**, (2002) 70, hep-th/0112044.

[21] R.R. Metsaev, A.A. Tseytlin, "Exactly solvable model of superstring in Ramond-Ramond plane wave background", Phys. Rev. **D65** (2002) 126004, hep-th/0202109.

[22] P. Meessen, "A Small Note on PP-Wave Vacua in 6 and 5 Dimensions", Phys.Rev.**D65**(2002) 087501, hep-th/0111031.

[23] M. Cvetic, H. Lu, C.N. Pope, "Penrose Limits, PP-Waves and Deformed M2-branes," hep-th/0203082.

[24] J. P. Gauntlett, C. M. Hull, "pp-waves in 11-dimensions with extra supersymmetry", JHEP **0206**, (2002) 013, hep-th/0203255.

[25] A. Biswas. A. Kumar and K. L. Panigrahi, "p-p' Branes in PP-wave Background", Phys. Rev. **D66** (2002) 126002, hep-th/0208042.

[26] K. L. Panigrahi and Sanjay, "D-branes in pp-wave spacetime with nonconstant NS-NS flux", Phys. Lett **B561** (2003) 184, hep-th/0303182.

[27] A. Dabholkar, S. Parvizi, “Dp branes in pp-wave background”, Nucl. Phys. **B641** (2002) 223, hep-th/0203231.

[28] A. Kumar, R. R. Nayak, Sanjay, “D-brane solutions in pp-wave background”, Phys. Lett. **B541**(2002) 183, hep-th/0204025.

[29] K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, “Branes in AdS and pp-wave spacetimes,” JHEP **0206** (2002) 025, hep-th/0204054.

K. Skenderis, M. Taylor, “Open strings in the plane wave background I: Quantization and symmetries”, Nucl. Phys. **B665** (2003) 3, hep-th/0211011.

K. Skenderis, M. Taylor, “Open strings in the plane wave background II: Superalgebras and Spectra”, JHEP **0307** (2003) 006, hep-th/0212184.

[30] M. Alishahiha and A. Kumar, “D-Brane Solutions from New Isometries of pp-Waves”, Phys.Lett. **B542** (2002) 130, hep-th/0205134,

[31] P. Bain, P. Meessen and M. Zamaklar, “Supergravity solutions for D-branes in Hpp wave backgrounds,” Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 913, hep-th/0205106.

[32] H. Singh, “M5-branes with 3/8 Supersymmetry in PP-wave background,” Phys. Lett. **B543** (2002) 147, hep-th/0205020.

[33] S. S. Pal, “Solution to worldvolume action of D3 brane in pp-wave background”, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A17** (2002)1735, hep-th/0205303.

[34] Y. Michishita, “D-branes in NSNS and RR pp-wave backgrounds and S-duality”, JHEP **0210** (2002) 048, hep-th/0206131.

[35] N. Ohta, K. L. Panigrahi and Sanjay, “Intersecting branes in pp-wave spacetime”, Nucl. Phys. **B 674** 306, 2003, hep-th/0306186.

[36] R. R. Nayak and K. L. Panigrahi, “More D-branes in plane wave spacetime,” Phys. Lett. **B575** (2003) 325 [arXiv:hep-th/0310219].

[37] S.F. Hassan, R. R. Nayak and K. L. Panigrahi, ”D-branes in the NS5 Near-horizon pp-Wave Background”, hep-th/0312224.

[38] R. R. Nayak, K. L. Panigrahi, and S. Siwach, “Brane Solutions with/without Rotation in PP-wave Spacetime”, Nucl. Phys. B698 (2004) 149, hep-th/0405124.

[39] R. R. Nayak, “D-Branes at angle in pp-wave Background”, Phys. Rev. **D67** (2003) 086006, hep-th/0210230.

[40] J. Maldacena and L. Maoz, “Strings on pp-waves and massive two dimensional field theories”, JHEP **0212** (2002) 046, hep-th/0207284.

[41] J. G. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, “A class of exact pp-wave string models with interacting light-cone gauge actions”, JHEP **0209** (2002) 035, hep-th/0208114.

[42] Y. Hikida and S. Yamaguchi, “D-branes in PP-Waves and Massive Theories on World-sheet with Boundary”, JHEP **0301** (2003) 072, hep-th/0210262.

[43] N. Kim, “Remarks on type IIB pp waves with Ramond-Ramond fluxes and massive two dimensional nonlinear sigma models”, Phys. Rev. **D67** (2003) 046005, hep-th/0212017.

[44] G. Bonelli, “On Type II strings in exact superconformal non-constant RR backgrounds”, JHEP **0301** (2003) 065, hep-th/0301089.

[45] M. Cvetic, H. Lu, C.N. Pope, “M-theory PP-waves, Penrose Limits and Supernumerary Supersymmetries”, Nucl. Phys. **B644** (2002) 65, hep-th/0203229.

M. Cvetic, H. Lu, C.N. Pope, K.S. Stelle, “Linearly-realised Worldsheet Supersymmetry in pp-wave Background”, hep-th/0209193.

[46] C. Bachas, M. Petropoulos, “Anti-de-Sitter D-branes”, JHEP **0102** (2001) 025, hep-th/0012234.

[47] J. Maldacena, G. Moore, N. Seiberg, “Geometrical interpretation of D-branes in gauged WZW models”, JHEP **0107** (2001) 046, hep-th/0105038.

[48] B. Ponsot, V. Schomerus, J. Teschner, “Branes in the Euclidean AdS_3”, JHEP **0202** (2002) 016, hep-th/0112198.

[49] M. Billo’, I. Pesando, “Boundary States for GS superstrings in an Hpp wave background”, Phys. Lett. **B536** (2002) 121, hep-th/0203028.

[50] M. Alishahiha, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, “Strings in PP-Waves and Worldsheet Deconstruction”, Phys. Lett. **B538** (2002) 180, hep-th/0204174.

[51] A. Fayyazuddin, D. J. Smith, “Localized intersections of M5-branes and four-dimensional superconformal field theories”, JHEP **9904** (1999) 030, hep-th/9902210.

[52] A. Fayyazuddin, D. J. Smith, “Warped AdS near-horizon geometry of completely localized intersections of M5-branes”, JHEP **0010** (2000) 023, hep-th/0006060.

[53] H. Lu, C.N. Pope,“Interacting Intersections”, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A13 (1998) 4425, hep-th/9710155.

[54] J. de Boer, A. Pasquinucci, and K. Skenderis “ADS / CFT Dualities Involving Large 2-D N=4 Superconformal symmetry”, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.**3** (1999) 577, hep-th/9904073.

[55] G. Papadopoulos, J. G. Russo, A. A. Tseytlin, “Curved branes from string dualities”, Class.Quant.Grav. 17 (2000) 1713, hep-th/9911253.

[56] M. J. Duff, Ramzi R. Khuri, J. X. Lu, “String Solitons”, Phys.Rept. **259** (1995) 213, hep-th/9412184.

[57] M. Alishahiha, H. Ita, Y. Oz, “Graviton Scattering on D6 Branes with B Fields”, JHEP **0006** (2000) 002, hep-th/0004011.

[58] J. C. Breckenridge, G. Michaud, R. C. Myers, “More D-brane bound states,” Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 6438, hep-th/9611174.

[59] A. Kumar, S. Mukherji and K. L. Panigrahi, “D-brane bound states from Charged Macroscopic Strings”, JHEP**05** (20020 050, hep-th/0112219.

[60] A.A. Tseytlin, “Harmonic superpositions of M-branes”, Nucl.Phys. **B475** (1996) 149, hep-th/9604035.

[61] J. H. Schwarz, “Covariant Field Equations of Chiral N=2 D = 10 Supergravity”, Nucl. Phys.**B226** (1983) 269.

[62] S.F. Hassan, “ T-Duality, Space-time Spinors and R-R Fields in Curved Backgrounds,” Nucl.Phys. **B568** (2000) 145, hep-th/9907152.

[63] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani and E. Verlinde, “Penrose Limits and Non-local theories,” hep-th/0205258.

[64] Y. Oz, T. Sakai, “Penrose Limit and Six-Dimensional Gauge Theories,” hep-th/0207223.

[65] M. Alishahiha, A. Kumar, “PP-waves from Nonlocal Theories,”, hep-th/0207257.

[66] M. Berkooz, M. Rozali and N. Seiberg, “Matrix Description of M-theory on T^4 and T^5”, Phys.Lett. **B408** (1997) 105, hep-th/9704089.

[67] N. Seiberg, “Matrix Description of M-theory on T^5 and T^5/Z_2”, Phys.Lett. **B408** (1997) 98, hep-th/9705221.

Chapter 4

NONCOMMUTATIVE $N = 2$ STRINGS

4.1 Geometry of $N = 2$ Strings: A brief review

It is well known fact that properties of string theory are directly attributable to the properties of underlying 2d conformal field theory. More structure on the worldsheet therefore gives rise to more interesting string theory. For example: Bosonic strings have no worldsheet supersymmetry ($N = 0$). Introduction of one local supersymmetry on the worldsheet ($N = 1$), gives rise to the far more interesting superstring theory that lives in $(9, 1)$ spacetime dimensions. Then it is natural to extend the idea of introducing more local supersymmetry on the worldsheet and look for string theories in diverse dimensions. It turns out that $N = 2$ superconformal supersymmetry on the worldsheet gives rise to an interesting string theory, known as $N = 2$ *strings* [1, 2]. These are the kind of string theories which provide a consistent quantum theory of self-dual gravity in four dimensions. It was realized by Ooguri and Vafa [3, 4] that $N = 2$ string lives in 2-complex spacetime dimensions and have only one physical particle, a massless scalar in its spectrum. Then the next question to ask is what is the signature of the space-time ? Unfortunately the signature can't be $(3, 1)$ because it breaks $N = 2$ worldsheet supersymmetry, which requires the underlying manifold to be a complex Kähler manifold. The correct structure is (2,2) which is consistent with keeping the complex structure. This theory lives intrinsically in a Kähler two-complex dimensional space-time, with Lorentz group $U(1,1) = SL(2,R) \bigotimes U(1)$. They also have the interesting property that all n-point amplitudes ($n \geq 4$) vanish, thereby showing the topological or integrable nature of the theory. But the 3-point function does not vanish and therefore the theory has a nontrivial S matrix. The massless scalar of the theory was argued as the Kähler potential of space-time. It satisfies a quadratic equation of motion, the Plebanski equation [5], which is the condition that the spacetime has a self-dual Riemann tensor. Thus the closed $N = 2$ string can be thought of as a theory of self-dual gravity on (2,2) dimensional spacetime.

For flat space-time with (2,2) signature metric, $ds^2 = dx^1 d\bar{x}^1 - dx^2 d\bar{x}^2$, the action for the $N = 2$ nonlinear sigma model on the worldsheet is $S_0 = \int d^2 z d^2 \theta d^2 \bar{\theta} K_0(X, \bar{X})$, where $X^i (i = 1, 2)$ is an $N = 2$ chiral superfield,

$$X^i(Z, \bar{Z}; \theta, \bar{\theta}) = x^i(Z, \bar{Z}) + \psi^i_R(Z, \bar{Z})\theta + \psi^i_L(Z, \bar{Z})\bar{\theta} + F^i(Z, \bar{Z})\theta\bar{\theta} \tag{4.1.1}$$

and K_0 is a Kähler potential for the flat space-time, $K_0 = X^1 \bar{X}^1 - X^2 \bar{X}^2$. Now to find out the meaning of the massless modes, one deforms the action while preserving the $N = 2$ supersymmetry on the worldsheet. That can only be done by changing the Kähler potential $K_0 \to K = K_0 + \phi$. This deformation of the Kähler potential corresponds to the massless scalar. The spectrum of the closed string consists of a single massless scalar. Metric degrees of freedom is also present here and encoded in terms of massless scalar field, by the formula $g_{i\bar{j}} = \partial_i \bar{\partial}_j K$.

4.1.1 Vertex Operators and Interactions

The superspace-vertex operator for emitting a closed-string scalar of momentum k is[1]

$$V_c = \frac{\kappa}{\pi} e^{i(k.\bar{X} + \bar{k}.X)}, \tag{4.1.2}$$

where X is an $N = 2$ chiral superfield. Thus, the vertex at $\theta = \bar{\theta} = 0$ is simply the momentum insertion

$$V^0_c|_{\theta=\bar{\theta}=0} = \frac{\kappa}{\pi} e^{i(k.\bar{x} + \bar{k}.x)}, \tag{4.1.3}$$

---

[1] Here the 'dots' indicate contractions over the two complex coordinates.

while the vertex integrated over the fermionic coordinates is

$$V_c^{int} = \frac{\kappa}{\pi}(ik.\ \partial\bar{x} - i\bar{k}.\ \partial x - k.\ \bar{\psi}_R \bar{k}.\ \psi_R)(ik.\ \bar{\partial}\bar{x} - i\bar{k}.\ \bar{\partial}x - k.\ \bar{\psi}_L\ \bar{k}.\ \psi_L)e^{i(k.\bar{x}+\bar{k}.x)} \tag{4.1.4}$$

To obtain the three-point function, one needs to fix three bosonic coordinates and two θ's (and $\bar{\theta}$'s). Thus one calculates the correlation function of one V_c^{int} and two V_c^0's, at fixed positions. The result is:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ccc} &= \langle V_c|_{\theta=0}(0)V_c^{\text{int}}(1)V_c(\infty)|_{\theta=0}\rangle \\ &= \kappa c_{12}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.5}$$

where

$$c_{12} \equiv (k_1.\bar{k}_2 - \bar{k}_1.k_2) \tag{4.1.6}$$

is the invariant product of momenta in 2-complex dimensions. Note that c_{ij} is antisymmetric with respect to its two indices, and is additive in the sense that $c_{i,j} + c_{i,k} = c_{i,j+k}$. Using momentum conservation, one sees that A_{ccc} is completely antisymmetric. The three point amplitude of the 2-complex dimensional closed string therefore implies a truly nontrivial S-matrix element, and not just some unphysical vertex.

The four-point function can be calculated similarly, and the result is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{cccc} &= \int_0^1 \langle V_c|_{\theta=0}(0)V_c^{\text{int}}(x)V_c^{\text{int}}(1)V_c|_{\theta=0}(\infty)\rangle \\ &= \frac{\kappa^2}{\pi}F^2\frac{\Gamma(1-s/2)\Gamma(1-t/2)\Gamma(1-u/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)\Gamma(t/2)\Gamma(u/2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.7}$$

Oogeri and Vafa noted however that, in the scattering of massless particle in $(2,2)$ dimensions, there is an identity:

$$F \equiv 1 - \frac{c_{12}c_{34}}{su} - \frac{c_{23}c_{41}}{tu} = 0. \tag{4.1.8}$$

Therefore the four point function (4.1.7) vanishes onshell. Ooguri and Vafa proposed that the vanishing of amplitude comes from some kind of topological nature of the theory. They have conjectured that all higher order amplitudes should also vanish.

One sees that the local three-point function and vanishing of the four point function can be deduced from the following action:

$$\mathcal{L}_c = \int d^4x(\frac{1}{2}\partial^i\phi\bar{\partial}_i\phi + \frac{2\kappa}{3}\phi\partial\bar{\partial}\phi \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}\phi), \tag{4.1.9}$$

The equation of motion derived from this action is the Plebanski equation, the equation for self-dual gravity written in terms of Kähler potential in (2,2) space. So the $N = 2$ closed string describes self-dual gravity. The next question to ask of course is that whether the open string and heterotic strings describe self dual Yang-Mills (SDYM) ? Soon it was realized for open $N = 2$ strings by N. Marcus[6]. As in the closed string case, the spectrum of the open string also consists of a massless scalar. However, as usual we would like to append the chan paton factor to the string amplitudes. The superspace vertex operator to emit these scalars is equal to that of the closed string case and is given by:

$$V_o = ge^{i(k.\bar{X}+\bar{k}.X)}. \tag{4.1.10}$$

Again after integrating out the fermionic coordinates one obtains the integrated vertex operator as:

$$V_o^{int} = \int d^2\theta V_0 = \frac{g}{2}(ik.\ \partial_\sigma\bar{x} - i\bar{k}.\ \partial_\sigma x - 4k.\ \bar{\psi}\ \bar{k}.\ \psi)e^{i(k.\bar{x}+\bar{k}.x)}. \tag{4.1.11}$$

The three-point open-string amplitude is found by calculating the correlation function of one V_o^{int} and two V_o at fixed positions. The amplitude is given by:

$$A_{ooo} = \langle V_o|_{\theta=0}(0)V_o^{\text{int}}(1)V_o(\infty)|_{\theta=0}\rangle = gc_{12}f^{abc}. \tag{4.1.12}$$

The amplitude is totally antisymmetric with respect to the three scalars without the insertion of the group theory factor f^{abc}, so one needs to insert the group theory factor in order to prevent the vanishing of the amplitude. At this stage, f^{abc} is an unspecified totally antisymmetric tensor. Similarly, the four point amplitude depends upon the cyclic ordering of the vertices on the boundary, which we choose to place at 0, x, 1 and ∞, with x integrated between 0 and 1. Two of the vertices are of V_o^{int} and two are V_o. The four point amplitude is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{oooo} &\equiv \int_0^1 \langle V_0|_{\theta=0}(0) V_o^{\rm int}(x) V_o^{\rm int}(1) V_o|_{\theta=0}(\infty)\rangle \\ &= \frac{g^2}{4} F \frac{\Gamma(1-2s)\Gamma(1-2t)}{\Gamma(2u)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.13}$$

and as in the case of the closed string this amplitude also vanishes due to the F factor. Because of the vanishing of this amplitude, the usual unitarity constraint on the gauge group factors does not apply here. However, one can still find a constraint on them by demanding the consistency between the string theory four-point function and the same calculated form the effective field theory. The three point amplitude of eqn 4.1.12 can be obtained from the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{3o} = \int d^4x \Big(\frac{1}{2} \partial^i \varphi^a \bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}} \varphi^a - i \frac{g}{3} f^{abc} \varphi^a \partial^i \varphi^b \bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}} \varphi^c \Big) \tag{4.1.14}$$

The field theory four-point function can be calculated by sewing or by gluing together two three point amplitudes and by adding some local or contact four point vertex V_{4o}. This gives:

$$A_{ooooFT} = -g^2 \Big\{ A f^{abx} f^{xcd} + B f^{bcx} f^{xda} + A f^{cax} f^{xbd} \Big\} - V_{4o}, \tag{4.1.15}$$

where

$$A = \frac{c_{12} c_{34}}{s} \quad B = \frac{c_{23} c_{41}}{t} \quad C = \frac{c_{31} c_{24}}{u}. \tag{4.1.16}$$

The kinematic relation $F = 0$ and its permutation imply that

$$B = u - A \ \ and \ \ C = t + A. \tag{4.1.17}$$

Now the four point amplitude looks like

$$A_{ooooFT} \ = \ -g^2 \Big\{ A \Big(f^{abx} f^{xcd} - f^{acx} f^{xbd} - f^{bcx} f^{xda} \Big)$$

$$+ \quad u f^{bcx} f^{xda} + t f^{cax} f^{xbd} \Big\} - V_{4o}. \tag{4.1.18}$$

Since V_{4o} must be a local vertex, the factor multiplying A must vanish. This factor is the Jacobi identity. Thus the f^{abc}'s are the structure constants of the semi simple group times a product of an arbitrary number of $U(1)$ factors. Thus the resulting quartic interaction determined by eqn. 4.1.18 is now:

$$\mathcal{L}_{4o} = \int d^4x \Big(-\frac{g^2}{6} f^{adx} f^{xbc} \partial^i \varphi^a \varphi^b \bar{\partial}_i \varphi^c \varphi^d \Big). \tag{4.1.19}$$

The computation of the three and four point function for the mixed sector has been carried out in[6]. We, however, present the results here. The amplitude of two open and one closed stings is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ooc} &\equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} db \langle V_o|_{\theta=0}(b) V_c^{\text{int}}(z = x + iy) V_o|_{\theta=0}(\tau \to \infty) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{\pi} \delta^{ab} c_{12}^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} db \frac{y}{(x-b)^2 + y^2} \\ &= \kappa \delta^{ab} c_{12}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.20}$$

This vertex is same as the self interaction of the gravitational vertex A_{ccc}, showing the universality in the couplings of various fields to gravity. Now one proceeds to find out the four point amplitude with one closed and three open strings. The simplest gauge fixing here would be to fix the three open string vertices to be 0, 1 and ∞, and to fix the θ's of two vertices at 0 and ∞. One needs then to integrate the closed vertex over the UHP. As the integrand is symmetric under the exchange of z and $\bar{z}$, the integral in the UHP can be transformed by the integral over the full complex plane and the result is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{oooc} &= \int\int_{\text{UHP}} dz d\bar{z} \langle V_o|_{\theta=0}(0) V_o^{\text{int}}(1) V_o|_{\theta=0}(\infty) V_c^{\text{int}}(z, \bar{z}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \kappa g f^{abc} F \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(t)\Gamma(u)}{\Gamma(-s)\Gamma(-t)\Gamma(-u)} (c_{12} t + c_{23} s), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.21}$$

which again vanishes because of the F factor. Since the open string carries a group index, the amplitude with one open string and arbitrary closed ones should vanish. The amplitude of two open and two closed strings should also vanish again because of the presence of F factor. In the field theory action which reproduces the above three and four point amplitudes, there are mixed quartic terms in the action:

$$\mathcal{L}_{oooc} = \int \Big(-\frac{4}{3} ig\kappa f^{abc} \partial\bar{\partial}\phi \wedge \varphi^a \partial\varphi^b \bar{\partial}\varphi^c \Big). \tag{4.1.22}$$

This finishes a brief review of string theory with $N = 2$ superconformal symmetry on the worldsheet. In the next section, we will analyze the $N = 2$ string theory in the presence of constant antisymmetric tensor background.

4.2 $N = 2$ Strings in Constant NS-NS Background

In this section, we study $N = 2$ strings in constant NS-NS antisymmetric tensor (B) background[9], in view of interesting developments in noncommutative string theory[10, 11, 12, 13]. In this regard, we have also been motivated by the fact that noncommutative $N = 2$ strings are expected to have interesting implications in possible generalizations of M(atrix) and F theories[14] to include noncommutativity. It is known that antisymmetric tensor backgrounds can be incorporated in $N = 2$ superspace formalism using chiral and twisted-chiral superfields[15]. In this manner, one has an $N = 2$ worldsheet supersymmetry without having a Kähler metric [15]. One now obtains a noncommutative complex manifold as the target space geometry.

There are two main highlights of the $N = 2$ noncommutative field theory obtained here. The first is a nontrivial constraint, satisfied by the noncommutative theory, originating from the requirement of the absence of poles in the 4-point amplitude in the open sector of the $N = 2$ strings for nonzero B. The second is in the mixed sector. Here the noncommutative field theory involves a generalized *-product[16, 17], and B explicitly appears with the open string metric in two (left/right) linear combinations for contracting the target space indices of the open-string fields. We finally analyze a 4-point mixed sector amplitude in the extreme noncommutative limit. Nontriviality in the computation of the tree-level string amplitudes in the mixed sector, stems from the fact that due to the absence of $z \to \bar{z}$-symmetry in the presence of B, one can not use the generalized Koba-Nielson integrals of [3, 6, 18] which are relevant when the domain of integration is the full complex plane.

One can consider $N = 2$ string action (in presence of B), written in an $N = 1$ superspace notation in [15]:

$$S = \int d^2x \int d\theta_L d\theta_R \Big[g_{IJ} D^\alpha X^I D_\alpha X^J + B_{IJ} D^\alpha X^I (\gamma^5 D)_\alpha X^J \Big], \qquad (4.2.1)$$

where the superspace field X^I ($I \equiv 1, 2, \bar{1}, \bar{2}$) represents $X, Y, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}$, and $\alpha \equiv L, R$. The closed string metric and the antisymmetric background fields are denoted by g_{IJ} and B_{IJ} respectively. We would like to point out that the action specified above is not $N = 2$ supersymmetric without adding boundary terms. The equations motion for the bosons and fermions can be derived from the above action. However, for deriving the boundary conditions for fermions, one needs to add B-dependent boundary terms in the above action to restore supersymmetry. The field equations, boundary conditions and canonical commutation relations, including those for fermions derived in $N = 2$ case turn out to be similar to the ones written in [19, 11]. Since the vacuum energy of the bosonic and fermionic oscillators remains same as for $B = 0$[11], the spectrum of the theory once again consists of a scalar (φ) in the open and (ϕ) closed string sector. The closed-[3] and open-string[6] vertex operators are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} V_o|_{\theta=0} &= e^{i(k\cdot\bar{x}+\bar{k}\cdot x)}, \\ V_c|_{\theta=\bar{\theta}=0} &= e^{i(k\cdot\bar{x}+\bar{k}\cdot x)}, \\ V_c^{\text{int}} &= \Big(ik\cdot\partial\bar{x} - i\bar{k}\partial x - k\cdot\bar{\psi}_R\bar{k}\cdot\psi_R\Big)\Big(ik\cdot\bar{\partial}\bar{x} - i\bar{k}\cdot\bar{\partial}x - k\cdot\bar{\psi}_L\bar{k}\cdot\psi_L\Big)\times \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \quad e^{i(k\cdot\bar{x}+\bar{k}\cdot x)},$$

$$V_o^{\rm int} \quad = \quad \Big(ik\cdot\partial_\tau\bar{x} - i\bar{k}\cdot\partial_\tau x - k\cdot(\bar{\psi}_L+\bar{\psi}_R)\bar{k}\cdot(\psi_L+\psi_R)\Big)e^{i(k\cdot\bar{x}+\bar{k}\cdot x)}, \tag{4.2.2}$$

where $V_{c,o}^{\rm int}$ are the closed- and open-string vertex operators that have been integrated w.r.t. their fermionic supercoordinates. Following [2], θ_L is set equal to θ_R for $V_o^{\rm int}$. Also, the bosonic component x^i, $x^{\bar{i}}$ denote (x,y) and $(\bar{x},\bar{y})$ which originate from (anti-)chiral and (anti-)twisted chiral fields of $N=2$.

The two-point function for both bosons and fermions appearing in (4.2.2) can be written together using the superspace 2-point function in $N=1$ notation of [20] (with $\alpha' = \frac{1}{2\pi}$):

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle X^i(Z_1,\bar{Z}_1)X^{\bar{j}}(Z_2,\bar{Z}_2)\rangle = -g^{i\bar{j}}ln[(z_1-z_2-\theta_1^L\theta_2^L)(\bar{z}_1-\bar{z}_2-\theta_1^R\theta_2^R)]\\&+(g^{i\bar{j}}-2G^{i\bar{j}})ln[(z_1-\bar{z}_2-\theta_1^L\theta_2^R)(\bar{z}_1-z_2-\theta_1^R\theta_2^L)]\\&-2\Theta^{i\bar{j}}ln\Big[\frac{z_1-\bar{z}_2-\theta_1^L\theta_2^R}{\bar{z}_1-z_2-\theta_1^R\theta_2^L}\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.3}$$

The indices $i,\bar{j}$ run over $1,2$ and $\bar{1},\bar{2}$ respectively. The open string metric $G^{i\bar{j}}$ and the noncommutativity parameter $\Theta^{i\bar{j}}$ can be expressed in terms of $g^{i\bar{j}}$ and $B^{i\bar{j}}$ as in [11]. For our case, $g^{i\bar{j}}$ denotes the flat closed string metric and $B^{i\bar{j}}$, constant antisymmetric background of 'magnetic' type. Now, using the above results, we calculate various string amplitudes in the open and mixed sectors. In the closed sector the results of [3, 6] are still valid as closed strings have no boundary, and hence are insensitive to the addition of boundary terms to the world-sheet action. In the open- and mixed-string sectors, the super-Möbius transformations allow two complex fermionic supercoordinates to be set to zero, and three real bosonic coordinates to be fixed to any arbitrary value.

4.2.1 Open Sector:

The 3-point function using obvious notations is given by:

$$A_{ooo}(B\neq 0) = \langle V_o|_{\theta=0}(0)V_o^{\rm int}(1)V_o(\infty)|_{\theta=0}\rangle$$

$$= e^{\frac{i}{2}(\bar{k}_1\Theta k_2 - \bar{k}_2\Theta k_1)} A_{ooo}(B=0), \tag{4.2.4}$$

where $A_{ooo}(B = 0) = c_{12} \equiv k_1 G^{-1}\bar{k}_2 - k_2 G^{-1}\bar{k}_1$. Now, as in [6], one has to impose Bose symmetry on A_{ooo} in (4.2.4). Unlike [6], for the noncommutative case, one can have an "isoscalar" as well as an "isovector" component of the amplitude:

$$A_{ooo} = A^{\rm S}_{ooo} + A^{\rm AS\ abc}_{ooo}, \tag{4.2.5}$$

where $A^{\rm S}_{ooo}$ is the isoscalar part of the amplitude that is symmetric under the interchange of the momentum labels of particles 1 and 2, and $A^{\rm AS\ abc}_{ooo}$ is the isovector part of the amplitude that is antisymmetric under the interchange of the momentum and group labels separately, but is symmetric under the simultaneous interchange of both types of labels. Denoting $K_{12} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\bar{k}_1\Theta k_2 - \bar{k}_2\Theta k_1)$ one sees that

$$A^{\rm S}_{ooo} = c_{12}\sin(K_{12}), \quad A^{\rm AS\ abc}_{ooo} = c_{12}\cos(K_{12}) f^{abc}. \tag{4.2.6}$$

Similarly, the 4-point function is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{oooo}(B \neq 0) &= \int_0^1 \langle V_0|_{\theta=0}(0) V_o^{\rm int}(x) V_o^{\rm int}(1) V_o|_{\theta=0}(\infty)\rangle \\ &= e^{i(K_{12}+K_{23}+K_{13})} A_{oooo}(B=0), \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.7}$$

where $A_{oooo}(B = 0) = F\frac{\Gamma(1-2s)\Gamma(1-2t)}{\Gamma(2u)}$ as in [6]. The Θ-dependent phase factor in (4.2.7) matches with the phase factor in equation (2.11) of [11]. The null kinematic factor F is that of [3] with the difference that the open-string metric is used for contracting momenta in s, t, u as well as c_{ab}'s.

Moreover, since in the purely open string sector, the Θ dependence of the amplitude enters via a phase factor, one sees that the above result for the noncommutative 3- and 4-point functions readily generalizes to the noncommutative n-point function, implying that, like the claim for the commutative $N = 2$ theory, all n-point functions with $n \geq 4$ also vanish. Hence, the noncommutative $N = 2$ theory is "topological" in the closed- and open-string sectors.

We now analyze in some detail the implications of the above modifications to the field theory of open string scalars. Using (4.2.5) and (4.2.6), one can evaluate the field theory (FT) amplitude $A_{oooo\ FT}$ which consists of contributions from two 3-point functions ($A_{ooo\ FT}$'s) as well as a contact vertex $V_{oooo\ FT}$ (whose form is determined from the requirement that $A_{oooo\ FT}$ like A_{oooo} of string theory, vanishes). One can verify that for $A_{ooo\ FT}$ corresponding to A^S_{ooo} in (4.2.6), there are no poles in $A_{oooo\ FT}$. This can be seen by adding contributions to the 4-point amplitudes from s, t and u-channels as:

$$\begin{aligned} A^S_{ooooFT} &= A\sin(K_{12})\sin(K_{34}) + B\sin(K_{23})\sin(K_{41}) \\ &+ C\sin(K_{31})\sin(K_{24}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.8}$$

where

$$A = \frac{c_{12}c_{34}}{s},\ B = \frac{c_{23}c_{41}}{t} = u - A,\ C = \frac{c_{31}c_{24}}{u} = t + A. \tag{4.2.9}$$

Then by eliminating k_4 using momentum conservation, it is noticed that pole part of the amplitude above cancels for A^S_{ooo} in equation (4.2.8). In other words, $sin(K_{ab})$ in A^S_{ooooFT} acts as a structure constant. To generalize this result further, one can consider a more general 3-point function

$$A^{S\ abc}_{ooo} = c_{12}\sin(K_{12})d^{abc}, \tag{4.2.10}$$

with d^{abc} being symmetric structure constants. Vanishing of poles in $A^S_{oooo\ FT}$ then implies a strong condition on d^{abc}'s leading to multiple copies of abelian noncommutative FT's mentioned in (4.2.14) below.

For A^{AS}_{ooo}, on the other hand, we get a constraint on f^{abc}:

$$\begin{aligned} &\cos(K_{12})\sin(K_{31})\sin(K_{32})f^{abx}f^{xcd} - \cos(K_{23})\sin(K_{21})\sin(K_{31})f^{bcx}f^{xda} \\ &+\cos(K_{31})\sin(K_{21})\sin(K_{23})f^{cax}f^{xbd} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.11}$$

One sees that the above constraint can not be satisfied by any classical group. Perhaps it may be satisfied for some quantum group. One now observes that $U(N)$ gauge groups can be obtained from 3-point string vertex, eqn.(4.2.4), by considering mixed (isoscalar-isovector) vertices. In particular, for $U(2)$, after imposing Bose symmetry on two of the external legs in eqn.(4.2.4), the corresponding isoscalar-isovector field theory vertex is given as:

$$A^{ab}_{Mixed} = c_{12}\sin(K_{12})\delta^{ab}. \tag{4.2.12}$$

Then it can once again be shown that the poles in the isovector 4-point amplitude, obtained by sewing together two 3-point vertices with isoscalar and isovector internal states, cancel. Higher rank groups can also be incorporated by including 3-point vertex in eqn.(4.2.10) (See for example [21]).

We now write down the FT corresponding to $A^S_{ooo\ FT}$ mentioned before, as well as the contact vertex appearing in equation (4.2.8), after using equation (4.2.9) whose explicit form is

$$V^{int}_{oooo} = u\sin(K_{23})\sin(K_{41}) + t\sin(K_{31})\sin(K_{24}). \tag{4.2.13}$$

One then obtains the field theory action corresponding to A^S_{ooo} and V^{int}_{oooo} up to terms quartic in φ:

$$\mathcal{L}_{FT} = G^{\bar{i}j}\Big[\frac{1}{2}\bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}}\varphi * \partial_j\varphi + \frac{i}{3}[\bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}}\varphi, \partial_j\varphi]_* * \varphi - \frac{1}{12}\bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}}\varphi * [[\partial_j\varphi, \varphi]_*, \varphi]_*\Big], \tag{4.2.14}$$

where $[\xi, \eta]_* \equiv \xi * \eta - \eta * \xi$. The $*$ product is defined (in momentum space) as:

$$e^{ik_1\cdot x} * e^{ik_2\cdot x} = e^{iK_{12}}e^{i(k_1+k_2)\cdot x}. \tag{4.2.15}$$

with $k\cdot x \equiv k\cdot\bar{x} + \bar{k}\cdot x$. A generalization of the above noncommutative abelian field theory action to $U(N)$ case is straightforward. The Moyal deformation of self dual Yang Mills (and gravity) were also considered in [22]. We now study the mixed sector of the noncommutative N=2 theory.

4.2.2 Mixed sector

(a) A_{ooc}: We now show, in $N = 2$ context, that a mixed amplitude with two open and one closed string vertices generates a field theory with a generalized *-product[16, 17] (the $\Theta = 0$ limit of which reduces to the result of [6]).

Following [6], for the purpose of setting the limits of integration, it is convenient to fix the bosonic coordinate of one of the two V_o's (to 0) and that of V_c to $z = x + iy$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_{ooc} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} db \langle V_o|_{\theta=0}(b) V_o^{\text{int}}(z = x + iy) V_o|_{\theta=0}(\tau \to \infty)\rangle \\
&= e^{\frac{i}{2}(\bar{k}_b \Theta k_\tau - \bar{k}_\tau \Theta k_b)} \times \\
&\times \frac{y}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} db \Big(c_{b\tau}^2 - (k_\tau \Theta \bar{k}_b + k_b \Theta \bar{k}_\tau)^2 \Big) \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi}(k_b \Theta \bar{k}_\tau - k_\tau \Theta \bar{k}_b) ln \left(\frac{b-(x-iy)}{b-(x+iy)} \right)}}{([b-x]^2 + y^2)} \\
&= \frac{e^{\frac{i}{2}(\bar{k}_b \Theta k_\tau - \bar{k}_\tau \Theta k_b)}}{4\pi^2} \Big(c_{b\tau}^2 - (k_\tau \Theta \bar{k}_b + k_b \Theta \bar{k}_\tau)^2 \Big) \pi e^{-i\frac{(k_b \Theta \bar{k}_\tau - k_\tau \Theta \bar{k}_b)}{2}} \frac{sin\left(\frac{k_b \Theta \bar{k}_\tau - k_\tau \Theta \bar{k}_b}{2} \right)}{(k_b \Theta \bar{k}_\tau - k_\tau \Theta \bar{k}_b)} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} c_{b\tau}^L c_{b\tau}^R \frac{sin(K_{b\tau})}{K_{b\tau}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.16}$$

where $c_{ab}^{L,R} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\Big(k_a G^{-1} \bar{k}_b - k_b G^{-1} \bar{k}_a \mp k_a \Theta \bar{k}_b \pm k_b \Theta \bar{k}_a \Big)$, the upper and lower signs corresponding to L and R respectively. The b integral above was done using Mathematica and is also given in appendix A of [23]. Before commenting on the topological nature of this amplitude, we now write down the corresponding interaction term in the FT action which is given in terms of a generalized *-product[16, 17]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ooc} = \phi(\partial_i \partial_j \varphi *' \bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{j}} \varphi - \partial_i \bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}} \varphi *' \partial_j \bar{\partial}_{\bar{j}} \varphi)(G^{-1} - \Theta)^{i\bar{j}} (G^{-1} + \Theta)^{j\bar{i}}, \tag{4.2.17}$$

where we have made use of

$$e^{ik_1 \cdot x} *' e^{ik_2 \cdot x} = \frac{\sin(K_{12})}{K_{12}} e^{i(k_1 + k_2)\cdot x}. \tag{4.2.18}$$

in arriving at (4.2.17) from (4.2.16).

Now, to interpret A_{ooc} as a topological theory in the sense of [3], one now sees that by expanding $\frac{\sin(K_{12})}{K_{12}}$ in a power series in Θ, an infinite number of (Θ or equivalently B-dependent) terms are generated at the 3-point level in the mixed sector. As the radius of convergence of the $\frac{\sin x}{x}$ expansion is infinite, this implies that *after expansion*, A_{ooc} can be interpreted as an infinite series of local interactions between the closed and open string scalars.

(b) A_{oooc}: Like [6], we set the bosonic coordinates of the three V_o's at $0, 1, \infty$ and the fermionic coordinates of the first and third V_o's to zero. We will hence require to integrate over the bosonic coordinates of $V_c^{\rm int}(z, \bar{z})$. Defining $t^{L,R} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\Big(k_1 G^{-1}\bar{k}_4 + k_4 G^{-1}\bar{k}_1 \mp k_1\Theta\bar{k}_4 \mp k_4\Theta\bar{k}_1\Big)$, $u^{L,R} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\Big(k_1 G^{-1}\bar{k}_3 + k_3 G^{-1}\bar{k}_1 \mp k_1\Theta\bar{k}_3 \mp k_3\Theta\bar{k}_1\Big)$, one gets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{oooc} = \int\int_{\rm UHP} dz d\bar{z} \langle V_o|_{\theta=0}(0) V_o^{\rm int}(1) V_o|_{\theta=0}(\infty) V_c^{\rm int}(z,\bar{z})\rangle \\
& \sim \int\int_{\rm UHP} dz d\bar{z} z^{t^L} \bar{z}^{t^R} (1-z)^{u^L}(1-\bar{z})^{u^R} \\
& \times\Bigg(\Big[-\frac{c_{14}^L}{z} + \frac{c_{24}^L}{(1-z)}\Big]\Big[-\frac{c_{14}^R}{\bar{z}} + \frac{c_{24}^R}{(1-\bar{z})}\Big]\Big[-2c_{12} + \frac{c_{24}^L}{(1-z)} + \frac{c_{24}^R}{(1-\bar{z})}\Big] \\
& +\Big[-\frac{c_{14}^L}{z} + \frac{c_{24}^L}{(1-z)}\Big]\Big[\frac{-u^R + (u^R)^2 - (c_{24}^R)^2}{(1-\bar{z})^2}\Big] \\
& +\Big[-\frac{c_{14}^R}{\bar{z}} + \frac{c_{24}^R}{(1-\bar{z})}\Big]\Big[\frac{-u^L + (u^L)^2 - (c_{24}^L)^2}{(1-z)^2}\Big]\Bigg),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.2.19}
$$

which gives the A_{oooc} amplitude of [6] for $\Theta = 0$. However, because of the lack of $z \rightarrow \bar{z}$ symmetry in the presence of B, unlike [6], one can not enlarge the domain of integration from the upper half complex plane to the entire complex plane. The evaluation of the above integral and the vanishing of 4-point amplitude in $B \rightarrow \infty$ limit has been discussed in[9].

4.3 Summary

In this chapter, we have discussed various tree level amplitudes of string theory with $N = 2$ superconformal symmetry on the worldsheet with and without the presence of constant antisymmetric tensor field.

In section (4.1), we have discussed $N = 2$ open and closed string theories in $(2, 2)$ dimensional spacetime. These theories contain a single massless scalar in their spectrum. The closed string has the interpretation of self-dual gravity. The open string, on the otherhand, has the interpretation of the self-dual Yang-Mills in the Kähler background of the closed sector. But the resulting spacetime is no longer self-dual. Because of the topological nature of the theory, in the sense of vanishing n-point function ($n \geq 4$), the usual constraint on string theories coming from unitarity and factorization are weakened. In particular, the open string can be defined for any gauge group.

In section (4.2), we have discussed $N = 2$ strings in the presence of antisymmetric tensor background which is magnetic type. Because of the presence of an antisymmetric tensor field, the coordinates become noncommutative and one gets a noncommutative field theory. The expected topological nature of the open string is shown to impose nontrivial constraints on the corresponding noncommutative field theory. The n-point functions of the theory are local, or vanish identically in the open sector, even for the finite noncommutativity. In the mixed sector, we have calculated a 3-point amplitude and have shown that the corresponding field theory is written in terms of a generalized *-product. We have also analyzed a 4-point function A_{oooc} in $\Theta \to \infty$ limit.

Bibliography

[1] D.J. Bruce, D.B. Fairlie, R.G. Yates, “Dual Models with a Color Symmetry”, Nucl. Phys. **B108** (1976) 310.

[2] M. Ademollo, L. Brink, A. D’Adda, R. D’Auria, E. Napolitano, S. Sciuto, E. Del Giudice, P. Di Vecchia, S. Ferrara, F. Gliozzi, R. Musto, R. Pettorino, J.H. Schwarz, “ Dual String With U(1) Color Symmetry”, Nucl.Phys. B**111** (1976) 77.

[3] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, “ Selfduality And N=2 String Magic”, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**5** (1990) 1389.

H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, “Geometry Of N=2 Strings”, Nucl.Phys. B **361** (1991) 469-518.

[4] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, “N=2 Heterotic Strings”, Nucl.Phys. B**367** (1991) 83.

[5] J.F. Plebanski, “Some Solutions Of Complex Einstein Equations”, J. Math. Phys. **16** (1975) 2395.

[6] N. Marcus, “The N=2 open string”, Nucl.Phys. B**387** (1992) 263, hep-th/9207024.

N. Marcus, “A tour through N=2 strings”, Presented at International Workshop on String Theory, Quantum Gravity and the Unification of Fundamental Interactions, Rome, Italy, 21-26 Sep 1992*Rome String Theory Wkshp.* (1992) 391, hep-th/9211059.

[7] E. Martinec, “M-theory and N=2 Strings”, Talk given at the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Strings, Branes and Dualities, Cargese, France, 26 May - 14 Jun 1997. In *Cargese 1997, Strings, branes and dualities* 241, [hep-th/9710122].

[8] N. Berkovits, " Super-Poincare Invariant Superstring Field Theory", Nucl. Phys. B**450** (1995) 90, [hep-th/9503099].

N. Berkovits and W. Siegel, "Covariant field theory for self-dual strings", Nucl. Phys. B**505** (1997) 139, [hep-th/9703154].

[9] A. Kumar, A. Misra, K. L. Panigrahi, "Noncommutative N=2 Strings", JHEP **0102** (2001) 037, hep-th/0011206.

[10] A. Connes, M.R. Douglas and A. Schwarz, "Noncommutative Geometry and Matrix Theory: Compactification on Tori", JHEP **9802** 003, (1998), [hep-th/9711162].

[11] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, "String Theory and Noncommutative Geometry", JHEP **9909** 032, (1999), [hep-th/9908142].

[12] R. Gopakumar, S. Minwalla and A. Strominger, " Noncommutative Solitons", JHEP **0005** 020,(2000), [hep-th/0003160].

[13] R. Gopakumar, S. Minwalla, N. Seiberg and A. Strominger, "OM Theory in Diverse Dimensions", JHEP **0008** 008, (2000),[hep-th/0006062].

[14] S. V. Ketov, "The OSp(32—1) versus OSp(8—2) supersymmetric M-brane action from self-dual (2,2) strings", Mod.Phys.Lett. A**11** (1996) 2369,[hep-th/9609004.

[15] S.J. Gates, Jr., C.M. Hull and M. Rocek, "wisted Multiplets And New Supersymmetric Nonlinear Sigma Models", Nucl.Phys. B**248** (1984) 157.

[16] M. R. Garousi, "Non-commutative world-volume interactions on D-brane and Dirac-Born-Infeld action", Nucl.Phys. B**579** (2000) 209, [hep-th/9909214].

M.R. Garousi, R.C. Myers, "World-Volume Potentials on D-branes", JHEP **0011** (2000) 032, hep-th/0011147.

[17] H. Liu and J. Michelson, "*-TREK: The One-Loop N=4 Noncommutative SYM Action", Nucl.Phys. **B 614** (2001) 279-304, hep-th/0008205.

F. Ardalan and N. Sadooghi, "Anomaly and Nonplanar Diagrams in Noncommutative Gauge Theories", Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A17** (2002) 123, hep-th/0009233;

T. Mehen and M.B. Wise, "Generalized *-Products, Wilson Lines and the Solution of the Seiberg-Witten Equations", JHEP **0012** (2000) 008, hep-th/0010204.

S. Das and S. Trivedi, "Supergravity couplings to Noncommutative Branes, Open Wilson Lines and Generalised Star Products", JHEP **0102** (2001) 046, hep-th/0011131.

[18] H. Kawai, D.C. Lewellen, S.H.H. Tye, "A Relation Between Tree Amplitudes Of Closed And Open Strings", Nucl.Phys. B**269** (1986) 1.

[19] C.S. Chu and P.M. Ho, "Noncommutative Open String and D-brane", Nucl.Phys. B**550** (1999) 151, hep-th/9812219.

[20] B.Chen, H. Itoyama, T. Matsuo and K. Murakami, "Worldsheet and Spacetime Properties of p-p' System with B Field and Noncommutative Geometry", Nucl. Phys. **B593** (2001) 505, hep-th/0005283.

[21] O. Lechtenfeld, A.D. Popov, B. Spendig, *"Open N=2 strings in a B-field background and noncommutative self-dual Yang-Mills"*, Phys.Lett. **B507** (2001) 317, hep-th/0012200.

[22] H. Garcia-Compean, J. F. Plebanski, M. Przanowski, "Geometry Associated with Self-dual Yang-Mills and the Chiral Model Approaches to Self-dual Gravity", Acta Phys. Polon. B**29** (1998) 549.

[23] M. R. Garousi, R. Myers, "World-Volume Potentials on D-branes", JHEP **0011** (2000) 032, hep-th/0010122.

Chapter 5

OVERVIEW and CONCLUSION

In this thesis, we have studied various nonperturbative and noncommutative aspects of string theory. Construction of the nonthreshold bound of various branes, their supersymmetric properties and the open string construction in various string backgrounds have been the topics of discussion of the present thesis. We have also studied a particular example of the mixed boundary condition on D-branes in the context of $N = 2$ strings, and analyzed various tree level scattering amplitudes.

In chapter (2), we have explicitly constructed nontrivial bound states of D-branes starting with charged macroscopic strings. These string solutions are generated from the neutral ones by a solution generating technique. The charged macroscopic string solutions have been useful in the past for establishing several duality symmetries of string theory. The D-brane bound states presented in this thesis have been generated from the charged macroscopic string solutions by the application of SL(2,Z) transformation and T-duality symmetry of string theory. These bound state solutions carry a general set of string charges as well as non-zero momenta. They are also shown to be 1/2 supersymmetric objects. It would be nice to understand the conformal field theory description of these D-brane bound states.

In chapter (3), we have presented the supergravity solutions of various D-branes and their bound states in an exact string background, known as pp-wave background supported by $NS-NS$ and $R-R$ flux. These backgrounds, in general, can be obtained from $AdS_p \times S^q$

type of geometry and in some cases provide exact string theory backgrounds. The novelty of pp-wave background is that string theory simplifies a lot due to the presence of light cone gauge. Our study mainly concentrated on the pp-wave background arising out of $AdS_3 \times S^3$ type of geometry. We have analyzed the supersymmetry of the branes by solving type IIB Killing spinor equations explicitly. We have also presented the open string construction of the intersecting branes in pp-wave background. Our search for supergravity brane solutions in other pp-waves also includes the ones in little string theories. The gauge theory duals of these branes can be found out by defining the appropriate 'conformal operators' in the field theory corresponding to the supergravity modes by using the pp-wave/CFT correspondence.

In chapter (4) (following the pioneering work of Seiberg and Witten), we have studied an example of open strings ending on D-branes with mixed boundary condition. We have been able to reproduce the 'topological' nature of the corresponding field theory in this specific example and have also shown the appearance of a 'generalized star product' in the noncommutative field theory.

At the moment, string theory seems like the most promising quantum theory of gravity. Not only does string theory combines gravity with other forces, it also is rich enough to explain, in principle, all the symmetries found in nature. It avoids the ultraviolet infinities that arise in trying to quantize gravity. At low energies, string theory is described by a supersymmetric field theory. There is no running coupling constant in the theory. Instead there is a dilaton field, whose VEV acts as the gauge and gravitational coupling constants. Supersymmetry appears to be inevitable for the consistency of string theory. The discovery of various string dualities have played an important role in enlarging our understanding of string theory. String theory also predicts the presence of D-branes, which are proved to be very important in understanding various dualities. These D-branes have played a key role in understanding the gauge theory - string theory duality, which goes by the name of AdS/CFT duality. Formulation of string theory in various nontrivial backgrounds with flux has also been the topic of intense discussion all along. One of these backgrounds is known as plane wave (pp-wave) background. PP-wave backgrounds in the presence of fluxes drew lots of attention in the recent past. These backgrounds have the property that string theory is exactly solvable in the light cone gauge. The pp-wave/CFT duality is an attempt to understand gauge-string duality beyond supergravity approximation. At present, string theory in pp-wave space-time appears to be more promising than many other curved backgrounds.

Despite its phenomenal theoretical success, string theory has certain problems. One of the outstanding problems of this theory is to find the correct vacuum which describes the physical universe, namely one has to find out the one which can reproduce the standard model with symmetry $SU(3) \otimes SU(2) \otimes U(1)$. Recently, the proposal of moduli stabilization of string theory by turning on additional fluxes has shed new light on this problem. The other problem is the supersymmetry breaking in string theory. In order to explain the physics of the observed universe, there must be supersymmetry breaking at some scale. Upto now there is no concrete method of supersymmetry breaking in string theory has been proposed. The next question which string theory has not answered yet is the value of cosmological constant, which is known to be vanishingly small. The key of all these problems lie in the non-perturbative formulation of string theory. The best hope, so far, has been with the M-theory, an eleven dimensional theory. From 11-dimensional perspective, all the superstring theories can be viewed as different vacua of the same theory. But very little, up to now, has been known for the M-theory, apart from it's low energy limit, the 11-dimensional supergravity. Unless we know how to quantize the membranes, hardly anything about the spectrum of the theory could be speculated. Apart from all the theoretically unanswered questions, string theory lacks experimental verification, because of the fact that the present day accelerators can't probe a distance of 10^{-33}cm, the characteristic length of a string. Therefore, a long way has to be covered before we accept string theory as the theory all fundamental interactions including gravity.